CELL 1 SMP2 ACTION PLANS, COASTAL STRATEGIES AND 6 YEAR FCERM PROGRAMME STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT

Strategic Assessment Environmental Report

Prepared for Scarborough Borough Council

August 2017



Park House Headingly Office Park 8 Victoria Road Leeds LS6 1PF

Contents

Section		Page
Introduction.		1-1
1.1	Purpose of this report	1-1
1.2	Overall aims of the project	1-1
Coastal flood	and erosion risk management	2-1
2.1	Responsible Authorities	2-1
2.2	Shoreline management plans	2-1
	2.2.1 Overview	2-1
	2.2.2 SMP policies	2-1
	2.2.3 SMP2 action plans and action plan scoping	2-2
2.3	Coastal strategies	2-2
2.4	6 year FCERM investment programme	2-3
Baseline Infor	mation	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Additional baseline information	3-1
	3.2.1 Marine Conservation Zones	3-1
	3.2.2 Biodiversity Action Plan Species	3-4
3.3	Scoping of Environmental Receptors	3-5
Strategic Obje	ectives and Assessment Criteria	4-1
4.1	Key Environmental Features and Strategic Objectives	4-1
4.2	Strategic Assessment Objectives	4-1
Impact Assess	sment Methodology	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Assessment of the Proposed Action Plans, Coastal Strategies and FCERM Programn	ne.5-1
5.3	Assessing In-combination and Cumulative Impacts	5-2
	5.3.1 Cumulative impact	5-2
	5.3.2 In-combination impact	5-2
	5.3.3 Cumulative and In-Combination Assessment Methodology	5-3
Consultation		6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
Assessment o	f the Proposed Action Plans, Coastal Strategies and 6 Year Scheme Programme	7-1
7.1	Assessment	7-1
Cumulative a	nd In-combination Impacts	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Assessment of Spatial Extent of Action	8-1
8.3	Assessment of Timing of Actions	8-3
Conclusions		9-1
Acronyms and	Abbreviations	9-1
Appendices		10-1
Appendix A Fig	gures	
Appendix B SM	1P Background Information	

Appendix C FCRM 6 year investment programme

Appendix D Baseline Data and Environmental Constraints Appendix E BAP Habitat mapping Appendix F SMP Action Plans and 6 year FCERM Programme strategic assessment Appendix G Coastal strategy actions strategic assessment Appendix H Cumulative strategic assessment for coastal actions Appendix I Cumulative strategic assessment for coastal strategies

Document History

Reference Number:	661669
Client Name:	Scarborough Borough Council
Client Project Manager	David Robinson

This document has been issued and amended as follows:

Version	Date	Description	Created By	Verified By	Approved By
Draft	February 2017	For Client Comment	H Jones	S. Duggan	A Parsons
Final	August 2017	Amended following client comments	C Frost	A Parsons	H Jones

Note:

This report was prepared by Halcrow Group Ltd, a CH2M company, in accordance with the scope and instructions of Scarborough Borough Council for their sole and specific use. Any other persons or organisations who use any information contained herein do so at their own risk. In producing this report, CH2M has relied upon information provided by others. The completeness or accurate of this information is not guaranteed by CH2M.

Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this report

Scarborough Borough Council has commissioned CH2M to undertake a non-statutory strategic assessment (SA) in line with Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) guidance, of the incombination and cumulative impacts of implementing the actions from both the Northumberland and North Tyneside Shoreline Management Plan SMP2 and the River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2, the government's 6 year Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management (FCERM) investment programme and the coastal strategies over the whole of Coastal Sediment Cell 1 (herein known as Cell 1). The location and extent of Cell 1 is shown on Figure 1.

This SA considers the Cell 1 SMP2 actions pending construction; those proposed to manage and maintain the development assets present along the coastline, and those to plan new and adapt existing assets. It is not the intention of this project to reconsider the preferred and adopted SMP2 policies.

The SA undertaken for Cell 1 is non-statutory however it has been informed by the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive, transposed into UK law under The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (SI 1633).

The results of this SA can be used by the Cell 1 Coastal Risk Management Authorities (e.g. Coast Protection Authorities and the Environment Agency) and Statutory Environmental Bodies (e.g. Natural England, Historic England, Environment Agency, Marine Management Organisation) to help consider impacts and seek environmental opportunities related to FCERM actions from the SMP2s, coastal strategies and schemes in the 6 year FCERM investment programme. Additionally, the assessments can assist future planning of additional FCERM actions in Cell 1. It is therefore the intention that this SA should be used as a reference document for future SEAs or Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) where these may be required for coastal plans and strategies.

1.2 Overall aims of the project

The primary aim of the project was to strategically consider the in-combination and cumulative environmental impacts of coastal risk management activities in Cell 1 in order that the Coastal Risk Management Authorities and Statutory Bodies (e.g Natural England, Historic England, Planning Authorities, Marine Management Organisation) can strategically consider potential impacts and seek environmental opportunities.

The project has also considered interactions and opportunities relating to the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) in terms of the delivery of the SMP2 actions. An initial assessment of opportunities for environmental enhancements that would be beneficial to the WFD transitional and coastal waterbodies in Cell 1 that could potentially be delivered alongside SMP2 actions is presented in a separate accompanying technical note.

On project start up, it was originally proposed that a statutory SEA, Water Framework Directive (WFD) Assessment and Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) would be prepared by the project. An Environmental Scoping Report and HRA Screening Report were prepared and these were consulted on in October 2015. However, on further discussion with the client and project team and taking into account consultation feedback, it was agreed that since the project was non-statutory and was not developing a new plan then a statutory SEA and HRA was not required and a non-statutory SA and WFD would be undertaken instead. The Environmental Scoping Report and responses received continued to inform this SA and although no HRA was prepared the Natura 2000 sites were considered within this SA.



Figure 1 Cell 1 Study Area

Coastal flood and erosion risk management

2.1 Responsible Authorities

There are nine coastal local authorities along the North East coast of England (i.e. Cell 1) as shown on Figure 1. These local authorities have powers to undertake works to manage risks related to coastal erosion under the Coast Protection Act 1949 (CPA), with consent from the Environment Agency (EA) in accordance with the Flood and Water Management Act 2010.

The EA is the primary sea defence authority for England and undertakes a strategic overview of all Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management (FCERM) activities undertaken by other risk management authorities (RMAs) on behalf of Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA). The coastal local authorities are RMAs and under the CPA they have two main functions: regulating coast protection works undertaken by others (such as landowners); and promoting their own schemes, subject to consent.

The CPA makes no specific provisions for amenity or conservation works and is confined solely to defence structures, however consideration is often given. There are however numerous other regulations, some of which are European Directives that ensure environmental considerations play a major part in the design and construction of any new scheme or maintenance works. Coastal local authorities must also consider the WFD (Directive 2000/60/EC), which has been transposed into UK law through the Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) (England and Wales) Regulations (SI 3242/2003) and the Land Drainage Act (LDA) 2010 at all times.

The RMAs do not have a legal obligation to undertake FCERM activities on their coastline. However, under permissive powers, they routinely carry out works that are both capital funded (i.e. through applications for Grant Aid made to the EA) and revenue funded (i.e. from their annual budgets).

2.2 Shoreline management plans

2.2.1 Overview

Shoreline Management Plans (SMPs) set out a plan for a 100 year period indicating how flood and erosion risks at the coastline should be managed. SMPs consider objectives, policy setting and management requirements for three main epochs; from the present day (0 to 20 years), medium-term (20 to 50 years) and long-term 50 to 100 years) i.e. from the time of SMP2 preparation to 2025 (short term), from 2025 to 2055 (medium term) and from 2055 to 2105 (long term).

SMPs divide the 6,000 mile shoreline of England and Wales into eleven coastal cells and sub cells defined by coastal type and processes such as the movement of beach and seabed sediment (sand, shingle, etc.) within and between them. The north east coast of England lies within Cell 1 and includes the coastline from the Scottish Border to Flamborough Head, which covers approximately 300km.

The Cell 1 study area is currently covered by two SMP2s; the Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP and the River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP as shown in Figure 1. Further information on SMPs and the two SMPs covering the study area can be found in Appendix B.

2.2.2 SMP policies

The generic shoreline management policies considered in the SMP2s are those defined by Defra (2006); they are represented by the statements:

- No active intervention (NAI): where there is no investment in coastal defences or operations;
- Hold the existing defence line (HTL): maintain or change the standard of protection provided by defences. This would include work or operations carried out in front of the existing defences or

operations to the back of defences (such as secondary flood defences) where they are an essential part of maintaining the current defence system;

- Advance the existing defence line (ATL): build new defences on the seaward side of the original defences; and
- Managed realignment (MR): allow the shoreline to move backwards or forwards, with management to control or limit movement.

In developing policy in the SMP2s, the coast was divided (at the highest level) into "Policy Development Zones" (PDZ). These are further subdivided into Policy Units (PU). Where PUs are inter-dependent they have been grouped into Management Areas (MA). Further explanation is provided in Appendix B.

2.2.3 SMP2 action plans and action plan scoping

Action Plans for Cell 1 were presented in each of the two SMP2 documents. The Action Plans summarise the high-level and strategic actions that are required to implement the policies of the SMP2.

Given the uncertainty in implementation of the actions in future epochs, as they are likely to be less well formulated, subject to funding constraints and have more uncertainty surrounding them, the actions to be undertaken beyond 2020/21 have been scoped out of requiring assessment at the present time. This approach avoids the risk of considering those actions which may be removed or slightly changed as part of any potential future SMP2 review process. It also ensures that the environmental impacts of the actions are assessed based upon the most recent data and current understanding of coastal processes rather than on data that could be out of date by the time the action is implemented.

In addition monitoring actions have been scoped out of this SA since they are ongoing activities and would not require specific ground work actions to implement them. Therefore, the SMP2 actions scoped into this SA are:

- Studies;
- Schemes pending construction;
- Schemes to manage and maintain the development assets present along the coastline; and
- Schemes for planning new and adapting existing development assets (as named in the SMP2s).

2.3 Coastal strategies

The strategies that have been developed for managing coastal flood risk and coastal erosion along the Cell 1 coastline have been identified through a web-based search and consultation with each Coastal Local Authority and are presented in Table 2-1. It has been assumed that any actions within the strategies produced prior to the SMP2s were incorporated into the Action Plans of the two SMP2 and therefore those earlier coastal strategies will not be considered further.

Coastal Local Authority	Strategy Name	Strategy Date	Included/Excluded from assessment
Northumberland County Council	Alnmouth Bay Strategy	2002	Excluded
North Tyneside Council	Hartley Cove To The River Tyne Coastal Strategy Plan	2007	Excluded
South Tyneside Council	Coastal Management Strategy 2007-	2007	Included

Table 2-1 FCERM Strategies identified for Cell 1.

Coastal Local Authority	Strategy Name	Strategy Date	Included/Excluded from assessment
	2012		
Sunderland Council	Whitburn to Ryhope Coast Protection Strategy Study	2001	Excluded
Hartlepool Borough Council	Hartlepool Headland Coastal Strategy Study	2006 (and 2014 review)	Excluded
	Seaton Carew Coastal Strategy Study	2011	Included
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	Redcar Coastal Defence Strategy Project Appraisal Report	2004	Excluded
	Redcar to Saltburn Coastal Defence Strategy	Incomplete	Excluded
	Skinningrove Coastal Defences Strategy Plan	2013/14 TBC	Excluded
Durham County Council	Seaham Coastal Strategy	2004	Excluded
Scarborough Borough	Runswick Bay Strategy	2015	Included
Council	Whitby Strategy	2012	Included
	Robin Hoods Bay Strategy	2012	Included
	Scarborough Town Strategy	2009	Included
	Filey Strategy	2002*1	Excluded
	Cayton Bay Strategy	2002*1	Excluded
East Riding of Yorkshire Council	None identified within Cell 1		Excluded

*1. The Filey and Cayton Coastal Strategy has been updated and is currently being reviewed by the EA (as of March 2017).

2.4 6 year FCERM investment programme

Any additional coastal defence schemes proposed within the government's 6 year FCERM investment programme (2015-2021) within Cell 1, but not already identified in the SMP2 Action Plans have also been included within this SA. The 6 year FCERM investment programme of schemes are listed in Appendix C and summarised below in Table 2-2.

Those already completed or proposed to be completed beyond 2020 have been screened out of further assessment, however any schemes started or proposed to be completed before 2020 have been screened in for further assessment.

T 0 0 0 0 1				(2245 2224)
Table 2-2 Summary of coastal	detence schemes	within the 6 year FCFR	M investment programme	(2015 - 2021)
			in mit eet mente programme	(2010 2021).

Project Name	Risk Management Authority	Within the SMP2 Action Plans
		(Yes/No)
Greatham North	Environment Agency	No – estuary location (not within SMP
		area). Scheme completed.
Port Clarence and Greatham South	Environment Agency	No – estuary location (not within SMP
Flood Alleviation		area). Scheme under construction.
Seahouses Main Pier Rehabilitation	Northumberland County Council	No
Little Shore Improvement	Northumberland County Council	Yes
Beadnell North Sea Wall	Northumberland County Council	Yes
Improvements		
Boulmer Coast Protection	Northumberland County Council	Yes
Craster Coast Protection Scheme	Northumberland County Council	No (SMP2 only had study not
		scheme)

Project Name	Risk Management Authority	Within the SMP2 Action Plans
Newbiggin Point Coast Protection	Northumberland County Council	Yes
Scheme		-
Seaton Sluice Harbour Improvements	Northumberland County Council	Yes
Central Promenade Appraisal, Design	North Tyneside Council	Yes
And Construction, North Tyneside		
Southern Promenade Sea Wall Repair - Whitely Bay	North Tyneside Council	Yes
Marsden Bay Cliff Erosion Study	South Tyneside Council	No (SMP2 only had study, not scheme)
Hendon Foreshore Barrier / Stonehill Wall / Breakwater	Sunderland City Council	Yes
Headland Walls and Blocksands,	Hartlepool Borough Council	Yes (partially completed)
Hartlepool Marina Study and	Hartlepool Borough Council	Yes
Skinningrove Coastal Protection	Redcar and Cleveland Borough	Ves (scheme completed – not
Skinningrove Coastar Protection	Council	included in SA).
Sandsend Coast Protection Scheme	North Yorkshire CC	Yes – in SMP2 & Whitby Coastal Strategy
Scarborough Coastal Risk	Scarborough BC	Yes – continuation of existing project
Management Programme 2	-	
Runswick Bay Appraisal and Works	Scarborough BC	Yes and in the Runswick Bay Coastal Defence Strategy Study 2002 (approved)
Whitby Strategy 2 - Management	Scarborough BC	Not in SMP2 Action Plan. In the Whithy Strategy 2013 (approved)
Whithy Harbour Works MU17 &	Scarborough BC	Yes and in the Whithy Coastal
MU18		Strategy Sandsend to Abbey Cliff
		2002 (approved)
Whithy Coastal Strategy 2	Scarborough BC	No but in the Whithy Strategy 2013
Management Unit 19 Haggerlythe		(approved)
Robin Hoods Bay PAR & Works	Scarborough BC	Yes - Preventative maintenance listed
		in SMP2 Action Plan. In Robin Hoods
		Bay Coastal Strategy Study (estimated
		completion November 2011)
Scalby Ness PAR & Works	Scarborough BC	Not in SMP2 Action Plan as a scheme.
	-	(Scalby PAR works in the Hundale
		Point to Scalby Ness Strategy Study
		2006 (approved)
North bay Urgent Wall	Scarborough BC	Yes
Improvement Phase 2	_	
Scarborough South Bay Spa Seawall	Scarborough BC	Not in SMP2 Action Plan. In the
Works		Scarborough Coastal Defence
		Strategy Review - Holbeck to Scalby
		Mills 2009 (approved)
Scarborough South Bay Beach	Scarborough BC	Yes as part of monitoring. In the
Management Programme		Scarborough Coastal Defence
		Strategy Review - Holbeck to Scalby
		Mills 2009 (approved)

Baseline Information

3.1 Introduction

Baseline data from the two SMP2s were collected to identify key environmental issues and trends relevant to the Cell 1 coastline and to provide a baseline against which the significant environmental impacts would be assessed (see Appendix D). The collection of information focused on features that are either designated or considered to have importance at the international, national and regional levels. Information on features of local importance was not collected, unless it was considered to contribute directly to features of regional or greater value or it was identified as a key concern by stakeholders.

The main environmental baseline features of the study area are summarised in Appendix D. This is supported by the Environmental constraints maps shown on Figures SA1 to SA9 in Appendix A which illustrate where designated sites and features are located in proximity with the management Areas of the Cell 1 SMP2s. Specific issues identified per Management Area in each of the SMP2 coastal areas are presented in Appendix D.

3.2 Additional baseline information

3.2.1 Marine Conservation Zones

Since the production of the SMP2s, four Marine Conservation Zones (MCZ) were designated in November 2013 and a further four in January 2016. There are also two recommended sites not yet designated within the English inshore and offshore waters off the Cell 1 coastline. All of these sites require consideration in the SA (in addition to the nature conservation designations already identified in the SMP2s). The location of the MCZ's are shown in Figure 2 and summary information is provided below.



Figure 2 Marine Conservation Zones offshore of Cell 1

The North East of Farnes Deep MCZ is located approximately 55km from North Northumberland and covers 492km². Water depth across the site ranges from 50 to 100 metres, making North East of Farnes Deep MCZ a relatively shallow offshore site. North East of Farnes Deep MCZ protects a large area of sediment seabed and a wide range of associated species that live within or on these habitats. Features of the site include subtidal coarse sediment (i.e. coarse sediment, shingle and gravel) which is present in the shallower part of this site. These areas are typically characterised by worms, bivalve molluscs, sea cucumbers and mobile crustaceans, such as the squat lobster, but also support a variety of sponge species. Subtidal Sand is also a feature for which the site is designated. The sandy seabed within the MCZ is not typically disturbed by waves or strong tidal currents so is able to support species such as worms, bivalve molluscs and amphipod crustaceans.

Swallow Sand MCZ is an offshore site in the North Sea, located approximately 100km from the Northumberland coast. It protects an area of about 4,746 km², making it the largest MCZ to date. The MCZ covers one of the deepest areas in the North Sea with depths ranging from 50 to 150m. The site is designated for the following features:

- Subtidal coarse sediment;
- Subtidal sand; and
- North Sea glacial tunnel valleys (Swallow Hole).

Deeper parts of the seabed tend to be dominated by animals as reduced light levels restrict the ability of plant life to grow. The animal communities found on coarse sediments are typically made up of species such as polychaete worms and bivalves which burrow within the sediments or sea urchins and anemones which live on the sediment surface. The sandy areas within the site are typically exposed to moderate wave action and weak tidal currents. This relatively stable environment is able to support large numbers of worms, molluscs and crustaceans.

A North Sea glacial tunnel valley, known as Swallow Hole, is protected within the site. This accounts for the deepest area of the site and as such has a localised environment capable of supporting a range of different species from those found in shallower areas.

Aln Estuary MCZ This is an inshore MCZ which covers an area of 0.39 km², extends from the estuary mouth to the upper tidal limit. The Aln estuary contains intertidal mud deposits, saltmarshes and unusual estuarine rocky habitats. The range of sheltered environments, rock pools and lower levels of salinity create a habitat, which supports many different plant and animal species such as kelps, wracks, anemones, barnacles and sea squirts. The site is designated for the following features:

- Coastal saltmarshes and saline reedbeds
- Intertidal mud
- Estuarine rocky habitats
- Sheltered muddy gravels

Fulmar MCZ, designated in 2016, is an offshore site 50-100m deep, located approximately 224km from the Northumberland coast. The seabed in the MCZ is predominantly subtidal mud, with small patches of other sediments. Burrowing tube anemones (*Cerianthus lloydii*), brittlestars (including *Amphuria filiformis* and *Ophiura albida*) and sea potatoes (*Echinocardium cordatum*) are found living on the sediments at Fulmar MCZ. Sea-pens such as the slender sea-pen (*Virgularia mirabilis*) are also present. Fulmar MCZ is also home to a wide variety of worms that live within the sediment, which are an important food source for many other animals, including commercial fish species.

The MCZ currently has four designated features: subtidal mud, subtidal sand, subtidal mixed sediments and ocean quahog (*Arctica islandica*). Ocean quahogs are a feature of conservation importance, and are also included on the OSPAR list of threatened or declining habitats and species. Ocean quahog is a long-lived species (over 500 years) with a very slow growth rate, taking up to 50

years to reach market size. They are thought to reach sexual maturity between 5-7 years, although this is dependent on locality and growth rates. The spawning period can vary also depending on location. Recent studies have found the population of ocean quahog in the North Sea has declined in abundance, which has been linked to the impacts of human activities on the seabed.

Runswick Bay MCZ designated in 2016 is an inshore MCZ located off the Yorkshire Coast, to the north-west of Whitby. The site covers an area of around 68 km², and extends 3 nautical miles out to sea.

The intertidal area, which is below water at high tide, and exposed at low tide, is made up of rocky reefs, boulders and pools, as well as caves and sandy beaches. The rocky seashores are exposed to very strong waves and currents. This is a habitat for mussels, limpets and barnacles, as well as small tufts of seaweeds. The rocks in the shallow waters below the tides are home to large kelps and some smaller red seaweeds. Species such as worms, crabs, sea snails and shrimp-like animals also live amongst the seaweeds.

Deep water (circalittoral) rocks in this site provide a habitat for a range of species. The depth means that there is lack of sunlight, which prevents seaweeds from growing, allowing animal communities to prevail. The rich seabed habitats support a number of crustacean species, including eight species of crab and the common lobster. The site is also a spawning ground for a number of fish species including herring, sprat, cod, whiting and plaice. Ocean quahog, a cockle shaped bivalve, with paired, hinged, shells, is also found within and is a designated feature of the site. The Ocean quahog often lives entirely buried in the sand with a small tube extending to the surface for breathing and feeding. Harbour porpoises are regularly recorded here alongside foraging seabirds, such as the noisy kittiwake, which colonise the surrounding cliffs.

Coquet to St Mary's MCZ, designated in 2016, this MCZ is an inshore site located along the Northumberland coast covering 192 km² of intertidal and offshore waters from near Whitley Bay in the south to near Alnwick in the north. It includes areas around St Mary's Island and Coquet Island.

The seabed protected by this site is made up of rock, sand, mud and sediment and drops to 30 metres in depth. This range of habitats provides a home for a large variety of life. For example, the coarse sediment is home to animals such as bristleworms, sand mason worms, small shrimp-like animals, burrowing anemones, and cockles. Rocks in shallow water (infralittoral rocks) are a habitat for kelp and red seaweed, whilst the deep water (circalittoral) rock is a habitat for cup coral, seafans, and anemones, and sponges. These animals thrive in this deeper water where there is not enough sunlight for algal life to grow.

These complex habitats and communities also support mobile species such as starfish, sea urchins, crabs, and lobsters. When this site was surveyed, amongst the species recorded, is the first ever Arctic cushion star, a starfish, on the English coast.

The site also supports a range of intertidal habitats, which are above water at low tide and underwater at high tide. One of these habitats is intertidal underboulder communities. Boulders create shaded areas that provide a refuge to sea squirts, sea mats, and sponges. The undersides of the boulder provide a habitat for animals like sea slugs, long-clawed porcelain crabs and brittlestars, which shelter and feed in the damp shaded conditions. Crabs, fish and young lobsters also scavenge for food and seek shelter amongst the boulders.

The different habitats within this zone support thousands of seabirds and marine mammals, including 90% of the UK's roseate tern population, as well as harbour porpoises, white-beaked dolphins and species of whale such as minke whale. Atlantic cod, ballan wrasse, goldsinny, pollack and octopus also live here. Coquet Island is important for breeding and foraging seabirds and grey seals. St Mary's Island's rocky reefs are vital habitats for crustaceans to live in, and it is an important breeding site for the fish called the lumpsucker.

Farnes East, designated in 2016, is a site off 11km off the coast of Northumberland. It stretches across the inshore and offshore waters, covering 945km²and is one of the deepest patches of the North Sea, reaching to between 30-100 metres in depth. A deep-water area, or glacial element, in

the south called 'Farnes Deep' is associated with the foraging and reproductive behaviours of whitebeaked dolphins.

This site is important because it protects moderate energy circalittoral rock: deep water rock in areas with less waves and currents. As this rock is in deep waters, very little sunlight reaches them. This prevents seaweeds from growing, and the marine environment becomes dominated by animals. The animal communities that thrive here include cup coral, sea-fans, anemones, and sponges. Mobile animals in this environment can include starfish, brittlestars, and sea urchins.

The site is one of only a few to include (subtidal) mud which is an important habitat for many animals, like worms, cockles, urchins and sea cucumbers. Other animals (referred to as megafauna) like mud shrimps and even fish burrow into the mud. This creates networks of burrows which shelter smaller creatures like worms and brittlestars. The mud also provides a habitat for sea-pens (a luminous soft coral).

Sediment on the seabed in this site provides protection to a variety of different marine life. These range from burrowing worms, shrimps and mussels to crabs, fish and starfish scavenging on the seabed surface. Ocean quahog is also found within the site.

Coastlines around the Farne Islands are home to one of the largest colonies of grey seals. Twenty different species of seabirds live on the cliffs including guillemots, razorbill, shags, puffins, cormorants, fulmar, eider, oystercatcher, black-headed gull, herring gull, greater black-headed gull, kittiwake, Arctic tern, common tern and sandwich tern. Harbour porpoise, various dolphin species, and the lion's mane and moon jellyfish can be found here too.

Compass Rose, is a recommended MCZ located 30km off the Yorkshire coast. The area is 552km square and is approximately 50 metres deep throughout. Located within the site are approximately 6.5km sq of hard, rocky ground - this is known as 'Heartbreak Ridge'. During the summer months the area captures the most northerly section of the Flamborough Front. This is an upwelling of nutrients where the cooler northern and warmer southern waters of the North Sea mix.

The seafloor consists of sand, coarse sediment and rock, and is home to several species of crustacean. Compass Rose provides spawning and nursery grounds for fish including plaice, herring, lemon sole, sandeel and sprat. The nutrients disturbed by the combining waters give rise to an important food source for marine mammals.

Castle Ground is a recommended MCZ extending from Scalby, north of Scarborough to Filey Brigg, some 14.5km in length. This area is characterised by intertidal rock and sediment habitats, including unique underboulder communities.

Benthic life here is extremely rich, with over 225 creatures belonging to 10 different families recorded on Filey Brigg itself. This area provides a window into the world beneath the waves: home to seaweeds, sea hares, crabs and molluscs such as blue-rayed limpets. Dotted underneath rocks are anemones and sponges, alongside common starfish and brittlestars.

During the winter Filey Brigg supports 50% of the English purple sandpiper population, and, due to its close location to the Flamborough Headland, is important for foraging seabirds, such as kittiwakes.

3.2.2 Biodiversity Action Plan Species

Whilst the two SMP2s identified BAP habitat that could be affected by SMP policies it did not identify BAP species. There are a number of UK BAP Marine species that occur within the study area. Of particular note and relevance to the study area are cetaceans such as White-beaked dolphin, Bottlenose dolphin, Minke whale and the occasional Humpback whale, all of which occur within inshore waters. Harbour Porpoise occur throughout the Cell 1 coastline in transitional and coastal waters. Otter, another BAP species, also occur in both transitional and coastal waters. There is

potential for all these species to be impacted by noise and disturbance during construction and disruption to habitat and seafloor morphology.

BAP habitat mapping along the Cell 1 coastline has been undertaken as part of a separate study and is available from the Channel Coastal Observatory accessible via

<u>http://www.channelcoast.org/habitats/</u>. These Maps have been downloaded and are included in Appendix E.

3.3 Scoping of Environmental Receptors

Table 5.1 summarises the environmental receptors that are proposed to be addressed within this SA of the in-combination and cumulative impacts of implementing the two SMP2 Action Plans, the government's 6 year FCERM investment programme and the coastal strategies over the whole of Coastal Sediment Cell 1. This is based upon the receptors considered in the SEAs of both SMP2s and additional baseline information in section 4. For example, both SMP2s scoped out impacts related to noise and therefore it was not considered that this would be a cell-wide issue either.

This SA does not address any site specific impacts likely to result during the implementation of any built solution, for example construction impacts that might arise during the building or raising of coastal defences. These issues are more appropriately considered during project level EIAs undertaken for specific schemes.

Receptor	Scoped In/Out of SA	Comment	Summary of SMP2 Scoping of Receptors
Population (includes Human Health and vulnerable communities)	In	A primary purpose of the SMP2 is to manage the flood and erosion risk to the population along the coastline and to address the impacts that flooding events and coastal erosion can have upon human health. Where the SMP policy is HTL and ATL the actions associated with these policies are likely to maintain or improve the existing level of protection and it is not anticipated there will be any negative effects upon population where this policy applies. Where the SMP policy is NAI or MR the associated action for implementation of the policy can have consequences, particularly where this receptor is scoped in where this is the preferred SMP policy.	The Northumberland SMP2 identified that for the preferred policy there were no impacts upon population during all three epochs. The Tyne and Flamborough Head SMP2 identified a loss of hard assets at several locations, including properties around Runswick Bay, Robin Hoods Bay, Cayton Bay and in communities to the south of Filey Bay.
Material Assets (includes any man-made physical structures with a focus upon transport, infrastructure, critical	In	Material assets have the potential to be affected by the actions in the Action Plans.	The Northumberland SMP identified that for the preferred policy there were no impacts upon material assets during all three epochs. Tyne and Flamborough Head SMP

Table 5.1. Scoping of SA Receptors

Receptor	Scoped In/Out of SA	Comment	Summary of SMP2 Scoping of Receptors
services, major industry, landfills and assets associated with tourism – i.e. caravan parks)			identified that the main centres of development are maintained and locally some roads are likely to require realignment. For major industries, the policies generally work to sustain their activities, however, there are impacts for some of the more softer commercial activities along much of the frontage (the golf courses at Seaton Carew, at Whitby and Filey and the caravan parks to the north of Hartlepool, at Coatham, south of Whitby and again at Filey).
Climatic factors	Out	As actions will not significantly affect the impacts of climate change (they will only facilitate to accommodate them), climate change is not considered further as an individual receptor. It is recognised that salt marsh and seaweed for example locks in carbon and therefore has a role to play in combating climate change. Activities that adversely affect salt marsh therefore will also have negative impacts upon climate change. At this high level, it is not considered appropriate (and the detail will not be available) to adequately consider the role that saltmarshes and seaweed has in terms of climate change. However, this impact should be considered further at scheme level (e.g. project appraisal carbon calculator) where specific actions have the potential to adversely affect saltmarsh habitat. The effects of any specific FCERM activities will also be assessed at scheme level using the carbon calculator.	Scoped out of both SMP2 assessments as SMPs will not affect climate change.
Air Quality	Out	It is considered that the actions will not lead to an improvement or reduction in air quality. The effects of any specific FCERM activities, such as schemes that may have temporary localised impacts, would be considered further at project EIA stage.	Scoped out of both SMP2s as it was considered that the SMP2s will not lead to an improvement or reduction in air quality at a regional or cell-wide level.

Receptor	Scoped In/Out of SA	Comment	Summary of SMP2 Scoping of Receptors
Noise	Out	The actions will not have a significant effect on noise at a regional level and has been scoped out. The effects of any specific FCERM activities, such as schemes that may have temporary localised impacts would be considered further at project EIA stage.	The SMP2s did not identify significant effects on noise at a cell-wide level
Water and hydromorphology (includes water quality, quantity i.e. Flood risk and use as a resource)	In	Actions have the potential to affect the coastal and estuarine waterbodies within the Cell 1 area e.g. changes in the water quality of shellfish waters and bathing waters, and changes in water resources. Any potential changes to the waterbodies will be assessed through a WFD Assessment.	Although the Northumberland SMP2 identified that for the preferred policy there were no negative impacts upon water during all three epochs, the WFD assessment identified that there are some MAs, where the SMP2 policies have the potential to contribute to failure of Environmental Objectives. The most significant of these is the potential failure to meet Environmental Objective WFD1 (no changes affecting high status sites). The Tyne and Flamborough Head SMP2 did not specifically consider impacts upon the water environment, however impacts were considered in a retrospective WFD assessment of the SMP. This identified several MAs where the SMP2 policies have the potential to contribute to failure of Environmental Objectives. As the assessment was retrospective mitigations were not included in the SMP Actions. The SMP and WFD also identified a risk to water from the erosion of contaminated land and of saline intrusion into groundwater bodies.
Biodiversity (includes flora and fauna both terrestrial and marine)	In	There are a number of international, national and local designated conservation sites within the SMP boundaries, which have potential to be affected (both positively and negatively) by the actions.	The Northumberland SMP2 identified that for the preferred policy there are negative impacts upon biodiversity for MA2 from the first epoch onwards. Impacts of the preferred policy in the other MAs were positive for all three epochs. The Tyne and Flamborough Head SMP2 identified negative impacts due to natural processes, resulting in the partial loss of designated sites. In addition, potential failures of WFD objectives have the potential to impact upon the biological and ecological elements of WFD waterbodies.
Soll & Geology	In	where the actions are to implement an SMP policy of NAI	that for the preferred policy there were

Receptor	Scoped In/Out of SA	Comment	Summary of SMP2 Scoping of Receptors
(includes earth system processes)		or MR there will be a change in the nature of soils but this will enable natural geological processes to continue. Where the actions are to facilitate implementing an SMP policy of HTL (or ATL), there may be impacts upon earth processes.	no negative impacts upon soils during all three epochs. The Tyne and Flamborough Head SMP2 did not specifically consider impacts upon soils. However any impacts upon geology and soils are likely to be due to natural processes.
Land Use	Out	Residential properties will be considered under the 'Population and Human Health' receptor heading and areas of landfills (or known contamination) will be dealt with under the Material Assets heading. Agricultural land is likely to be lost where actions are required to implement a policy of NAI or MR however this facilitates natural processes. Actions to implement a policy of HTL (or ATL) have potential for land uses to remain unchanged and agricultural land to be protected.	Land use was scoped out of assessment in the Northumberland SMP2. The Tyne and Flamborough Head SMP2 identified a loss to agriculture along much of the frontage. Agricultural land is likely to be lost where there are policies of NAI or MR, however this facilitates natural processes. Areas where the policy is HTL (or ATL), there is the potential for land uses to remain unchanged and agricultural land to be protected.
Cultural Heritage	In	There is potential for cultural heritage features to be affected by the actions.	The Northumberland SMP2 identified no negative impacts upon cultural heritage during all three epochs. The Tyne and Flamborough Head SMP2 identified that there are several areas where features will suffer loss through erosion. The SMP attempts to identify where there are risks, which will allow prioritisation of recording prior to loss of the feature.
Landscape	In	There is potential for the actions to have positive or negative effects on key landscape features, including designated sites (National Parks, AONBs and Heritage Coasts). Visual amenity has been scoped out as any visual changes will be subject to the nature and location of localised implementation of policies and actions, which will be assessed further at project EIA stage.	The Northumberland SMP2 identified that for the preferred policy there were no negative impacts upon landscape during all three epochs; all impacts upon landscape were positive. The Tyne and Flamborough Head SMP2 does not identify any significant impacts upon landscape, rather more localised impacts based upon the type of material used for structures. The Plan aims to restrict further encroachment of defence over undefended areas. Where defences are in place, the plan aims to offer opportunity for less intrusive approaches to defence.

Strategic Objectives and Assessment Criteria

4.1 Key Environmental Features and Strategic Objectives

The baseline information and relevant key environmental issues identified within both the SMP2 documents have been used to define a series of objectives and assessment criteria for this SA of the Action Plans, FCRM programme and coastal strategies. These objectives and assessment criteria have been developed in order to assess the environmental impacts across the Cell 1 area.

The development of objectives is an iterative process. The objectives were proposed in the Scoping Consultation Report initially and these were reviewed by Natural England. These objectives were updated in light of any comments received (CH2M, 2015).

4.2 Strategic Assessment Objectives

The Cell 1 SA objectives and assessment criteria are the same as those used to assess the environmental impacts of the Northumberland to North Tyneside SMP2 and the River Tyne to Flamborough SMP2 Action Plans are described in Table 4.1.

SA Receptor	SA Objective	Assessment Criteria (Indicators)
		Would the Action plan
Population and Material Assets	Minimise significant adverse impacts upon people and communities	Result in a deterioration of the quality of life for people and communities?
Material Assets	Minimise significant adverse impacts upon material assets.	Result in the loss, degradation, or function of material assets?
		Protect material assets?
Water	Manage and minimise the risk of pollution to the water environment.	Lead to an increased risk in pollution to the water environment?
Biodiversity, flora, fauna	To use natural processes to support and facilitate ecosystem functions and the integrity of	Result in damage to, fragmentation or loss of existing designated wildlife sites, habitats and species?
	designations.	Enable natural coastal processes to continue?
Soil & Geology	To use natural geological processes to support and facilitate	Enable natural coastal geological processes to continue?
	the integrity of geological designation	Result in a detrimental impact on designated geological site features?
Cultural Heritage	Manage and minimise significant adverse impacts upon designated	Cause the loss of or damage to heritage assets?
	cultural heritage assets and their setting.	Have a detrimental impact upon the setting of heritage assets?
Landscape	Minimise significant adverse impacts upon the landscape and seascape, particularly in relation to protected landscapes.	Cause significant adverse impact to the setting or fabric of a protected landscape?

Table 4.1. SMP2 SEA Objectives and assessment criteria used for assessing Action Plans

Impact Assessment Methodology

5.1 Introduction

This section describes the appraisal process that we have used for predicting and assessing the environmental impacts of implementing the SMP2 Action Plans, coastal strategies and 6 year FCERM programme.

We undertook a staged process of assessment, firstly considering the SMP2 Action Plans, coastal strategies and 6 year FCERM programme for their impacts alone on the receptors within Cell 1 and then their in-combination and cumulative impacts on the receptors within Cell 1. The methodologies for both assessments are discussed further below.

5.2 Assessment of the Proposed Action Plans, Coastal Strategies and FCERM Programme

The Action Plans, coastal strategies and 6 year FCERM programme have been evaluated with consideration of their potential for significant environmental impacts on the 'scoped in' receptors (refer to Table 5.1) using the assessment criteria in Table 6.1. The assessment of these environmental impacts is informed by professional judgement and experience from other FCERM strategic assessments. Where beneficial, this assessment uses mapping data and GIS to identify areas of potential pressure, for example due to the presence of environmental designations.

A table is used to evaluate how the environment would be affected, positively or negatively, from the implementation of the Actions Plans, coastal strategies and 6 year FCERM programme in relation to the objectives. The impacts are assessed based on their likely impact magnitude (ie high, medium, low), and described in terms of their nature (i.e. positive or negative) and value (ie high medium, low) depending on their spatial scale (i.e. local, regional or national).

The significance of impacts in terms of each of the objectives is then evaluated using the criteria outlined in Table 5.1. Impacts can be positive, negative or neutral. Positive and negative impacts can be minor, moderate or major. When carrying out the assessment we have also used professional judgement and considered aspects such as duration of impact, sensitivity of receptor and spatial extent.

Table 5.1 Impact Significance Matrix

		Value of Receptor/Importance of Objective				
		High (e.g. international /national value)	Medium (e.g. regional value)	Low (e.g. local or no value)		
	High Negative Serious consequences and / or large area	Major Adverse ()	Major Adverse ()	Moderate Adverse ()		
	Medium Negative Undesirable consequences	Major Adverse ()	Moderate Adverse ()	Minor Adverse (-)		
Effect	Low Negative Discernable negative impact and / or on a small scale	Moderate Adverse ()	Minor Adverse (-)	Minor Adverse (-)		
itude of I	Negligible No impact or discernable impact	Neutral (0)	Neutral (0)	Neutral (0)		
Magn	Low Positive Discernable positive impact and / or on a small area	Moderate Beneficial (+ +)	Minor Beneficial (+)	Minor Beneficial (+)		
	Medium Positive Favourable consequences	Major Beneficial (+ + +)	Moderate Beneficial (+ +)	Minor Beneficial (+)		
	High Positive Substantial gains and / or on a large area	Major Beneficial (+ + +)	Major Beneficial (+ + +)	Moderate Beneficial (+ +)		

5.3 Assessing In-combination and Cumulative Impacts

5.3.1 Cumulative impact

For the purposes of this assessment an in-combination impact is considered to be where two or more actions have a minor, moderate or major, positive or negative impact on the same receptor, as identified from the assessment of individual actions e.g. the same designated site, or the same material asset.

Cumulative impacts can also occur where one or more management actions may impact on receptors that also lie in more than one management areas e.g. Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC and Northumberland Coast AONB.

5.3.2 In-combination impact

For the purposes of this assessment a cumulative impact could potentially occur where more than one action is proposed in the same MA and that affects the same receptor.

The action does not have to be in the same MA to have an impact on the same receptors e.g. designated sites for ecology within Cell 1 extend along the coastline and could be impacted by several actions e.g. coastal processes.

If there is an impact on a receptor from a management action then it is taken forward for consideration of its timing. If there is no impact on a receptor from action then it is not taken forward for consideration for its timing.

For the purposes of the in-combination impact assessment only we have screened out population and material assets as the actions generally all benefit the public and material assets by providing or upgrading coastal defences.

5.3.3 Cumulative and In-Combination Assessment Methodology

The methodology used for assessing the cumulative and in-combination impacts is outlined below.

1. Spatial extent of action

For each SMP2 action, coastal strategy and 6 year FCERM programme scheme we have considered whether more than one management action is proposed within each management area, and whether the action will impact on receptors that also lie in other management areas. Should the answer be yes to either of these considerations then the action should be taken forward for further consideration for cumulative and in-combination impacts by consideration of the timing of delivery of each action.

Should the answer be no to either of the aforementioned considerations then it was concluded that there would be no in-combination and cumulative effect.

All actions that apply on a Cell 1 wide basis have been screened in to the cumulative and incombination assessment e.g. Development Action plans. All actions that are likely to have an impact that may be felt beyond a single MA have been screened in for further assessment.

All receptors that have been recorded in the SA assessment table that are exposed to moderate adverse (--) or major adverse (---) significant negative impact and are noted as present in more than one Management Area are screened in.

2. Timing of the action

For each action taken forward from point 1 above, we have continued the assessment by considering whether the action will impact on the same receptor during the same delivery time (i.e. the same year). If this is likely to happen then we have concluded that there would be a cumulative impact.

The proposed timings of the works have been taken from consultation with the local coastal authorities undertaken in 2015. Most of the timings are either unknown or indicative and so the cumulative impacts are dependent on when the works would be undertaken during these indicative period, or with further clarity on unknown timings. To manage this risk we have made recommendations on what times there may be potential negative cumulative impacts between management actions.

Consultation

6.1 Introduction

The Environmental Scoping Report for this assessment was issued for comment to the Statutory Environmental Bodies as listed in Table 6.1 in October 2015. Scarborough Borough Council also made the document available to local communities by placing the document on their website.

Statutory Environmental Bodies	Other stakeholders
Natural England	Marine Management Organisation
Historic England	National Trust
Environment Agency	RSPB
Local Authorities	Northumbrian Water
Northumberland County Council (NCC)	Yorkshire Water
North Tyneside Council (NYC)	Hartlepool Water (Part of Anglian Water)
South Tyneside council (STC)	Northumberland Rivers Trust
Sunderland City Council (SCC)	Tyne Rivers Trust
Durham County Council (DCC)	Wear Rivers Trust
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council (RCBC)	Tees Rivers Trust
Hartlepool Borough Council (HBC)	East Yorkshire Rivers Trust
Scarborough Borough Council (SBC)	Northumberland Wildlife Trust
East Ridings of Yorkshire Council (ERYC)	Durham Wildlife Trust
North York Moors National Park Authority	Tees Valley Wildlife Trust
	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust

Between August and September 2015 each local protection authority was contacted to gain more information on their management actions and proposed timings to inform the Cell 1 assessment.

In addition we consulted with Natural England on the HRA Screening in January 2016. However, as a project team it was decided that since the strategic assessment was non-statutory and would not result in a new plan or programme then no formal HRA was required but that the SA would consider the Natura 2000 sites in the assessment.

Table 6.2 contains a summary of the comments received on the Scoping Consultation Document and highlights how we have responded and developed the SA ER to take account of the comments raised.

Consultee	Comment	Response
Environment Agency	 Note that the RBMP is moving from cycle 1 to cycle 2 and that some water body names have changed and some smaller water bodies are no longer included under cycle However, the fact that these smaller water bodies is no longer included does not mean that we mustn't have due regard for their water quality, hydrology and ecology. 	Noted. Of relevance to the WFD screening report and Table C2 of this SEA Environmental Scoping Report.
Environment Agency	2. I have not seen reference to the Marine Strategy Framework Directive in this document.	Noted. The Marine Strategy Framework Directive will be considered as parts of the planning review during the next stage of the SEA.
Environment Agency	 The SEA report must consider impact upon all water bodies – including those surface water bodies draining to the north sea and likely to be impacted by the SMP and other relevant policies. 	All waterbodies will be considered in the SEA ER.
Environment Agency	4. Note that in cycle 2, Yorkshire North was split into 2 water bodies. Under cycle 1 it went from Hartlepool Headland to Flamborough Head. In cycle 2 it goes as far as Staithes Beck.	Noted. The current assessments have been based upon Cycle 1 as this information is in the public domain.
Environment Agency	5. It's important to consider Yorkshire North in this report. However, consideration must also be given to any likely impact upon adjoining water bodies and that would include Yorkshire South too. Activities in Yorkshire North have the potential to impact upon the ecology, hydrology, water quality and natural processes in Yorkshire South.	Noted – of relevance to the WFD assessment, which will be updated accordingly.
Environment Agency	6. Climate change should be ruled in as a receptor in section 5.1. There is research and scientific opinion to suggest that salt marsh and seaweed for example (of which there is small amount of the former on the Esk and larger amounts on the Tees and Tyne) locks in carbon and therefore has role to play combating climate change. Activities that adversely affect salt marsh therefore will also have negative impacts upon climate change.	Noted. At this high level, it is not considered appropriate (and the detail will not be available) to adequately consider the role that saltmarshes and seaweed has in terms of climate change and therefore this receptor will remain scoped out. However, it will be noted that this should be considered further at scheme level where specific actions have the potential to adversely affect saltmarsh habitat.
Environment Agency	 In section 5.1 please note that WFD also includes a biological and ecological component (it's not just about water quality) and is therefore relevant to both water quality and biodiversity. 	Noted and sentence added to biodiversity receptor in Table 5.1.
Environment Agency	8. SEA objectives in table 6.1 does not have an objective to protect and enhance either water quality or biology and diversity. I am wary that use of an objective "to use natural processes to support and facilitate ecosystem functions and the integrity of	For the water objective we have not used the wording "protect and enhance" however through managing pollution risks the water environment will be indirectly protected.

Table 6.2 Comments received on the Scoping Consultation Document.

Consultee	Comment	Response
	designations" does not do this.	
Environment Agency	9. There are a number of UK BAP Marine species that occur within the study area but are not listed in table C2 and should be. Of particular note and relevance to the study area are cetaceans such as Whitebeaked dolphin, Bottlenose dolphin, Minke whale and Humpback whale – all of which occur within inshore waters. Harbour Porpoise occur throughout the entire SMP area in transitional and coastal waters. Otter, another BAP species, also occur in both transitional and coastal waters. There is potential for all the aforementioned to be impacted by noise and disruption to habitat and seafloor morphology.	Noted. Table C2 refers to environmental constraints identified in the two SMPs. BAP species have been added to section 5.2.2.
Northumberland	10. the report omits a designated MCZ, namely	Noted and added to Section 5.2.1.
Wildlife Trust	Alnmouth Estuary	
Yorkshire Wildlife Trust	11. No specific comments.	
Natural England	 Table 5.1. Landscape. In the comment column mention that there a number of designated landscapes in the cell 1 area (National Parks, AONBs and Heritage Coasts). 	Noted. Reference to designated sites included in comment column in Table 5.1.
Natural England	 13. Table 6.1. Landscape (suggest alternative wording to place emphasis on the protected landscapes.) SEA Objectives: Minimise significant adverse impacts upon the landscape and seascape in particular in relation to protected landscapes. SEA criteria:cause significant adverse visual impact or damage to the setting or fabric of a protected landscape? 	Have used wording for SEA objectives as suggested and incorporated wording for SEA criteria and have amended original wording to now be: <i>Cause significant adverse impact to</i> <i>the setting or fabric of a protected</i> <i>landscape?</i>

SECTION 7

Assessment of the Proposed Action Plans, Coastal Strategies and 6 Year Scheme Programme

7.1 Assessment

The impact assessment of each of the proposed SMP2 action plans, 6 year FCERM programme and the coastal strategies is shown in Appendix F and G respectively. These results are shown on Figures SA1 to SA9 in Appendix A to illustrate the geographic spread of the impacts to inform the cumulative and in-combination assessment as discussed in Section 8.

The results of impact assessment is shown in Table 7.1 using the traffic light system as outlined in our methodology (see section 5).

Table 7.1 – Summary of Assessment of the SMP2 Action Plans, coastal strategies and 6 year FCERM programme

SMP2 actions, coastal strategies & 6 year FCERM programme SMP2 actions & 6 year FCERM programme (see Appendix E fo	e do e do e do e d	ap Material Assets	នុវ ទុវ ច្រុម ភ្ល Geohydromorphology	soil & Geology	suoi (suodiversity	Landscape	Heritage
Sandstell Point				-			
Marsden Bay Cliff Erosion Study							
Repairs to North Sunderland Harbour Breakwaters							
Beadnell North Sea Wall Improvements							
Craster Coast Protection Scheme							
Boulmer							
Little Shore Wave Basin							
Beacon to Creswell management and Bondi Carrs							
Beacon Hill to Creswell Management Realignment							
Newbiggin Point							
St Marys Island Causeway Improvements							
Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance							
Curry's Point to Brown's Point Maintenance							
Whitley Bay Southern Promenade Improvements							
Outdoor Pool							
Tynemouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall Improvements							
Central Promenade Appraisal							

SMP2 actions, coastal strategies & 6 year FCERM			ŝy				
programme			golot				
		sets	orph	ß			
		I As	nd	ieolo	rsity	be	a
	ple	teria	ter a ohyd	8	dive	dsca	itag
	Pec	Ma	Wa Geo	Soil	Bio	Lan	Her
Seabank Seawall Improvements							
Tynemouth North Pier to Fish Quay							
Potential schemes to South Sunderland							
Harbour East Bay							
Crimdon Valley							
Headland Walls Blocksands							
North Sands development strategy							
Hartlepool Bay – Marina							
Middleton Bay							
Management for Seaton Carew							
Management plan for Seaton Dunes							
Redcar eastern extension							
Marske and Saltburn strategy							
Skinningrove scheme development							
Relocated Cowbar lane							
Runswick Bay Appraisal and works							
Whitby Strategy 2. West Cliff Par							
Whitby Harbour Improvements	-						
Robin Hood's Bay							
Brown's Point to Tynemouth North Pier – Maintenance							
Scalby New PAR and works							
Tynemouth North Pier to Fish Quay							
North Bay, Urgent wall improvements. Phase 2							
Scarborough South Bay – Foreshore Road and St Nicholas Cliff							
Scarborough South Bay – South Bay Pool							
Scarborough South Bay – South Cliff Gardens							
Scarborough South Bay – Rose Gardens							
Cayton Bay Management Plan for Managed Re-alignment							
Filey – Cliff stabilization							
Filey – Outstanding defence at Filey							
Filey – Defence scheme appraisal							
Strategies (see Appendix F for detailed results and explanatio	ons)						

SMP2 actions, coastal strategies & 6 year FCERM programme	People	Material Assets	Water and Geohydromorphology	Soil & Geology	Biodiversity	Landscape	Heritage
South Tyneside Council Coastal Management Strategy 2007-2012							
Hartlepool Borough Council Seaton Carew Coastal Strategy							
Scarborough Borough Council Whitby Strategy							
Scarborough Borough Council Runswick Bay Strategy							
Scarborough Borough Council Robin Hoods Bay Strategy							
Scarborough Borough Council Scarborough Town Strategy							

In summary the majority of moderate or major negative impacts are on biodiversity and landscape receptors present along the coastline. This is mainly because the actions would be undertaken within ecologically designated sites and therefore cause coastal squeeze or would require working within a designated area for landscape and result in the deterioration in landscape quality. Where there are positive impacts on biodiversity this is mainly due to managed realignment opportunities and the creation of new habitats along the coastline.

Positive impacts on soil and geology were anticipated through the increased exposure of geological features, usually within cliff faces along the coastline.

With regards to water there was a mix of positive and negative impacts with major negative impacts in MA14 from the Redcar extension and MA15 Marske and Saltburn.

The impacts on population and material assets were mainly positive since the objectives of the actions are primarily focussed to protect and reduce risks to the public and material assets.

Cumulative and In-combination Impacts

8.1 Introduction

The cumulative and in-combination impacts of implementing the management actions of the two SMP2s, coastal strategies and 6 year FCERM programme across Cell 1 have been identified and assessed.

The key outcome of the combined assessment is to identify where it may be appropriate to reconsider the preferred timing of the SMP2 policies, coastal strategies and 6 year FCERM programme if they contribute to adverse environmental impacts on a cell wide basis.

8.2 Assessment of Spatial Extent of Action

The full cumulative and in-combination assessment is shown in Appendices H and I and illustrated on Figures SA1.A to SA9.A in Appendix A.

Table 8.1 summarises the results of the assessment of the spatial extent of the SMP2 Actions, coastal strategies and 6 year FCERM programme. Most of the SMP2 Actions and 6 year FCERM Programme schemes have either an in-combination or cross management area cumulative impact. These impacts were taken forward to consider potential cumulative impacts from delivery of actions at the same time. The results of this assessment is discussed further in section 8.3.

SMP2 actions & 6 year FCERM programme (see Appendix H for details)	In-combination impact?	Cross MA cumulative impact?
Sandstell Point	No	Yes
Marsden Bay Cliff Erosion Study	No	No
Repairs to North Sunderland Harbour Breakwaters	No	Yes
Beadnell North Sea Wall Improvements	Yes	Yes
Craster Coast Protection Scheme	No	Yes
Boulmer	No	Yes
Little Shore Wave Basin	No	Yes
Beacon to Creswell management and Bondi Carrs	Yes	Yes
Beacon Hill to Creswell Management Realignment	Yes	Yes
Newbiggin Point	No	Yes
St Marys Island Causeway Improvements	Yes	Yes
Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance	Yes	Yes
Curry's Point to Brown's Point Maintenance	Yes	Yes
Whitley Bay Southern Promenade Improvements	Yes	Yes
Outdoor Pool	Yes	Yes
Tynemouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall Improvements	Yes	Yes

Table 8.1 Summary of Assessment of Spatial Extent of SMP2 Actions, coastal strategies and 6 year FCERM programme

SMP2 actions & 6 year FCERM programme (see Appendix H for details)	In-combination impact?	Cross MA cumulative impact?
Central Promenade Appraisal	Yes	Yes
Seabank Seawall Improvements	Yes	Yes
Tynemouth North Pier to Fish Quay	No	Yes
Potential schemes to South Sunderland	Yes	Yes
Harbour East Bay	Yes	Yes
Crimdon Valley	Yes	Yes
Headland Walls Blocksands	Yes	Yes
North Sands development strategy	Yes	Yes
Hartlepool Bay – Marina	Yes	Yes
Middleton Bay	Yes	Yes
Management for Seaton Carew	Yes	Yes
Management plan for Seaton Dunes	Yes	Yes
Redcar eastern extension	No	Yes
Marske and Saltburn strategy	No	Yes
Skinningrove scheme development	No	No
Relocated Cowbar lane	No	Yes
Runswick Bay Appraisal and works	No	Yes
Whitby Strategy 2. West Cliff Par	Yes	Yes
Whitby Harbour Improvements	Yes	Yes
Robin Hood's Bay	No	No
Brown's Point to Tynemouth North Pier – Maintenance	No	No
Scalby New PAR and works	Yes	Yes
Tynemouth North Pier to Fish Quay	Yes	Yes
North Bay, Urgent wall improvements. Phase 2	No	No
Scarborough South Bay – Foreshore Road and St Nicholas Cliff	Yes	Yes
Scarborough South Bay – South Bay Pool	Yes	Yes
Scarborough South Bay – South Cliff Gardens	Yes	Yes
Scarborough South Bay – Rose Gardens	Yes	Yes
Cayton Bay Management Plan for Managed Re-alignment	No	Yes
Filey – Cliff stabilization	Yes	Yes
Filey – Outstanding defence at Filey	Yes	Yes
Filey – Defence scheme appraisal	Yes	Yes
Strategies (See I for details)*		
South Tyneside Council Coastal Management Strategy 2007-2012	No	No
Hartlepool Borough Council Seaton Carew Coastal Strategy	No	No

SMP2 actions & 6 year FCERM programme (see Appendix H for details)	In-combination impact?	Cross MA cumulative impact?
Scarborough Borough Council Whitby Strategy	No	Yes
Scarborough Borough Council Runswick Bay Strategy	No	Yes
Scarborough Borough Council Robin Hoods Bay Strategy	No	No
Scarborough Borough Council Scarborough Town Strategy	No	No

Note: * the actions within the coastal strategies generally replicate SMP actions and so are not described in detail

8.3 Assessment of Timing of Actions

The full cumulative and in-combination assessment is presented in Appendices H and I and illustrated on Figures SA1.A to SA9.A in Appendix A.

To summarise consideration should be given during programming of works to reduce the cumulative impact as much as practically possible however it is acknowledged that scheme programming is subject to many competing factors such as staff resource and budget availability. The appendices provide further details regarding the recommendations where the timing of schemes should be considered to avoid cumulative impacts across the Cell 1 SMP2 management Areas.

Conclusions

The project has strategically considered the in-combination and cumulative environmental impacts of coastal risk management activities in Cell 1 in order to consider potential impacts and enable the RMAs to seek mitigation or environmental opportunities. It is therefore the intention that this SA should be used as a reference document for future SEAs or EIAs where these may be required for coastal plans and strategies.

In order to inform the project the implementation status of the action plan items in the two Cell 1 SMP2s was been reviewed in consultation with the Cell 1 RMAs.

A number of Marine Conservation Zones (MCZ) that have been designated or are being considered for designation on the coast and offshore of Cell 1 since the production of the SMP2s have been considered in the assessments in addition to the nationally and internationally designated sites that were considered in the SMP2s.

The two SMPs included retrospective WFD compliance assessments but due to the timing WFD related mitigation or enhancements were not included in the SMP action plans. In addition to the assessments presented in this report a review of environmental mitigation measures for the WFD water bodies has been undertaken and recommendations for possible mitigations or environmental enhancements are presented in separate technical note.

The assessment of the SMP and strategy actions and 6-year programme schemes (see Appendix F) generally found that the majority of moderate or major negative impacts are on biodiversity and landscape receptors present along the coastline. Biodiversity issues feature significantly because many of the actions would be undertaken within ecologically designated sites and therefore potentially cause loss of or damage to coastal habitats through coastal squeeze. Similarly many actions would require working within a designated area for landscape and could potentially result in the deterioration in landscape quality. Where there are positive impacts on biodiversity this is mainly due to managed realignment opportunities and the creation of new habitats along the coastline.

The tables in Appendix H and I identify the receptors and designated sites that could be impacted cumulatively or in-combination by the actions. These are mostly biodiversity receptors/sites but also include landscape and cultural heritage receptors in some locations. This can be used to guide future work and cumulative assessments in terms of SEAs for coastal strategies and EIAs at scheme level. It also provides an early indication of where specialist surveys (i.e. bird surveys, archaeological surveys) may be required to inform more detailed scheme or strategy level assessments. Requirements for consultation with stakeholders (i.e. the County Archaeologist and Natural England) can also be determined from this information.

It is the intention that this report can be used as a reference document when producing scope of works and project planning of the actions to ensure programme and budgets include for the necessary level of environmental assessment, ecological and environmental surveys and stakeholder consultation, focussing on the impacts identified for each action. This will help Project Managers to identify where efforts should be focussed in terms of resources, budgets and programming to address the environmental issues identified for each action, both alone and in-combination.

SECTION 9

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AONB	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
ATL	Advance the Line
СРА	Coast Protection Act 1949
FCERM	Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management
HRA	Habitat Regulations Assessment
HTL	Hold the Line
LDA	Land Drainage Act
MA	Management Area
MCZ	Marine Conservation Zone
MR	Managed Realignment
NAI	No Active Intervention
NECAG	North East Coastal Authorities Group
PDZ	Policy Development Zones
PU	Policy Units
RMA	Risk Management Authority
SA	Strategic Assessment (Non-statutory)
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SINC	Site of Importance for Nature Conservation
SMP2	Shoreline Management Plan 2
SPA	Special Protection Area
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
WFD	Water Framework Directive

SECTION 10

Appendices

Appendix A

Figures



Asses Skelmers dale Skelmers Hunder H	dersfield Holmfirth	Scunthorpe Brigo Humberside Aitport S Brigo S Brig S Brigo S Brigo S Brigo S Brig S Brig S Brig S Brig S Brig S Brig S S S Brig S S Brig S S Brig S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
Coastline Extent	Client Scarborough Borough Council Town Hall	0 25 50
District Borough Unitary Authorities	YO11 2HG	Drawing : Local Authority Areas
	CH2M Geospatial Burderop Park, Swindon, SN4 0QD Tel: +44 (0)1793 812479	Drawn By : Tom Barker Date: 30/07/2015
	Fax: +44 (0)1793 812089 www.ch2m.com	Checked By : Caroline Frost Date: 30/07/2015 Approved By : Andy Parsons Date: 30/07/2015
	Project : Cell 1 WFD and SEA	Drawing No.: Revision -
		Drawing Scale : 1:800,000

Document Path: \\EXTFPP01\Proj\Maritime\Projects\661669_Cell 1 WFD Assessment & SEA\20_Data\GIS\MXDs\Cell1_SEA_WFD_LocalAuthorities.mxd






































SMP Background Information

Appendix B SMP Background Information

Introduction

SMPs set out a plan for a 100 year period indicating how flood and erosion risks at the coastline should be managed, taking into account the wider implications on the neighbouring coastline and the environment. The plans provide a broad scale assessment of the risks associated with coastal evolution and climate change and specific advice to risk management authorities in their management of the coast.

SMPs divide the 6,000 mile shoreline of England and Wales into eleven coastal cells and sub cells defined by coastal type and processes such as the movement of beach and seabed sediment (sand, shingle, etc.) within and between them. The north east coast of England lies within Cell 1 and includes the coastline from the Scottish Border to Flamborough Head, which covers approximately 300km.

Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP

The original SMP for this area, Northumberland (known as SMP1) was completed in 1998. The second SMP, known as Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 was completed in May 2009. Whilst SMP1 covered the coastline from St. Abb's Head to the River Tyne, SMP2 now extends from the Scottish Border to the River Tyne. The section of coastline between St. Abb's Head and the Scottish Border does not display significant coastal process interactions with the coastline further south and therefore this separation is now appropriate given the devolved powers of the Scottish Parliament since the completion of SMP1.

The SMP2 document was developed on behalf of the Northumbria Coastal Authorities Group (NCAG) and sets out the results of the first revision to the original SMP for the area of Northumberland and North Tyneside coast extending from the Scottish Border south to the River Tyne. NCAG comprised representatives from Berwick-upon-Tweed Borough Council¹, Alnwick District Council¹, Castle Morpeth Borough Council¹, Wansbeck District Council¹, Blyth Valley Borough Council¹, North Tyneside Council, the Environment Agency, Natural England, Defra, the Northumberland Coastal Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and other interested parties such as the Port of Tyne, Port of Blyth, North East Sea Fisheries, and Scottish Borders Council.

River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP

The three original SMPs for this area were completed in 1998, 1999, and 1997 working from north to south along the coast. The River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2 was completed in October 2007. The SMP2 was developed on behalf of the North East Coastal Authorities Group (NECAG) and sets out the results of the first revision to the original SMPs for the area of coast extending from the River Tyne south to Flamborough Head.

At the time of the development of the SMP2 NECAG comprised representatives from Scarborough Borough Council (Lead Authority), Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council, South Tyneside Municipal Borough Council, East Riding of Yorkshire Council, Easington District Council, Hartlepool Borough Council, Sunderland City Council, Natural England, Environment Agency and Defra.

Development of SMP policies

Figure B.1 below presents the approach to subdivision of the coastal frontage that was used for developing and presenting coastal management policies in both of the Cell 1 SMP2s.

In developing policy in the SMP2s, the coast was divided (at the highest level) into "Policy Development Zones" (PDZ). The coast along Northumberland is split into six PDZs: and the Tyne to Flamborough Head is split into 12 PDZs, as shown on Figures SA1 to SA9 in Appendix A. Within each of these PDZs, the principal management issues needing to be addressed were identified.

¹ It should be noted that from the 1st April 2009, the five District Councils in Northumberland (Berwick, Alnwick, Castle Morpeth, Wansbeck and Blyth Valley) became part of one single unitary authority for Northumberland

Within each PDZ, different SMP policies were considered; always starting with the NAI policy for all locations within the PDZ. A preferred defence management policy (referred to as the preferred policy) was subsequently identified for smaller sections of the coast - Policy Units (PU). This policy defines how that section of coast should be managed over the life time of the SMP.

Due to some inter-dependencies between Policy Units (to justify a policy of retreat in one area may rely on an assumption that an adjacent section of coast is held), policy units were grouped. Such groups of policy units are defined as "Management Areas" in the SMP2s. The definition of the management areas was made at the end of the policy development process and the SMP2s include statements providing the understanding of why each specific Management Area is to be managed in the way proposed and how the chosen policies for individual policy units work together to deliver that intent.

Figure B.1 Schematic Representation of the Frontage Subdivisions (taken from Figure 3.1 in the Northumberland SMP2, 2009).



SMP2 Action Plans

The SMP2 Action Plans are intended to:

- Establish processes for finalisation, dissemination and review of the SMP2;
- Enable linkages with relevant related flood and erosion risk management initiatives;
- Enable delivery of a prioritised programme of Strategy Plan development or reviews, studies and investigations;
- Enable delivery of a prioritised programme of possible future schemes that are likely to be required given the preferred policies that have been identified;
- Identify actions that will be required to resolve uncertainties;
- Identify actions that are necessary to deal with the consequences of the SMP policies; and
- Establish processes for informing stakeholders of progress with ongoing actions.

The Action Plans include the following categories of actions which would need to be carried out or developed in order to implement the policies for each PU:

- Studies and investigations;
- Schemes to manage and maintain the development assets present along the coastline;
- Schemes for planning new and adapting existing development assets (as named in the SMP2s); and

• Monitoring.

Monitoring is an important aspect of the Action Plans in order to gain a better understanding of coastal processes, so as to perform coastal management in an effective manner and to feedback into the shoreline management process. In general it is the Risk Management Authorities who, even if not actually managing specific actions, will be promoting or ensuring actions are undertaken in a timely manner.

The Action Plans of both the Cell 1 SMP2s are presented in Section 7 of each of the SMP2 documents along with an indicative cost and an indicative timescale by when the action is proposed to be undertaken (it should be noted that some actions have been completed since the SMPs were published). This information has been used to consult with the local coastal authorities to confirm the status of each action and the timeframe for delivery to inform this SA.

Appendix C FCRM 6 year investment programme

Appendix C FCRM 6 year investment programme

	January RFCC consented programn 'into Stage 2 Cell 1 Comments Was it scoped in during Stage 1? Project Name				15)					Pre-Cons	struction FCERM	GIA	Pre-Constr	ruction Othe	er funding			Estimated funding for construct dependent on full business ca securing required cont			ction by March 2021 Household case approval and ntributions protection t 202		eholds with a ter level of tion by March 2021 to make the ter level of protection when schemes are complete		olds evel rhen e
Add into Stage 2 Cell 1 study?	L Comments	Was it scoped in during Stage 1?	Project Name	Risk Management Authority	Regional Floo and Coastal Committee (RFCC)	d Environment Agency Area	ONS Region	n Constituency of project location	Location	Allocated in 2015/ 2016 (£k)	Indicative up to 2021 (£k)	Indicative from 2021 (£k)	RFCC Local levy (£k)	Public/ Private identified (incl. Internal Drainage Board Precept,	Further Required (£k)	Estimated Total Project Cost (£k)	Estimated earliest construction start	GiA (£k)	RFCC local levy (£k)	Public/ Private (incl. Internal Drainage Board Precept, £k)	Other funding contributions Required (£k)	from flooding	from coastal f erosion	from fr looding coa ero	om Economic stal benefits (Nei sion Present Value, £k)
No (already included)	Hartlenool Marina and N Pier	Yes, in PU12.1	Hartlepool Marina Study and Construction (North Pier)	Hartlepool Borough Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Hartlepool	Hartlepool Marina	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,618.0	2018 to 2021	1,171.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	360	0	360 25,986.
No (already included)	Small coastal scheme at Amble	Yes, in PU15.4	Little Shore Improvement	Northumberland County Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Berwick-upon- Tweed Co Const	Amble	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	240.0	2018 to 2021	220.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	40	0	40 1,788.
Voc	Minitely Pay scheme	Yes, PU26.5	Central Promenade Appraisal, Design And Construction, North Tyneside	North Tyneside Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Tynemouth	Whitely Bay, Tyne & Wear	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	44.0	0.0	3,086.2	2016 to 2018	839.2	100.0	1,700.0	0.0	0	60	0	60 3,608.
No (already included)	Whitley bay	Yes, PU26.6	Southern Promenade Sea Wall Repair - Whitely Bay	North Tyneside Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Tynemouth	Whitely Bay, Tyne & Wear	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	616.2	2016 to 2018	281.2	65.0	270.0	0.0	0	40	0	40 665.
No (already included)	Harbour Rd Seawall repairs	Yes in MA08, PU8.3	Beadnell North Sea Wall Improvements	Northumberland County Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Berwick-upon- Tweed Co Const	Harbour Rd, Beadnell, Northumberland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,593.0	2018 to 2021	1,410.0	0.0	2,093.0	0.0	0	70	0	70 7,446.
No (already included)	Small coast protection scheme	Yes, PU11.1	Boulmer Coast Protection	Northumberland County Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Berwick-upon- Tweed Co Const	Boulmer, Northumberland	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	235.9	2016 to 2018	178.9	0.0	27.0	0.0	0	25	0	25 686.
Yes	Coast protection scheme	No, in PU10.1, Action plan only had study, but 6-y prog shows possible scheme	r Craster Coast Protection Scheme	Northumberland County Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Berwick-upon- Tweed Co Const	Craster Harbour	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	2,560.0	2018 to 2021	700.0	0.0	1,800.0	0.0	0	50	0	50 3,119.
No (already included)	Small coast protection scheme	Yes	Newbiggin Point Coast Protection Scheme	Northumberland County Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Wansbeck Co Const	Newbiggin Point, Northumberland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	177.8	2018 to 2021	92.3	42.0	43.5	0.0	0	0	0	0 1,250.
No (already included)	Seaton Sluice scheme	No, needs adding in PU23.4 was not included as Scheme had been noted to be on hold	Seaton Sluice Harbour Improvements	Northumberland County Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Blyth Valley Boro Const	Seaton Sluice	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	1,549.9	2018 to 2021	499.9	0.0	1,000.0	0.0	0	60	0	60 1,707.
Yes	Marsden bay scheme	No, needs adding in PU4.2 as was previously just identified as a study.	Marsden Bay Cliff Erosion Study	South Tyneside Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	South Shields	Marsden Bay, Whitburn	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	67.0	0.0	923.1	2018 to 2021	310.5	0.0	250.0	0.0	0	0	0	0 9,187.
No (already included)	Sunderland scheme	Yes, in PU8.1	Hendon Foreshore Barrier / Stonehill Wall Breakwater	Sunderland City Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Hendon	Sunderland	0.0	0.0	0.0	150.0	1,500.0	0.0	11,111.8	2016 to 2018	631.9	700.0	4,650.0	0.0	0	0	0	0 66,210.
	1		1							Estimated				Public								E e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1		
Include in Stage 2 Cell 1 study?	Comments		Project Name	Risk Management Authority	Regional Floo and Coasta Committee (RFCC)	od I Environment Agenc Area	^y ONS Regio	on Constituency of project location	Location	Total Project Cost (£k)	Required in II 2015/16 (£k)	ndicative to 2021 (£k)	RFCC local levy (£k)	Internal Drainage Board Precept)	Private (£k)	Further Required (£k)	Estimated earliest construction start	from flooding	from coastal erosion	from flooding	from coastal erosion	benefits (Net Present Value, £k)			
Yes	repairs to North Sunderland harbour breakwaters	No, needs adding in PU6.3, was not identified in SMP action plan	Seahouses Main Pier Rehabilitation	Northumberland County Count	cil Northumbria	A Northumberland Durham and Tees	North Eas	Berwick-upon Tweed Co Con	- Seahouses Harbour, st Northumberland	5,188.6	0.0	2,472.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,025.0	2016 to 2018	0	140	0	140	31,902.3			
												Floo Mana	od and Co gement G	oastal Ero Grant in A	sion Risk id Funding		ro	ject Total	I		Households better lev protection by 2021	with a el of ⁄ March	Total hous a bette protect schemes a	seholds with r level of ion when ire complet	1
Include in Stage 2 Cell 1	Comments	Was it scoped in duri	ng Stage 1? Project Name	Risk Management Aut	Region and Co Comm	nal Flood oastal Environ ittee Agency	ment Area O	NS Region	Constituency of project location	Es	timated Tota Project Cost (£k)	Funding allocate for 2015/1	g d 5 to	CATIVE 2021 (£k)	INDICATIV from 2021 (£k)	E Local I (£k	Public (incl. Interna Drainag Board	al ge (1	vate Ek)	Further Required (£k)	from flooding	from coastal erosion	from flooding	from coastal erosion	Economic benefits (Net Present

											Flood Manage	and Coastal Ero ment Grant in A	sion Risk id Funding		roject	Total		Househo better protectio 20	lds with a level of n by March)21	Total house a better protecti schemes ar	eholds with level of on when re complete	
Include in Stage 2 Cell 1 study?	Comments	Was it scoped in during Stage 1?	Project Name	Risk Management Authority	Regional Flood and Coastal Committee (RFCC)	Environment Agency Area	ONS Region	Constituency of project location	Location	Estimated Total Project Cost (£k)	Funding allocated for 2015/16 (£k)	INDICATIVE to 2021 (£k)	INDICATIVE from 2021 (£k)	Local Levy (£k)	Public (incl. Internal Drainage Board Precept, £k)	Private (£k)	Further Required (£k)	from flooding	from coastal erosion	from flooding	from coastal erosion	Economic benefits (Net Present Value, £k)
N	This is a MIR habitat scheme on Tees, complete on site, not actually in the SMP area but is relevant so we should mention if possible	No, not rqd	Greatham North	Environment Agency	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Hartlepool	Hartlepool	6,034.2	51.7	191.2	39.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	C	C	0	0	
N	This is a Scheme already underway - tidal defence on Tees and MR on gretham Creek off Tees estuary. Although not in the SMP area it is relevant to the overall SA	No, not rqd	Port Clarence and Greatham South Flood Alleviation	Environment Agency	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Hartlepool and Stockton on Tees	Middlesborough	15,589.9	5,200.0	3,169.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,930.0	350	o a	350	0	504,277.6
У	Note this is partly completed	Yes, in PU11.3	Headland Walls and Blocksands, Hartlepool	Hartlepool Borough Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Hartlepool	Hartlepool Headland	11,792.8	4,458.6	1,032.9	0.0	300.0	2,454.4	528.9	0.0	C	195	0	195	39,442.3
N	This should be complete, so just needs mention under completed schemes in the overall SA	No (recognised as completed, PU17.2)	Skinningrove Coastal Protection	Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	Northumbria	Northumberland Durham and Tees	North East	Middlesbrough South and East Cleveland	Skinningrove	3,097.8	2,450.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.7	0.0	0.0	c	175	0	300	3,909.0

	REFERENCE				ORGANISAT	ION				FLAGS				LOCATION					DESCRIPTIVE DETAILS						PARTNERSHIP FUND (Values to be taken	ING SUMMARY rom PF Calculator)			ADDITIONAL D	ETAILS	GATEWAY	DATES	
National Project Number	Project Name	LRMA Project Reference or EA 1B1S no.	LDW/ CPW/ IDB Number	RFCC	EA Area	Lead Risk Management Authority - Name	Lead Risk Management Authority - Type	Coastal Group	Project Ri Type	lisk Source M	Aoderation P Code	Packages	National Grid Reference	Project Location (Town, River, SSSI etc)	nty P	Parliamentary Constituencies - Iroject Location	Parliamentary Constituencies - Benefit Area	Agreed Strategy	Brief Description of Problem and Proposed Solution	Environmental Considerations including DesignatedSites	Flooding Schemes Standard of Protection - before Construction %	Flooding Schemes Standard of Protection - after Construction %	Coastal Erosion Schemes Standard of Protection - before Construction Yrs	Coastal Erosion Ne Schemes Bu Standard of Protection - after Construction Yrs	w Is evidence Raw available Raw available Raw that a variable Raw that Partne Strategic p Fund Approach Score taken, and that double counting of avoided ?Y or N Benefits has been	Adjusted PV Whole Lift Partnershi Costs £ hip Funding RG Score (PF) % %	PV Whole Lift Benefits £	PV Whole Duration o Life Benefits Yr Benefits/ PV Whole Life Costs (Benefit / Cost Ratio)	Scheme comments	Earliest date funding profile could be accelerated to (First year of TPE spend)	r 1 s Case) (Contra Award)	Start of Y 3 construc ct	Gateway 4 (Readiness for Service)
YOC500E/ /002/	Scarborough 000A Coastal Risk Management Programme 2			Vorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA	North East	: DEF EI	ioastal rosion	٥		TA03639068	North Vorkshire Coast Vork	th shire f	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	¥	The Shoreline Management Plan 2 as well as other strategies and studies risk management along the North Yorkshire coastline. By undertaking risk management monitoring and analysis, the authority will be able to manage coastal rick more effectively as well as beine able to discharge is togal adurt parts to esidents by informing the public of potential risks along defended and undefended complex cliff coastal frontages. The Scarborough Coastal Risk Management Programme will allow the authority to record, analyse and interpret data to allow the accurate gaugit of current and potential risk associated with coastal slope ground movements. This in run will allow a series of early arrang gauges and tragge levels to be developed and be used to warm of risk to property and potentially to hum mill. This focus series for any maximum gauges and tragge levels to be developed and be used to warm of risk to property and potentially to hum allie. This focus stars from the National Flood Inters that traits to the should be of primary importance alongside other factor such as damage to property. ² Providing residents with information on coastal slope risk ellows them time to adapt to coastal change and manage their own risk ellows the traits allow the ter alist. the CPA's understanding of coastal processes and allow there effect coastal zone magement. The risk management programme will be undertaken at the following ten k coastal slope sites: Rumswick Ray, Withby West Cliff, Rolin Hoods Bay, Scal Provino of this project is a continuation of the proviso project, which will allow to build up our knowledge banks and better inform of risk to life. Continue the current risk management programme for a further 5 years.	Many SSSI's, SAC's, SPA's, RAMSAR Sites fail within the areas that are maniford as part of this programme t by d	0%	0%	0%	0%	NO 2459	265% 225,000	122,903	5.44 5		01/04/2017 15/05/2	017 15/07	/2017 15/0	7/2017 15/08/2017
YOS351C/ /0864	000A Whitby Harbour Works MU17 & MU18	SBC13	CPW3004	Yorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA	North East	DEF C	Coastal Trosion			NZ89901120	Whitby, North Yorkshire, YO21	th shire a	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	icarborough and Whitby Co Const	Whitby Coasta Strategy Sandsend to Abbey Cliff 2002 (approved)	Coast protection works. Works arising from Whitby strategy review and prioritised PARs	Whitby - Saltwick SSSI (geological) and SINC at mouth of River Esk.			1000%	10000%	Yes 76%	120% 8,887,000	52,370,000	5.89 100		30/09/2	014 31/03	/2015 01/0	8/2016 31/03/2017
YOS351C/ /1004	Whitby Coastal Strategy 2 Management Unit 19 Haggerlythe	SBC2013		Yorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA	North East	DEF E	Coastal			NZ89901120	Whitby, North Yorkshire, YO21	th ishire	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	icarborough and Whitby Co Const	Whitby Strategy 2013, approved	PAR for a new reventment & slope Stabilisation built to replace the present informal revetment comprised ofloosely placed rocks	Whitby - Saltwick t SSSI (geological) and SINC at mouth of River Esk.	3%	1%			Yes 59%	104% 1,232,000	2,439,000	1.98 100		01/06/2	020 01/09	/2021	31/03/2022
YOS351C/ /020/	001A Scalby Ness PAR & & Works	SBC20		Yorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA	North East	: DEF EI	coastal irosion	0		TA03669088	Scalby Mills, North Nort Yorkshire, York YO12	th ishire i	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	icarborough and Whitby Co Const	Hundale Point to Scalby Ness Strategy Study 2006 (approved)	Undertake a re-evaluation of the risk to properties and the viability of Scalt Ness as recommended by the study in 5 yearly intervals. Bring to account monitoring information. The Hundale Point to Scalby Ness strategy review 2006 recommended that costait alogo monitoring be undertaken at Scalby Ness to monitor risk to property. It also recommends that this data should re-evaluated the years from the completion of the strategy to reassess the risk of slope failure. Produce a PAR and works.	ron Scar and Hundale Point to Scalby Ness SSSI (geological)			500%	10000%	Yes 1089	5 108% 900,000	2,154,000	2.39 100		01/04/2	017 01/09	/2017	01/09/2018
YOS351C/ /068/	000A Robin Hoods Bay A PAR & Works	SBC56		Yorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA	North East	CM C	Coastal			NZ95380535	Robin Hoods Bay, North Nort Yorkshire, York YO22	th ishire	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	icarborough and Whitby Co Const	Robin Hoods Bay Coastal Strategy Study (estimated completion November 2011)	Two staged project: 1st stage to prepare the PAR, 2nd stage works as recommended by the PAR. (Northern section of village: The Robin Hoods B strategy Study has recommend that drainage works should be carried out the undefended northern flank of the village of Robin Hoods Bay to slow down ther rate of costal cill ferosion and reduce water pressure on the cosstal slopes that contribute to land instability. Southern Section of the willage. Sustain capital ugerading works as identified in the cosstal defence strategy study.)	ay in Maw Wyke to Beast Cliff SSSI			1000%	3000%	Yes 45%	100% 1,820,000	8,813,000	4.84 30		01/06/2	013 01/04	/2016	01/01/2018
YOS351C/ /012/	001A Appraisal and Works	SBC9		Yorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA	North East	: DEF C	Coastal Trosion	0		NZ80561614	Runswick Bay Nort Village, North York	th ishire	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	icarborough and Whitby Co Const	Runswick Bay Coastal Defence Strategy Study 2002 (approved)	WORKS REQUIRED AS IDENTIFIED IN RUNSWICK BAY STRATEGY Following a review of the 2002 strategy an options appraisal for new capital coast protection works is required for Kunswick Bay. This project falls within the SMP2 Action plan for the coastal management of Runswick Bay.	Staithes - Port of Mulgrave SSSI (geological)			500%	10000%	Yes 1119	5 111% 1,500,000	17,700,000	11.80 100		01/04/2	016 01/06	/2017	31/03/2017
YOS351C/ /084/	Scarborough South Bay Spa SeawallWorks	SBC74		Yorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA	North East	: DEF C	coastal irosion			TA04228739	Scarborough North Nort Yorkshire, York YO11	th ishire	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	icarborough and Whitby Co Const	Scarborough Coastal Defence Strategy Review - Holbeck to Scalby Mills 2009 (approved)	Spa sea wall improvements and slope stabilisation works.	CAYTON, CORNELIAN AND SOUTH BAY SSSI. CAYTON AND CORNELIAN SINC.			500%	10000%	Yes 60%	100% 14,309,000	115,113,00	0 8.04 100		01/08/2	015 01/04	/2016 01/0	5/2016 30/08/2018
YOS351C/ /0954	Whitby Strategy 2 - Management Unit 13 West Cliff PAR - Spa	SBC2011		Yorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA	North East	: DEF C	coastal crosion	0		NZ89901120	Whitby, North Yorkshire, YO21	th ishire	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	carborough and Whitby Co Const	Whitby Strategy 2013, approved	PAR for refurbishment of coastal defence assets due to assets coming to er of their design lives	Whitby - Saltwick d SSSI (geological) and SINC at mouth of River Esk.			1000%	5000%	Yes 26%	26% 938,000	4,387,000	4.68 80		01/04/2	021 01/04	/2021 01/0	5/2021 31/03/2022
YOS351C/ /007/	Scarborough South BayBeach Management Programme	SBC5	LDW/41055	Yorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA		CM FI	ea looding			TA04558825	Scarborough North Nort Yorkshire, York YO11	th ishire	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	carborough and Whitby Co Const	Scarborough Coastal Defence Strategy Review - Holbeck to Scalby Mills 2009 (approved)	SMP2 Monitoring recommendation to counteract the effect of longshore drift. Removal of excess sand accumulated at the toe of the defences. Exce sand creates a freeborading effect allowing waves at hightide to run over existing low level seawall and flood properties. Sand is removed annually a deposited at the base of the Spa seawall provideing additional defence to t seawall toe. Beach in equilibrium due to longshore drift.	SS CAYTON, CORNELIAN AND SOUTH BAY SSSI. ACAYTON AND he CORNELIAN SINC.	50%	10%			No 2099	5 209% 50,000	10,000,000	200.00 50		28/01/2	010 31/03	/2010	
YOC500E/ /0074	000A Wall Improvement Phase 2			Yorkshire	Yorkshire	Scarborough BC	LA	North East	DEF C	coastal crosion	0		TA03639068	North Yorkshire Coast	th ishire	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	carborough and Whitby Co Const	у	To provide protection to 382 properties that are at risk of loss. Sections of Rock amour defence are required as well as wave walls and slope stabilisation.	Scarborough castle cliffs SSSI	0%	0%	3%	30%	Yes 4959	495% 400,000	1,979,261	4.95 22		01/04/2019 15/05/2	019 15/07	/2019 15/0	7/2019 15/08/2020
YOS351F/ /012/	DODA Sandsend Coast Protection Scheme			Yorkshire	Yorkshire (North Yorkshire CC	LA	North East	DEF C	coastal rosion			NZ86761232	Sandsend Nort York	th ishire	Scarborough and Whitby Co Const	carborough and Whitby Co Const	SMP2 & Whitby Coasta Strategy	Problem - Unstable boulder clay and life expired revetment. Solution - Concrete stepped revetment and slope stabilisation	No significant issues identified			1000%	10000%	Yes 51%	100% 9,334,000	84,810,000	9.09 100		01/12/2	012 31/12	/2014 01/0	3/2015 31/07/2016

Appendix D Baseline Data and Environmental Constraints

Table D1. Baseline Data Signposting Table.

			Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP	2 Action Plan	River Tyne To Flamborough Head SMP2					
Issues and Obiectives	Thematic review	SEA Receptor	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Defining features and Issues	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Defining features and Issues				
,			Within the SMP2 study area		Within the SMP2	study area				
Environment	Natural	Biodiversity,	Appendix D	Appendix E	Appendix D	Appendix E Issues and Objectives				
	Environment	Flora and	Natural Environment: section D2	Over all issues defined by PDZ	Natural Environment: section D2 D2.2.2	Over all issues defined by MU area				
		Fauna	Nature Conservation: section D2.2	area:	Nature Conservation: section D2.2	South Tyneside Area Non-Technical				
			D2.2.2 International Designations:	1 Scottish Border to Holy Island	D2.2.2 Designated sites	Summary				
			Special Areas of Conservation	2 Bamburgh to Boulmer	International Designations:	4.4.1 Overview				
			Special Protection Areas	3 Seaton Point to Beacon Hill	Special Areas of Conservation	Sunderland City Area Non-Technical				
			Ramsar sites (SUPPLEMENT A - boundary Maps)	4 Beacon Hill to Beacon Point	Special Protection Areas (Section 2: boundary maps,	Summary				
			D2.2.3 National Designations:	5 Newbiggin moor to Seaton	includes Ramsar sites)	4.4.1 Overview				
			Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SUPPLEMENT A - boundary	Sluice	National Designations:	Easington Area Non-Technical Summary				
			Maps) 6	6 Seaton Sluice to River Tyne	Sites of Special Scientific Interest	4.4.1 Overview (County Durnam council) Hartlepool Borough area Non-Technical				
			National Nature Reserves (NNRs)		National Nature Reserves (NNRs)	Summary				
			Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty		Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty	4.4.1 Overview				
			D2.2.4 Regionally and locally: important classifications		Regionally and locally important sites:	Redcar and Cleveland area Non-Technical				
			Natural Areas		Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINCs)	Summary				
			Local Biodiversity Action Plans		Local Nature Reserves (Section 1: MAGIC general	4.4.1 Overview				
			Heritage Coast		Other Concentration Areas and babitates	Scarborough area Non-Technical Summary				
			D2 2 5 Other conservation areas and habitats		National Trust sites	4.4.1 Overview				
			Sites of Nature Conservation Importance		RSPB Reserves					
			Sites of Nature conservation importance		Natural Areas (areas 99 and 100)					
					Maritime areas					
					Coastal Dune Habitat					
					National Parks					
					Heritage Coast (Section 1: MAGIC general search boundary maps)					
					Designated sites boundary maps:					
					Earth Heritage: section D2.3					
					Littoral sediments					
					Maritime cliffs and slopes					
					Natural Area 100: Saltburn to Bridlington					
					Littoral and sub-littoral chalk					
					Littoral rock					
					Maritime cliff and slopes					
					Loshore sublittoral sediments					
					Littoral sediment					
	Earth Haritaga	Geology	Earth Heritage: section D2 2		Annendix D	1				
	Eurth Hentage	Geology	D2 2 2 Coological Conservation Deview (CCD) Sites		Appendix D Coological Sites (BICS) list of Sites not presented					
			D2.3.2 Geological Universition Review (GCR) Sites		Geological Sites (Celix) list of Sites not presented					
			D2.3.3 Geological Interest of Natural Areas							

Coastal	Appendix C	Appendix C Baseline Process Understanding
processes	Baseline Process Understanding – Section 1	C1 Assessment of Shoreline Dynamics
	C1 Assessment of Shoreline Dynamics	C1.3 Localised Coastal Process Understanding
	C1.1 Introduction (The local coastal process units)	Units 1 – 43
	C1.2 General Overview	C2 Defence Assessment
	Bedrock Geology	C3 Climate Change and Sea Level Rise
	Pleistocene Geology	
	Coastal Geomorphology	
	Impact of Colliery Waste on the Coastal Geomorphology	
	Beaches	
	Offshore	
	Coastal Erosion	
	Sediment Transport	
	Mining Subsidence	
	C1.2 Localised Coastal Process Linderstanding	
	Linit 1. Scottich Porder to Saltaan How	
	Unit 2 - Saltnan How to Harkess Rocks (including Holy Island)	
	Unit 3 - Harkess Rocks to Castle Point	
	Unit 4 - Castle Point to Seaton Point	
	Unit 5 - Seaton Point to Beacon Hill	
	Unit 6 - Beacon Hill to Snab Point	
	Unit 7 - Snab Point to Beacon Point	
	Unit 8 - Beacon Point to Seaton Sluice	
	Unit 7 - Seaton Sluice to River Tyne	
	C2 Defence Assessment	
	Database information tables	
	PDZ/MA/PU Maps - maps and defence locations	
	Baseline Process Understanding - Section 2	
	C3 Climate Change and Sea Level Rise	
	C3.2 Sea Level Rise	
	C3.3 Storminess	
	C3.4 Precipitation	
	C4 Baseline Scenarios (present implications with NAI) over the three epochs (Years: 0 - 20 (2025), 20 - 50 (2055), 50 - 100	
	(2105)) for each Coastal Process Unit. Shoreline position maps.	
	Appendix H	
	Estuary Assessment	
	H2.2 Open Coast – Estuary Interactions	
	H3 Assessment of the River Tweed Estuary	
	H4 Assessment of the River Aln Estuary	
	H5 Assessment of the River Coquet Estuary	
	H6 Assessment of the River Wansbeck Estuary	
	H7 Assessment of the River Blyth Estuary	
	H8 Assessment of the River Tyne Estuary	
	H9 Summary	

D-2

			Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2	2 Action Plan	River Tyne To			
Issues and Obiectives	Thematic review	SEA Receptor	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Defining features and Issues	Natural and Built environment Baselin			
			Within the SMP2 study area		Within th			
		Water	Appendix K		Appendix F			
			Water Framework Directive Assessment		Water Framework Directive Assessment			
			K3.1 Scoping the SMP2 – Data Collation					
			K3.1.1 Transitional and Coastal water bodies (TraC)					
			K3.1.2 Freshwater bodies (FWBs)					
			K3.1.3 Groundwater bodies (GWBs)					
			K3.1.4 Boundary issues					
			K3.2 Defining Features and Issues					
			K3.3 Assessment of the SMP2 Policy against the SMP2 Environmental Objectives					
			K3.3.1 Environmental Objective WFD1					
			K3.3.2 Environmental Objective WFD2					
			K3.3.3 Environmental Objective WFD3					
			K3.3.4 Environmental Objective WFD4					
			K3.3.5 Water Framework Directive Summary Statements					
			K4 Discussion and conclusions					
		Air quality and climate factors						
	Contaminated	Soil	Appendix D					
	land		Contaminated Land: Section D6					
			D6.2 Features					
	Landscape and	Landscape	Appendix D		Appendix D			
	character		Landscape and character: section D3		Landscape & Character: section D3			
			D3.2 Landscape and Visual Features		D3.2 Landscape and Visual Features:			
					D2.2.1 Area 14 Type and Wear Lowlands			
					D3.2.1 Area 14 - Tyrie and Wear Lowianus			
					Plateau			
					D3.2.3 Area 23 - Tees Lowlands			
					D3.2.4 Area 25 - North Yorkshire Moors and Cleveland Hills			
					D3.2.5 Area 26 - Vale of Pickering			
					D3.2.5 Area 27 - Yorkshire Wolds			

amborough Head SMP2						
9	Defining features and Issues					
e SMP2 s	itudy area					
е						

			Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP	River Tyne To F		
Issues and Objectives	Thematic review	SEA Receptor	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Defining features and Issues	Natural and Built environment Baseline	
			Within the SMP2 study area		Within the	
Heritage	Historic environment	Cultural heritage	Appendix D Historic Environment: section D4 D4.2 Terrestrial: D4.2.1 Scheduled Ancient Monuments (SAMs) D4.2.2 Listed Buildings D4.2.3 Registered Battlefields D4.2.4 Registered Parks and Gardens D4.2.5 Heritage Coast D4.2.6 North East Rapid Coastal Zone Assessment SUPPLEMENT B - complete list of all artefacts, buildings and structures of archaeological interest within 100m of the Northumberland Coastline D4.3 Marine no protected wrecks	Erosion / flood risk threatening heritage asset	 Appendix D Historic Environment: section D4 D4.2 Terrestrial Scheduled Ancient Monuments (SAMs)Nation Parks, archaeological sites and listed buildings D4.2.2 1 River Tyne to Souter Point (Managen Units 1 -5) D4.2.3 2 Souter Point to Pincushion (Manager Units 6 - 8) D4.2.4 3 Hartlepool Bay (Management Unit 12) D4.2.5 4 Tees Bay (Management Unit 13) D4.2.6 5 Coatham to Redcar (Management Urit 15) D4.2.8 7 Huntcliffe to Boulby (Management Unit 15) D4.2.9 8 Cowbar to Sandsend Wyke (Manager Units 19 - 22) D4.2.10 9 Whitby to Hundale Point (Manager Units 23 - 25) D4.2.12 11 Filey to Flamborough Head (Management Units 30 - 32) D4.3 Marine Protected wreck sites D4.3.1 Seaton Carew Filey Bay wreck not included 	
Commercial	Current and future land use	Population	Appendix D Current and Future Land Use: section D5 D5.3 Features D5.4 Future Land Use/Planning Targets set by Local Authority Development Plans		 Appendix D Current & Future Land Use: section D5 D5.3 Features D5.3.1 South Tyneside District Council D5.3.2 Sunderland City Council D5.3.3 Former District of Easington Council (n Durham County Council) D5.3.4 Hartlepool Borough Council D5.3.5 Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council D5.3.6 Scarborough Borough Council D5.3.7 East Riding of Yorkshire Council D5.4 Future land use /planning targets as set k plans 	

amboro	ugh Head SMP2
2	Defining features and Issues
e SMP2 s	study area
nal	
ient	
nent	
2)	
nit 14) ent	
nits 16	
nent	
ent	
ow	
y local	

			Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP	Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 Action Plan				
Issues and Objectives	Thematic review	SEA Receptor	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Defining features and Issues	Natural and Built environment Baselin			
			Within the SMP2 study area	Within th				
Recreational		Population	Appendix D Current and Future Land Use: section D5 D5.3 Features D5.4 Future Land Use/Planning Targets set by Local Authority Development Plans	Erosion / flood risk of recreational assets (e.g. beach, golf course) Erosion / flood risk for coastal access				
Hard assets		Material assets	Appendix D Current and Future Land Use: section D5 D5.3 Features D5.4 Future Land Use/Planning Targets set by Local Authority Development Plans	Erosion / flood risk threatening development zones and material assets Redevelopment plans within the coastal zone	Appendix C Baseline Process Understanding C2 Defence Assessment Details the condition of the hard defences alo SMP coast between the River Tyne and Flamb Head.			

Table D2. Key Environmental Constraints and Issues

	Northumberland and No	River Tyne To Flam				
SEA Receptor	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities	Natural and Built environment Baseline			
Biodiversity, Flord and Fauna	A large proportion of the coast is designated as sites of international or national nature conservation importance. The coastline provides a wide diversity of species and habitat, including low-lying sandy beaches, sand dunes, intertidal mud/sand flats and rocky shorelines, cliff and sea caves	Threat of invasive species Loss of habitat, particularly salt marsh and rocky shore and opportunities for habitat creation Recreational disturbance of protected habitats Inadequate management of designated sites	Vegetated sea cliffs, Vegetated cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coast, <i>Taxus baccata</i> (yew) woods of the British Isles, Reefs, Sea caves			
	Special Areas of Conservation: Berwick and North Northumberland Coast Tweed Estuary North Northumberland Dunes	Coastal squeeze	Special Areas of Conservation: Durham Coast Castle Eden Dene Beast Cliff – Whitby (Robin Hood's Bay) Flamborough Head			
	Special Protection Areas / Ramsar Sites Northumbria Coast Lindisfarne Farne Islands Coquet Island		Special Protection Areas / Ramsar Sites The Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA and Ramsar Flamborough Head and Bempton Cliffs SPA			
	Sites of Special Scientific Interest x 18 Northumberland Shore Tweed Catchment Rivers - England: Lower Tweed and Whiteadder Lindisfarne Bamburgh Coast and Hills Bamburgh Dunes The Farne Islands Newton Links Castle Point to Cullernose Point Howick to Seaton Point Alnmouth Saltmarsh and Dunes		Sites of Special Scientific Interest x 32 BOLDON PASTURES BOULBY QUARRIES CASTLE EDEN DENE CAYTON, CORNELIAN & SOUTH BAYS CLEADON HILL COWPEN MARSH DURHAM COAST FILEY BRIGG FLAMBOROUGH HEAD GRISTHORPE BAY & RED CLIFF HARTLEPOOL SUBMERGED FOREST			

amborough Head SMP2							
5	Defining features and Issues						
e SMP2 s	SMP2 study area						
ng the							
orough							
	1						

mborough Head SMP2

Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities

ensure enhancement of the natural ecological features Intrusion of saline water to Castle Eden Dene SAC/SSSI/NNR Coastal Sand Dunes - habitat loss by coastal squeeze

SEA Receptor	Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 action Plan		River Tyne To Flan
	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities	Natural and Built environment Baseline
	Warkworth Dunes and Saltmarsh Coquet Island Hadston Links Cresswell Ponds Cresswell and Newbiggin Shores Low Hauxley Shore Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice		HARTON DOWN HILL HAWTHORN DENE HAWTHORN QUARRY HAYBURN WYKE HODDY COWS SPRING IRON SCAR & HUNDALE POINT TO SCALBY NESS NORTH BAY TO SOUTH TOLL HOUSE CLIFF NORTH YORK MOORS NORTHUMBERLAND SHORE REDCAR ROCKS ROBIN HOODS BAY: MAW WYKE TO BEAST CLIFF RUNSWICK BAY SALTBURN GILL SEAL SANDS SEATON DUNES & COMMON SOUTH GARE & COATHAM SANDS STAITHES-PORT MULGRAVE TEES & HARTLEPOOL FORESHORE & WETLANDS TYNEMOUTH TO SEATON SLUICE WHITBY-SALTWICK WEAR RIVER BANK
	National Nature Reserves x 2 Lindisfarne Farne Islands		National Nature Reserves x 3 DURHAM COAST CASTLE EDEN DENE TEESMOUTH
	Biodiversity Action Plans Saline lagoons Coastal saltmarsh and mudflat Coastal sand dune Whin grassland Rocky shore, reefs and islands Native woodlands Reedbeds Coastal heathland Maritime cliff and slope Lowland heathland Coastal birds Common seal	Saline lagoons issues: pollution; erosion; drying-out; rising sea levels; altering of the natural salinity profile and coastal defence works. The target for this habitat is to maintain the current extent of these lagoons by 2010. Coastal saltmarsh and mudflat issues: threat from land reclamation; disruption of coastal processes through coastal development causing erosion and drowning; pollution; invasive species and overgrazing and disturbance from recreational and military activity to birds. 2010 conservation targets to increase the extent of coastal saltmarsh to 326 ha, mudflat to 3,082 ha and increase extent of coastal saltmarsh extent in Northumberland by 2020. Coastal sand dunes issues: under threat from inappropriate management through over or under grazing; erosion from trampling and recreation and also from increased waved action brought about by the deflection of waves by hard sea defences. Coastal squeeze, coastal development, sand extraction, non-native and native invasive species. Target was to maintain extent by 2010 Whin Grassland issues: greatest threats include quarrying and intensification of agriculture. Also grazing, trampling, scrub invasion, woodland planting, golf course management and fragmentation. Targets: maintain the extent of 19 sites by 2010 and achieve favourable or recovering condition; Restore Whin grassland to offset historical losses by 2015 Rocky Shore, Reefs and Islands issues: under threat from oil spills and aggregate extraction, fishing (of particular treat to S. spinulosa reefs), mooring of vessels, both commercial and recreational. Native Woodland issues: regeneration of non-native tree species, lack of appropriate management, resulting in lack of regeneration and no control over grazing, invasive species, fragmentation. Species action plans are in place for red squirrel, dormouse, black grouse and farmland birds. 2015 Targets to achieve favourable condition of 70% of ancient and semi-natural woodland and 30% of plantation on ancient woodland sites have been restored or	Habitats within the SMP boundaries of particular concern Coastal Sand Dunes Biodiversity Action Plans - not identified within the SMP2

Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities

coastal squeeze (including potential threat to little tern habitat) Ensure that shoreline management does not have a detrimental impact on saltmarsh habitat. Coastal Sand Dunes - habitat loss by coastal squeeze

SEA Receptor	Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 action Plan		River Tyne To Flar
	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities	Natural and Built environment Baseline
		Reedbed issues: Pollution (including nutrient enrichment (eutrophication), water runoff and mine water, fly tipping, land drainage and abstraction; inappropriate management, causing dying out and subsequent scrub encroachment, and succession to woodland; habitat loss due to development, grazing and agriculture; recreational activities; lack of data on quality and extent; 2010 targets to maintain habitat Coastal Heathland issues: Overgrazing, scrub and bracken encroachment and inappropriate cutting regimes. targets: Offset historical losses by restoring 1ha of heathland by 2015, increase extent of heathland in Northumberland by 0.5ha by 2020. Maritime Cliff and Slope issues: Erosion is a significant threat through agricultural drains discharging from cliff faces, heavy trampling from recreational pressure, and through increased storm frequency from climate change and sea level rise. Invasive species from agricultural runoff out competing natural cliff top vegetation and over/ under- grazing or cutting regimes. 2010 targets were to maintain habitat. No species actions plans in place Lowland Heathland issues: Lack of management and habitat fragmentation, agricultural fertilizer and intensive livestock grazing. Species action plans are in place for farmland birds. targets: Lowland heathland to be restored to offset historical losses by 2015, increase the extent of this habitat by 2020	
	Local Nature Reserves x 3 Heritage Coast x 1 The Northumberland Heritage Coast and AONB (Berwick to the Coquet estuary) Renown for its long sandy beaches, dunes, high rocky cliffs, isolated islands and shortage of visitors: Cocklawburn - for its ancient fossil beds; Warkworth - for its large expanse of sand dunes; Low Newton - for its rare marine species; and Bamburgh - for its coastal sand dunes protected behind a row of reefs. Also designated for its bird life: RSPB Reserve x 1 (at Coquet Island) National Trust x 6 owned sections of the coast Sites of Nature Conservation Importance x 20 Natural Profile Area Natural Area Profile 98: Northumberland Coast Natural Area Profile 1: North Northumberland Coastal Plain Natural Area Profile 5: Northumbria Coal Measures The Mid North Sea Marine Natural Area		Local Nature Reserves x 18 Heritage Coast x 3 areas Durham North Yorkshire and Cleveland Flamborough Head RSPB Reserves x 1 (Bempton Cliffs) National Trust x 15 owned sections of the coast National Parks x 1 North York Moors National Park - designated in 1952 to conserve the areas of heather moorland, traditional farmland, attractive villages, woodlands and 25 miles of the coastline, characterised by rugged cliffscapes and picturesque fishing villages. Natural Profile Area x 1 Natural Area Profile 99: Tyne to Tees Coast
Soil, Geology and Geomorphology	The Northumberland coastline is of high geological and geomorphological interest. which are reflected in the designation of SSSIs with geological interest features, and number designated Geological Conservation Review (GCR) sites		Geomorphologically, the coast can be divided into three distinct units: The Tyne and Wear/Durham coast comprises Magnesian Limestone overlain by glacial till and importantly, has been heavily modified by anthropogenic coal mining activity. The northern part of the Yorkshire coast is dominated by Jurassic sandstones and mudstones overlain by glacial till and has been sculpted into a headland-bay form. Many of the bays are deeply incised into the general trend of the coast. The southern part of the Yorkshire coast comprises high chalk cliffs ending in the promontory of Flamborough Head.
	Sites of Special Scientific Interest with geological features x 6 Lindisfarne		Sites of Special Scientific Interest with geological features x Geological Conservation Review (GCR) sites

mborough Head SMP2

Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities

SEA Receptor	Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 action Plan		River Tyne To Fla	
	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities	Natural and Built environment Baseline	
	Bamburgh Coast and Hills Castle Point to Cullernose Point Howick to Seaton Point Cresswell and Newbiggin Shores Low Hauxley Shore Geological Conservation Review (GCR) Sites x 11 Geological Interest of Natural Areas		The Tyne to Tees Coast contains several nationally important geological sites, most of which have been designated as SSSIs, GCR sites which is a reflection of the geological or geomorphological value of the coast. Features support a wealth of flora and fauna. Key features associated with flora and fauna include: In particular Littoral sediments and Maritime cliffs and slopes. Natural Area 100: Saltburn to Bridlington: Littoral and sub-littoral chalk, Littoral rock, Maritime cliff and slopes, Coastal vegetated shingle, Inshore sublittoral sediments, Littoral sediment associated with flora and fauna.	
	The underlying geology provides a strong influence on the behaviour of the Northumberland coastline and is formed in general by two distinct series: the harder Carboniferous Limestone and Millstone Grits, of the Lower and Upper Carboniferous periods respectively, dominating the northern section of the coast from the Scottish border down to Alnmouth, and the less resistant Middle, Upper Carboniferous, Coal Measures extending down to the River Tyne.			
	Erosion of the shoreline is mainly influenced by the geomorphology and exposure to wave and tidal action. Other factors include general weathering, chemical and bio-chemical deterioration and ground water. Erosion is slow in comparison to other areas of the English coastline.			
	effect swamping key rock outcrops, such as at Boulmer, Marden Rocks at Alnmouth, the Bondi and Hadston Carrs to the north of Druridge Bay, and the rocks fronting Cresswell.			
Coastal processes	The typical pattern of wave climate offshore records a dominant wave approach from the north and north east with significant but reduced frequency of exposure from directions south of east. The general pattern of drift is north to south. The only significant influence on this nearshore drift system is in the area of Holy Island and the Farne Islands where the whole coastal and nearshore platform has developed in line with the prevailing wave direction. Movement of material is anticipated to be onshore-offshore with little direct interaction between bays. Overall many of the bays are thought to have reached a relatively stable condition but still subject to movement longshore depending on wave conditions. Druridge and Newbiggin in particular have not demonstrated such stability. Druridge shows continued loss of the backshore and associated with this erosion. Newbiggin a lack of sediment supply, associated with defences forward of the natural shoreline and exacerbated by mining subsidence has resulted in considerable pressure for erosion. A scheme is now in place that aims to address these issues by artificially drawing forward the shoreline creating conditions for a sustainable recharge of the foreshore.			
Water and Hydromorphology	Transitional and Coastal (TraC) water bodies x 12 Not designated: Northumberland North - Good Ecological Status Holy Island and Budle Bay - Poor Ecological Status Farne Islands to Newton Haven - High Ecological Status	 SMP2 WFD Discussion and conclusions Farne Islands to Newton Haven water body (MA06, MA08, MA07 and MA09): Potential to fail to meet Environmental Objective WFD1 (no changes affecting high status sites). 	2 Coastal water bodies 4 Transitional water bodies Freshwater bodies (scoped out)	

amborough Head SMP2

Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities

Potential deterioration in respect to WFD were highlighted in 2009 as MA13, MA19, and MA20. Groundwater investigation for Tees Bay (MA13) Avoid disruption to existing ecological interests (NAI advised to be considered) at Cowbar Cottages (MA19)

SEA Receptor	Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 action Plan		River Tyne To Flam	
	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities	Natural and Built environment Baseline	
	Northumberland South - Good Ecological Status Hadston Links and Cresswell Ponds - Not assessed Tyne and Wear - Moderate Ecological Status Tweed - Good Ecological Status Aln - Not assessed Heavily modified waterbodies: Coquet - Not assessed Wansbeck - Not assessed Blyth - Good Ecological Potential Tyne - Moderate Ecological Potential Freshwater bodies x 12 Groundwater bodies x 4	 HTL for the defence of property and assets of Beadnell village (MA08) could result in the loss of highly valuable rocky outcrop and sand foreshores. (maintenance identified as HRA IROPI and compensation of loss/deterioration of habitats identified) Annual topographic surveys required at Holy Island (MA04) and monitoring of erosion rates around the outfall of Meggie's Burn (MA23). Investigations will be needed into the management options for the mouth of the Wansbeck estuary (MA21): ecological impacts of the option of removing the weir. next SMP recommendations: Boundary between MA04 and MA05 adjusted to align with the water body boundary between the Holy Island & Budle Bay and Northumberland North water bodies. Unless current boundary is most representative of coastal processes in the area. MA19 and MA20, could align with the Northumberland South and Tyne & Wear water bodies ground water bodies may be impacted by SMP 	8 Groundwater bodies	
Landscape	The Northumberland Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) was designated in 1958 and covers 39 miles of coast from Berwick to the Coquet estuary. some of the most dramatic coastal scenery in the country with a multitude of special features. sweeping sandy beaches, rolling dunes, high rocky cliffs and isolated islands area steeped in history, covering 7000 years of human activity the host of conservation sites testify to the great variety of wildlife and habitats found within the AONB, and highlight its importance in nature conservation			
	Landscape Character Areas Area 1 North Northumberland Coastal Plain Area 13 South East Northumberland Coastal Plain Area 14 Tyne and Wear Lowlands Natural Profile Areas see flora and fauna section		Landscape Character areas Area 14 Tyne and Wear Lowlands Area 15 Durham Magnesian Limestone Plateau Area 23 Tees Lowlands Area 25 North Yorkshire Moors and Cleveland Hills Area 26 Vale of Pickering Area 27 Yorkshire Wolds Natural Profile Areas see flora and fauna section	
Material assets	There are many material assets along the SMP coastline including urban centres, ports, roads, railways and power stations. All material assets of importance that could be at risk from coastal erosion are currently being defended.	Erosion / flood risk threatening material assets Erosion / flood risk threatening development zones and material assets Redevelopment plans within the coastal zone		
Population and Land use	The majority of urban population is within 30 km of the coastline. The north coastline is characterised by rural areas and smaller towns and villages. In the south lies the major conurbation of Newcastle. The major areas of urban form running from north to south are: Berwick-upon-Tweed; Seahouses; Amble; Newbiggin- by-the-Sea; Blyth; North Tyneside. The urban areas include major areas of port and tourism development alongside residential uses. Industrial areas along the coast include shipbuilding, heavy engineering, chemical engineering and mining. The region has been severely affected by changes in the national and international economy and shifting employment patterns.	Recreation - Erosion / flood risk of recreational assets (e.g. beach, golf course). Erosion / flood risk for coastal access	The majority of urban form in the study area is located in the 30km wide strip of the coastal zone. Dominated by residential uses it also includes port development and tourism development. Major conurbations are Newcastle and Sunderland with the coastline moving southward characterised by rural coastline and smaller towns and villages. Major areas of urban form North to South are Tyneside; Sunderland Seaham, Hartlepool, Recar, Marske by the Sea, Saltburn by the Sea, Whitby, Scarborough, Filey. The region has been severely affected by changes in the national and international economy and shifting employment patterns,	

mborough Head SMP2

Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities

- Investigations at Port Mulgrave (MA20) to investigate maintenance of the old harbour walls.
- Potential deterioration in
- Ecological Status from contamination and erosion rates of the coastline: monitoring advised within MA05, MA08, MA09, and MA10
- potential saline intrusion into the Wear Magnesian Limestone GWB at MA05. Monitoring advised.
- Boundary issues MA11 and MA12 is advised to align with the water body boundary between the Yorkshire North and Tyne and Wear water bodies

	Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 action Plan		River Tyne To Flar	
SEA Receptor	Natural and Built environment Baseline	Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities	Natural and Built environment Baseline	
	Large areas of the coast, particularly in the south of the study area, contain pockets of severe social deprivation and qualify for various levels of European Assisted Area Status. Tourism development occurs where the coast is generally more rural and there are important historical and natural attractions. Tourism does occur throughout the area but is specifically concentrated to the north, towards Lindisfarne and Bamburgh. Councils Northumberland County Council North Tyneside Council		however there are residual areas of coast are associated with shipbuilding; heavy Engineering; chemical engineering. Tourism development occurs at intervals from the River tyne to Flamborough Head, but is specifically concentrated in the south, where the coast is generally more rural in character. Councils South Tyneside District Council Sunderland City Council County Durham Council Hartlepool Borough Council Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council Scarborough Borough Council East Riding of Yorkshire Council	
Historic Environment	The heritage features along the Northumberland coast portray a long, and often bloody, legacy. This is especially true for the north as a result of the ongoing border disputes between England and Scotland, which has resulted in the construction of numerous castles. The history of the area dates back to the Neolithic times, whilst many of the scheduled monuments have a religious theme due to the areas close association with early Christianity in England. The history to the south is illustrated by its industrial heritage, which is mainly linked to fishing and coal mining.	Erosion / flood risk threatening heritage asset	From the south of the River Tyne to Flamborough Head, the strong industrial heritage of this area of the coastline, is coupled with the rural legacy of the more southern areas providing a diverse range of terrestrial heritage. It is home to some of the country's most outstanding national monuments (such as Whitby Abbey) and a wealth of archaeological features.	
	Designations located within 1 km of the Northumberland coastline: 35 Scheduled Monuments 43 Registered Battlefields 3 Registered Parks and Gardens The North Northumberland Heritage Coast There are no marine heritage features Several features of heritage interest have been identified as being at high risk from coastal erosion (reported in the North East Rapid Coastal Zone Assessment: Phase 1 and Phase 2 (2008, 2010)		Designations located within 1 km of the coastline from the River Tyne to Flamborough head : 36 Scheduled Monuments 1 Protected wreck (Seaton Carew) Heritage Coast:Durham, North Yorkshire and Cleveland, and Flamborough Head	
Air quality and climate factors	The north-east coast is believed to be still responding to changes du acceleration in sea level rise due to climate change. Relative sea lev land level as the crust slowly readjusts to unloading of the weight or rise in land levels over the last few thousand years, whereas the sou stable	uring the last 10,000 years when sea levels rose rapidly, flooding the North el change depends upon changes in global sea level (eustatic change) and f the ice since the last Ice Age. Therefore, areas which were covered by ice uthern areas of England has been subsiding. Tees Bay is approximately at t	Sea Basin, but there is now concern over human-induced in land-level (isostatic change). Isotstatic change is the change in e, i.e. northern England and Scotland, have been experiencing a he fulcrum of the see-saw and therefore remains relatively	

mborough Head SMP2

Environmental Issues, Constraints and Opportunities
Table D3. Issues and Objectives by Coastal Protection Authority (taken from the Chapter 7 of eachSMP2 Main Document)

SMP2 overview of approach and main issues going forward within each Council Area

(a full list of objectives for each zone is presented in Appendix E of the Northumberland to North Tyneside SMP2 and River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)

Northumberland County Council and North Tyneside Council

PDZ 1: Scottish Border to Budle Point, including Holy Island.

Maintain the naturalness of the undefended areas of coast.

Protect areas of high economic and socio-economic value from sea flooding and coastal erosion.

Maintain and enhance ecological interest and amenity benefits.

Adapt planned and existing land uses to accommodate ongoing coastal change, including erosion and sea level rise. Relocate sections of car parks and caravan parks where necessary.

PDZ 2: Bamburgh to Seaton Point

Allow natural evolution over the majority of the frontage to maintain and enhance its landscape, tourism and environmental value.

To protect major commercial or residential areas such as Seahouses Harbour, Beadnell Village and Beadnell Harbour. Local defences to protect the overall integrity of coastal communities where sustainable to do so, although not necessarily using linear defence structures.

Realignment of sections of highway

Maintenance of defence to coastal communities, such as at Boulmer.

PDZ 3: Seaton Point to Beacon Hill

Allow natural evolution over the majority of the frontage to maintain and enhance its landscape, tourism and environmental value.

To protect commercial centres and regeneration opportunities.

To enhance the natural environment through managed realignment of flood defences within the River Aln and River Coquet estuaries.

Adapt planned and existing land uses to accommodate ongoing coastal change, including erosion and sea level rise. Re-location of foreshore access points.

Re-location of sections of caravan parks, golf courses and car parks where necessary.

Maintenance of defences around Warkworth Harbour.

Erosion risk at Amble Cemetery.

PDZ:4 Beacon Hill to Beacon Point

Manage evolution over the majority of the frontage to maintain and enhance its landscape, tourism and environmental value.

To protect industrial areas and regeneration opportunities.

Managing the response of dunes under rising sea levels and storm attack.

Managing the erosion of colliery spoil beaches and coastal slopes.

Realignment of sections of highway, especially near Snab Point.

Realignment of sections of car park, especially in northern Druridge Bay and near Snab Point.

Delivering a balanced and affordable approach to sustainable long term management at Low Hauxley.

PDZ: 5 Newbiggin Moor to Seaton Sluice

Maintain defence to urban and industrial areas such as Newbiggin-by-the-Sea and Blyth Harbour. Manage evolution over undefended frontages to maintain and enhance landscape, tourism and environmental value. Re-location of sections of caravan parks and golf courses where necessary. Management of the risk of sea flooding to the town of Blyth and dune erosion along Blyth South Beach.

PDZ: 6 Seaton Sluice to River Tyne (North Shields Fish Quay)

Maintain protection to property and infrastructure against erosion and sea flooding. Allow the natural development of undefended sections of frontage. Maintaining largely Victorian era defences along North Tyneside. Managing the transition between defended and undefended sections of coast.

South Tyneside Council

Northern section of coast (developed area)

Ensuring good integrated management of the frontage in relation to current activities and regeneration plans. The need to ensure enhancement of the natural ecological features.

Southern section of coast (more natural frontage)

the main issues are the management of potential pollution issues and the management of the retreating coastline. planned relocation of car parks and possibly the coastal road associated with the retreating coastline

Sunderland City Council

Maintenance and major refurbishment of the linear defences developments of defence actions need to be integrated with the proposed regeneration plan. Southern extent major refurbishment work and reconstruction is to be undertaken ongoing investigation into the Halliwell Banks quarry. Potential contamination. Long term steepening of nearshore area. Stability of cliffs Risk at the Bents Erosion of area south of Sunderland and potential impact on transport infrastructure. Potential loss of beaches Defence condition and vulnerability to loss of material at the toe.

County Durham Council

Potential contamination from erosion of the cliff line to the south of the harbour Regeneration of the area Critical to management of the coast is the change occurring on the shore as mining waste con

Critical to management of the coast is the change occurring on the shore as mining waste continues to erode Likely behaviour of the beaches to change over the next 20 years.

Hartlepool Borough Council

Recent detailed management to the north of Hartlepool and the Headland through to the marina. Specific schemes identified at the Headland, in front of the Town walls and the marina defences.

Detailed proposals are being developed for North Sands.

Concern over condition of defences in front of Seaton Carew. Management and maintenance required to development of the Seaton Carew sea front.

Management plan required for Seaton Dunes. Managed realignment requires taking forward in an appropriate manner. Action plan and monitoring requirements at three general areas: North Hartlepool, Hartlepool Bay and Seaton Carew.

Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council

Potential flood risks associated with the policies for natural realignment of the dunes at Coatham and within the Tees Uncertainty associated with cliff erosion rates, coupled to foreshore evolution for Marske to Saltburn frontages Uncertainties will determine the timing for intervention at Marske and are critical to the management at Saltburn. Develop a strategy for Saltburn to include longer term development management at Marske Recently concluded strategy at Skinningrove sets out a plan for refurbishment of defences Rates of erosion cliff remains uncertain and requires long term monitoring (area of coast to the east). Most critical at Cowbar and links to the management of Staithes.

Scarborough Borough Council

Common to each area is the need to better understand and monitor erosion and instability of the coastal cliffs.

On-going concern over condition of defences at Staithes, Runswick Bay and Robin Hood's Bay

At Whitby the condition of the piers, the management of beach levels and the future management at Sandsend all require prompt action.

Strategies have been developed over the Scarborough frontages

Further south the principle issues relate to cliff instability and erosion rates, particularly at Cayton Bay, Filey and the smaller communities in Filey Bay.

Specific concerns at Osgodby Point and Flat Cliffs where the policy is for managed realignment. Need for a co-ordinated plan to address loss of properties

General erosion of the cliff line which may have long term implications for land management.

Section C1 - Habitat Studies

In addition to the mapping exercises identified above two habitat studies have been undertaken specifically to address and consider further the outcomes of implementing the preferred policies of the Northumberland to North Tyneside SMP2.

The first of these studies published in October 2010 is the Northumberland and North Tyneside Rocky Foreshore 'Coastal Squeeze' Study (Royal Haskoning, 2010). The aim of which was to provide a quantitative assessment of the gains and losses of inter-tidal rocky reef and foreshore habitat associated with implementation of the preferred policies of the second generation Shoreline Management Plan (or 'SMP2'). The final study report stated that in terms of baseline conditions, the present-day extent of rocky foreshore calculated within each of the PDZs (within the Northumberland to North Tyneside SMP area) is presented below. In total there is some 657.1ha of rocky foreshore within the SMP2 area, with approximately two-thirds located within PDZ2 (40%) and PDZ1 (26%). The conclusions of the study can be summarised as follows:

- With sea level rise, it has been calculated that all PDZs will lose rocky foreshore area due to submergence under rising sea levels between the baseline and the three future epochs. Considering the SMP2 area as a whole, the loss due to submergence is 13.2ha by 2025, 48.0ha by 2055 and 117.2ha by 2105.
- Based upon the SMP2 erosion lines, it has been calculated that all PDZs will gain rocky foreshore area due to emergence as cliffs and dune erode landwards between the baseline and the three future epochs. Considering the SMP2 area as a whole, the gain due to erosion is 8.8ha by 2025, 25.2ha by 2055 and 81.3ha by 2105.
- Considering the SMP2 area as a whole, there will be a net loss of rocky foreshore of 4.5ha by 2025. This represents 0.7% of the baseline area. By 2055, the loss is projected to have increased to 22.8 ha, representing 3.5% of the baseline, and by 2105 some 35.9ha, or 5.5% of the baseline, will have been lost.
- The gains in habitat will occur where policies of 'No Active Intervention' or 'Management Realignment' are applicable. Where 'Hold the Line' is the preferred option, no new rocky foreshore will emerge as the cliffs or dunes will be stabilised in position, generally by coastal defence structures.

The study also considered whether there are any other areas of opportunities for rocky foreshore creation, beyond that provided by 'NAI' or 'MR' policies. This only arises if there is an existing 'Hold the Line' policy which could be overturned on the basis of providing compensatory habitat for the losses observed elsewhere. The study considered the Policy Units where HTL line is the preferred policy and concluded that no further opportunities for rocky foreshore creation beyond those delivered by NAI or MR policies from the SMP2 were identifiable.

The second study is the Northumberland County Council Cell 1 Intertidal Habitats Study (Martin Wright Associates, 2014). The study considers the future evolution of intertidal¹ Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) habitats² along the Cell 1 coastal frontage based upon two scenarios; the first assesses the potential habitat change, both losses and gains, associated with rising sea levels (i.e. current situation); and, the second scenario assesses the impact of coastal defence policies identified in the current Shoreline Management Plans (SMPS). The study divided the Cell 1 coastline into 19 areas, principally based upon geology.

The most dominant BAP habitat type along the coastline was found to be sand and mudflats, followed in scale by coastal sand dunes and maritime cliffs and slopes. No shingle and gravel

¹ Intertidal habitats are those that fall between limits of the tidal rise and fall.

² Biodiversity Action Plan habitats are those identified as being the most threatened and require conservation action. Although initially identified under the UK Biodiversity Action Plan, the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework retains these as a measure of biodiversity conservation requirements.

beaches were identified in any of the 19 Cell 1 sub-units. Many of the supra-tidal³ features (with the exception of Maritime cliffs and slopes) are to be found in the northern section of Cell 1. This reflects the generally less rugged nature of the coast and flatter hinterland. Maritime cliffs and slopes occur in the mid to southern sections of Cell 1, which is reflected in the shaping of the coastline in this section.

The Lindisfarne area contains the largest and most diverse range of BAP habitat. It contains the vast majority of sand and mudflat BAP habitat and all of the coastal and floodplain grazing marsh habitat which has been identified along Cell 1. Druridge Bay and Alnmouth are also important areas for the rarer habitat, namely saltmarsh, saline lagoons and reedbed.

The study identified the following key points:

- The habitat change under the baseline scenario identifies a loss of 4ha of saltmarsh habitat over the study period, which, whilst small in cumulative extent, comprises over a tenth of the available resource within the Cell 1 study area. The loss of habitat is principally likely to occur in the Lindisfarne and Alnmouth subunits.
- Over the study period, under the baseline scenario, the extent of sand and mudflat habitat increases with a cumulative change of 215ha over the study period, although the gain is relatively small in comparison to the extent in the study area, despite a minor loss in the first epoch (i.e. over the first 20 years). The gain of habitat principally is likely to occur in the Lindisfarne and Durham Coast sub-units with additional gains identified in the Seahouses, Alnmouth, Druridge Bay, Whitley Bay, Hartlepool, Staithes, Whitby and Scarborough sub-units.
- Over the study period under Scenario 2 (i.e. implementation if SMP2 policy), the saltmarsh habitat sees gains in habitat extent in each epoch (although very small in the second epoch (i.e. 20 to 50 years)), with a gain of approximately 6ha cumulatively up to 2113. The majority of the habitat gain is achieved in the Alnmouth sub-unit, with additional gains in the Lindisfarne area.
- The habitat changes for intertidal sand and mudflat is similar to that identified under the baseline scenario, with a cumulative gain of 95ha over the study period despite a loss in the first epoch. The Lindisfarne area comprises the main area of habitat change with changes also identified in the Alnmouth, Druridge Bay, Seahouses, Whitley Bay, Durham Coast, Staithes and Scarborough sub-units.
- Although the extent of sand and mudflat habitat increases over the study period under Scenario 2, the implementation of coastal defence policies will inhibit the natural development of the intertidal habitat identified in Scenario 1. As a result, a loss in each epoch with a cumulative loss of 120ha up to 2113 is attributable to the policies in the Shoreline Management Plans.
- With regards to saltmarsh habitat, the habitat gains identified in Scenario 2 are greater due to the losses identified in Scenario 1. Whilst there is a comparative loss in the third epoch, a gain of 10ha is attributable to the Shoreline Management Plans over the study period.

The study also considered, at a high-level, habitat creation opportunities and identified a total of 18 prospective and potential sites, comprising 16 intertidal areas (saltmarsh and sand and mudflats) and 2 supra-tidal (sand dunes) with a maximum area of 1,300ha. The compensatory areas identified in Cell 1 are located in areas where SMP2 policies are "Managed Realignment" or "No Active Intervention", where landward regression in response to sea level rise will occur naturally as land is of relatively low value – this occurs generally in floodplains and/or on agricultural land. At these locations, sand and mudflats and saltmarsh will develop naturally. Most of the habitat creation

³ Supra-tidal habitats are those that fall above the limit of the tidal influence.

opportunities are located in areas where habitat loss is the greatest however this is not always possible, for example around Scarborough. The report concluded that it is anticipated that sufficient opportunities exist within the Cell 1 study area for the development of compensatory inter-tidal habitat to offset the losses identified.

Appendix E BAP Habitat mapping



Key for location of BAP habitat mapping tiles









If you have a MasterMap licence and would like the ecology shapefiles, contact: Robin Siddle@scarborough.gov.uk



NU13 37 35 Easington 34_{Belford} 33 Burton 32 Bellshill 12 15 16 19 20 10 18 Warenton 11 Lucker © Scarborough Borough Council Ordnance Survey licence number: 100024267 Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2015 Ν] Km NECO Extent of mapping 0 1 2 AR0 - Rivers and streams LS3 - Coastal saltmarsh AS0 - Standing open water and canals LS4 - Intertidal mudflats CR0 - Arable and horticulture LSZ - Other littoral sediment CR1 - Grass and grass covered leys SR1 - Maritime cliffs and slopes EM4 - Purple moor grass and rush SS1 - Coastal sand dunes pastures WB2 - Scrub woodland GI0 - Improved grassland WB3 - Broadleaved woodland GN3 - Coarse neutral grassland WB3Z - Other broadleaved woodland GN4 - Grazing marsh pasture GNZ - Other neutral grassland

HE0 - Dwarf shrub heath LRZ - Other littoral rock















NZ35 Fulwell Town End Downhill Witherwack Carley Farm Hill 19 Hylton Marley Pots Red House (M)59 Hylton Rok A101 Castle A195 Southwick A1290 A1231 A1290 Monkwearmouth Castletown Low Southwick well 58 Sulgrave A1290 Concord Pallion Deptford Ayre's Quay A1231 Hertburn Milfield Albany A19 57 Bishopwearmouth' Ford A123 Washington South Sunderland Hylton Village Barmstor A195 A1231 shington High 56 Teal Farm A183 Barnes Ashbrooke Pennywell A182 Columbia Biddick ibtor Offerton Springwell 55 lastings Grindon Plains Hillview Hill A183 Farm A 690 A19 Thorney Fatfield Close Harraton 54 Mount Pleasant A182 Farringdon New Middle Penshaw Silksworth Tunstall Herrington Ryhope C West 53 Herrington Silksworth East Herrington Shiney New Herrington Row Sourmilk Moorside Hill A183 Doxford Park 52 A182 A690 Newbottle Bournmoor Burdon 51 A19 Sunniside Sedgeletch 41 Ó Woodstone Village Sarnside tle 30 36 39 32 34 35 37 38 ne High A1052 © Scarborough Borough Counci DUDMI New Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2015 Ordnance Survey licence number: 100024267 Ν] Km NECO Extent of mapping 0 1 2 AR0 - Rivers and streams AR5 - Estuary saline water or sea

- GI0 Improved grassland WB2 - Scrub woodland
- WB3Z Other broadleaved woodland


































If you have a MasterMap licence and would like the ecology shapefiles, contact: Robin.Siddle@scarborough.gov.uk







If you have a MasterMap licence and would like the ecology shapefiles, contact: Robin.Siddle@scarborough.gov.uk





If you have a MasterMap licence and would like the ecology shapefiles, contact: Robin.Siddle@scarborough.gov.uk









Appendix F SMP Action Plans and FCERM 6 year Programme strategic assessment

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
SA Objective				Minimise significant adverse impacts upon people and communities.	Minimise significant adverse impacts upon material assets.	Manage and minimise the risk of pollution to the water environment.	To use natural geological processes to support and facilitate the integrity of geological designations.	To use natural processes to support and facilitate ecosystem functions and the integrity of designations.	Minimise significant adverse impacts upon the landscape and seascape, particularly in relation to protected landscapes.	Manage and minimise significant adverse impacts upon designated cultural heritage assets and their setting.	
Assessment Crit Would the Actio Category of action	teria (Indicators) on plan MA NAME	MA	SMP Policies and ACTION	Result in a deterioration of the quality of life for people and communities?	Result in the loss, degradation, or function of material assets? Protect material assets?	Lead to an increased risk in pollution to the water environment?	Enable natural coastal geological processes to continue? Result in a detrimental impact on designated geological site features?	Result in damage to, fragmentation or loss of existing designated wildlife sites, habitats and species? Enable natural coastal processes to continue?	Cause significant adverse impact to the setting or fabric of a protected landscape?	Cause the loss of or damage to heritage assets? Have a detrimental impact upon the setting of heritage assets?	
Scheme	Beadnell and Beadnell Bay (Northumberla nd and North Tyneside SMP2)	MA08	NAI Beadnell North Sea Wall Improvements.	+ +	+ +	0	0		0	0	 People: High value receptor present (properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action. Footpath and bridleway along frontage would be impacted during construction. Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (Road, properties) Assume protection and positive impact from action Water: Protection from potential pollution on high value Biodiversity and designated Bathing Water Beach. Therefore assume water conditions remain at neutral impact Soil and geology: Action should not change the geological processes. Biodiversity: Maintenance action will not impact biodiversity but since SAC, SPA, Ramsar, SSSI are present there may be a small loss of habitat through coastal squeeze with provision of new rock armour.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											Landscape: Action within regional area of high value (AONB, Heritage Coast). As action is maintenance and replacement then we assume that impact is neutral.
											Heritage: Scheduled Monuments are not located near to the area of action therefore no significant effect is anticipated.
Scheme	Boulmer to Seaton Point	MA11	HTL, HTL, MR Boulmer	++	+ +	++	+	-	-	+	People: Receptors present (properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	(Northumberla nd and North Tyneside										Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present. Assume protection and positive impact from action
	SMP2)										Water: Assume that contaminated coastal soil from coastal slope would be protected through HTL, resulting in a positive impact.
											Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore and may impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI). May also impact on natural processes within high value biodiversity areas (see below).
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SAC, SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) and may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze.
											Landscape: Action within area of high value (AONB). Action proposed will negatively impact on the landscape/seascape value.
											Heritage: No Scheduled Monuments but a listed building will be protected indirectly from the action.
Scheme	Newbiggin (Northumberla nd and North	MA20	NAI Newbiggin moor	+	+	++	0		0	+	People: High value receptor present (golf course). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	Tyneside SMP2)										Material Assets: Infrastructure receptors are located inland. No coastal road therefore critical infrastructure is not at immediate risk of flooding or erosion.
											Water: No anticipated change. Assumed neutral impact.
											Soil and geology: Action will improve natural geological processes of the foreshore which

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											may positively contribute to the geological SSSI and to natural processes within high value biodiversity areas.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, MCZ, SSSI) and may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze.
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: High value listed buildings nearby and local heritage assets. Positive likely effect from action.
Scheme	Newbiggin (Northumberla nd and North Tyneside SMP2)	MA20	HTL Newbiggin Point Local intervention between Newbiggin Point and Church Point to safeguard St. Bartholomew's Church and graveyard.	+	+	++	0		0	+	 People: Receptors present (caravan park, church etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action Material Assets: Infrastructure receptors present (Beach, industrial estate, caravan site). Assume protection and positive impact from action Water: No anticipated change. Assumed neutral impact.
											Soil and geology: Action will allow natural geological processes of the rocky foreshore and improve exposure of the designated geological features (SSSI).
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, MCZ, SSSI) and may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze.
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: High value listed buildings nearby and local heritage assets. Positive likely effect from action.
Scheme	Newbiggin (Northumberla nd and North	MA20	HTL Newbiggin Bay to south	++	++		0		0	+	People: High value receptor present (village centre including commercial and residential

RECEPTOR			PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
	Tyneside SMP2)									properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
										Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (promenade, beach, commercial and residential properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action.
										Water: No anticipated change. Assumed neutral impact.
										Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural roll back of the beach and geological processes of the foreshore but will not impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI). Assumed netural impact.
										Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, MCZ, SSSI) and may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze.
										Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
										Heritage: High value listed buildings nearby and local heritage assets. Positive likely effect from action.
Scheme	Seaton Sluice MA24 to Curry's Point	NAI, NAI, NAI St. Mary's Island Causeway improvements	++	++	0	0		0	++	People: High value receptor present (property). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	(Northumberla nd and North Tyneside SMP2)									Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (St Mary's Island and causeway). Assume protection and positive impact from action
										Water: No anticipated change. Assumed neutral impact.
										Soil and geology: Action will improve access to view geological features while still allowing geological processes to continue.
										Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, MCZ, SSSI) and local/low value (LNR) and may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze.
										Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact

RECEPTOR			PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
										Heritage: High value listed buildings on St Mary's Island. Positive likely effect from protection via action.
Scheme	Curry's Point MA25 to Brown's Point (Northumberla nd and North Tyneside	HTL, HTL, HTL Whitley Bay Southern Promenade – improvements	++	++	0	0		0	++	People: High value receptor present (promenade, town properties, tourism etc). Provide pedestrian coastal access along a reinstated promenade. Assume protection and positive impact from action Material Assets: High value infrastructure
	SMP2)									receptors present (Coastal road, beach, promenade, seafront etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
										Water: No anticipated change. Assumed neutral impact.
										Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural roll back of the beach and geological processes of the foreshore but will not impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI). Assumed neutral impact.
										Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) and may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze.
										Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
										Heritage: High value listed buildings present, in particular near coastal edge. Presume positive likely effect from protection via action.
Scheme	Brown's Point MA26 to Tynemouth North Pier	NAI, NAI, NAI Tynemouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall - improvements	+	+	+	+		0	+	People: There is pedestrian access along the north pier. Assume protection and positive impact from action.
	(Northumberla nd and North Tyneside SMP2)									Material Assets: North Pier provides coastal protection for the material assets along Tynemouth. Assume protection of these material assets and positive impact from action
										Water: North Pier provides coastal protection to Tynemouth and reduces risk of pollution of water. Assume protection and positive impact from action.
										Soil and geology: Action will allow natural geological processes of the foreshore.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze. Dune present (BAP habitat, coastal protection).
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: High value listed buildings present, in particular near coastal edge. Presume positive likely effect from protection via action.
Scheme	Brown's Point to Tynemouth North Pier (Northumberla nd and North Tyneside SMP2)	MA26	NAI, NAI, NAI Outdoor Pool		0	0	++		0	0	 People: The outdoor pool is no longer in use however, there are plans for its regeneration. If NAI is in place then regeneration can not go ahead as it would be at risk of flooding/erosion. This would have a negative impact on the public as they would not have access to this amenity and have indirect impacts on health and wellbeing. Material Assets: Grand Parade Road and beach are not at risk as a result of NAI. No direct impact assumed. Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed. Soil and geology: Action will improve natural geological processes of the foreshore which may positively contribute to the geological SSSI and to natural processes within high value biodiversity areas. Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale). Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact Heritage: No listed buildings or Scheduled
											Monuments located near the Outdoor Pool. No impact assumed.
Scheme	Brown's Point to Tynemouth North Pier (Northumberla	MA26	HTL, HTL, HTL Sea Banks Seawall - improvements	++	++	0			0	0	People: High value receptor present (residential and commercial properties (town centre), Percy Gardens. Positive impact anticipated from action.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
	Tyneside SMP2)										Material Assets: Infrastructure receptors present (Sea Banks Road). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Soil and geology: Action will continue to prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI).
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: No listed buildings or Scheduled Monuments located along Sea Banks. No impact assumed.
Scheme	Tynemouth North Pier to	MA27	HTL, HTL, HTL Fish Quay – improvements	+	+ +	0	-		0	++	People: Promenade along the coastline present. Assume positive impact from action
	Fish Quay (Northumberla nd and North										Material Assets: Regeneration and development opportunities would be maintained with HTL action.
	SMP2)										Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed.
											Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: High value Scheduled monuments and listed buildings present, in particular near coastal edge. Presume positive likely effect from protection via action.
Scheme	Sunderland Harbour to Pincushion Point	MA08	HTL, HTL, HTL Scheme under review for Harbour East Bay	++	++	-	0	0	÷	0	People: High value employment centres present (industry e.g. docks) with plans for regeneration with HTL action. Assume protection and positive impact from action.

R	ECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERIT
		(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)									
Sc	cheme	Tees Bay (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA13	HTL, HTL, HTL Management for Seaton Carew defences determined from strategy.	++	++	++			0	

GE	COMMENT
	Material Assets: High value industry (e.g. port/docks railway, sewage treatment works and outfall infrastructure, beach, commercial assets etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	Water: Potential for pollution of waterbodies with HTL action along industrial land.
	Soil and geology: Coastline is already heavily modified and natural geological processes are limited. Action will continue this therefore a neutral impact is assumed.
	Biodiversity: Action not within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) area therefore neutral impact assumed.
	Landscape: Action to assist with the regeneration of the ports/docks will have a positive impact on the landscape.
	Heritage: No Scheduled monuments and Listed Buildings present on the coastline. No direct impact assumed.
-	People: High value receptor present (residential and commercial properties, including a golf course and public gardens). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	Material Assets: Infrastructure receptors present (Tees Road, golf course, commercial properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed.
	Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI).
	Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI, NNR) and low value (LNR) and may result in loss (small spatial scale). Dunes present. Action will contribute to coastal squeeze.
	Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											Heritage: Protected wreck site present but no Listed Buildings are present along the coastline.
Scheme	Boulby (River Tyne to	MA18	NAI, NAI, NAI Potential need to relocate	++	+ +	-	0		0	0	People: High value receptor present (residential properties). Potential impact from action
	Flamborough Head SMP2)		Cowbar Lane (not coast protection)								Material Assets: Residential properties and lifeboat station present present.
											Water: Road will be located closer to Staithes Beck and may impact on its water quality.
											Soil and geology: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (MCZ, SSSI) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: No Scheduled monuments or Listed Buildings are present within the vicinity of the road. Assume no direct impact.
Scheme	Upgang Beck to Whitby Abbey	MA23	HTL, HTL, HTL Whitby Harbour Pier improvements	++	+ +	0	0	-	-		People: High value receptor present (residential and commercial properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)										Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (Harbour, Yorkshire water assets, commercial fishing pier, beach etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Soil and geology: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Biodiversity: Action within area of medium/low regional value (BAP, SINC) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Action within area of high value (landscape/seascape, Heritage Coast, Conservation Area, Registered Parks & Gardens). Action may impact on the landscape/seascape value
											Heritage: High value Scheduled monument, Conservation Area, Listed buildings (including the piers), registered Parks & Gardens nearby. Positive likely effect from action to majority of

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											heritage features, however potential negative impact to the piers (listed buildings)
Scheme	Castle Cliff to White Nab (River Tyne to	MA28	HTL, HTL, HTL Scarborough South Bay: Rose Gardens - Rock revetment in	++	+ +	-				++	People: High value receptor present (residential and commercial properties etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	Flamborough Head SMP2)		front of existing seawall, seawall repairs and slope stabilisation								Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (Esplanade with residential and commercial properties lying to the west). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: Potential for pollution of high value MCZ and designated Bathing Water Beach in the short term with action.
											Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact on high value designated geological features nearby (SSSI).
											Biodiversity: High value MCZ designation present. Potential adverse impact from action.
											Landscape: Action within area of high value townscape (Conservation Area, Registered Parks & Gardens). Action may impact on the townscape value
											Heritage: High value Scheduled monument, Conservation Area, Listed buildings, registered Parks & Gardens nearby. Presume positive likely impact from protection via action.
Scheme	Castle Cliff to White Nab	MA28	HTL, HTL, HTL Scarborough South Bay: Foreshore Road and St	+ +	+ +	0	0			0	People: Promenade and coastal road present. Assume protection and positive impact from action.
	Flamborough Head SMP2)		Nicholas Cliff – Raise height of existing wall, drainage improvement Foreshore								Material Assets: Coastal road and pavilions present on the coastline. Assume protection and positive impact from action
			Road and slope stabilisation								Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Soil and geology: Action will maintain current conditions so assume neutral impact.
											Biodiversity: High value MCZ designation present. Potential adverse impact from action.
											Landscape: Action within area of high value townscape (Conservation Area, Registered

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	
											Parks & Gardens). Action may impact on the townscape value
											Heritage: Scheduled monument, Conservation Area, Listed buildings, registered Parks & Gardens nearby as present within the area of action. Therefore assume a neutral impact.
Scheme	Castle Cliff to White Nab	MA28	HTL, HTL, HTL Scarborough South Bay:	+ +	+ +	0	-			+	People: Sea front footpath. Assume protection and positive impact from action
	(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)		South Bay Pool – Rock revetment in front of existing seawall, seawall repairs and								Material Assets: Car access and parking along Cleveland Road. Assume protection and positive impact from action
			slope stabilisation								Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed.
											Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore occurring.
											Biodiversity: High value MCZ designation present. Potential adverse impact from action.
											Landscape: Action within area of high value townscape (Conservation Area, Registered Parks & Gardens). Action may impact on the townscape value
											Heritage: No Scheduled monuments, Conservation Area, Listed buildings, registered Parks & Gardens are at the vicinity of the action. Presume positive likely impact from protection via action.
Scheme	Castle Cliff to White Nab	MA28	NAI, NAI, NAI Scarborough South Bay:	+ +	+ +	++				+	People: Residential and commercial properties present. Assume protection and positive impact from action
	Flamborough Head SMP2)		revetment in front of existing seawall, seawall repairs and								Material Assets: Cleveland Road providing coastal access and vehicle parking.
			slope stabilisation								Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed.
											Biodiversity: Biodiversity: High value MCZ designation present. Potential adverse impact from action.
											Landscape: Action within area of high value townscape (Conservation Area, Registered Parks & Gardens). Action may impact on the townscape value
											Heritage: No Scheduled monuments, Conservation Area, Listed buildings, registered

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
						RPHOLOGY					
											Parks & Gardens are at the vicinity of the action. Presume positive likely impact from protection via action.
Scheme	Filey Brigg to Muston Sands (River Tyne to	MA31	NAI, NAI, NAI Filey – Cliff Stabilisation scheme	+	0	++	++			-	People: Pathway (Cleveland Way) present along the cliffs. Assume path could be realigned inland to accommodate the action.
	Flamborough Head SMP2)										Material Assets: No material assets along cliff top.
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Soil and geology: Action will allow natural geological processes of the cliff face showing further high value designated geological features (SSSI).
											Biodiversity: High value designations (SSSI) present. Potential adverse impact from action.
											Landscape: Action within area of high value landscape (Conservation Area).
											Heritage: High value Scheduled monument, nearby action. Potential negative impact from action.
Scheme	Filey Brigg to Muston Sands (River Tyne to	MA31	NAI, NAI, NAI Filey - Outflanking defence at Filey			0	++			0	People: Commercial and residential properties are at risk and therefore action may have a negative impact.
	Flamborough Head SMP2)										Material Assets: Cobble Landing and commercial properties also present. Assume a negative impact.
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Soil and geology: Action will allow natural geological processes of the foreshore which may allow designated geological features to be more visible (SSSI).
											Biodiversity: Action within area of national high value (MCZ, SSSI). Action may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Action within area of high value landscape (Conservation Area). May have a negative impact on the designated area.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											Heritage: Scheduled monument, Conservation Area, Listed buildings no located near the action. Presume neutral effect.
Scheme (from 6 year programme)	(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA26	Scalby Ness PAR & Works	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	People: No residential properties present. Coastal path may need realignment with coastal protection action.
											Material Assets: No material assets present apart from coastal path which would need realignment with coastal protection action.
											Water: No coastal erosion which could impact Sea Cut.
											Soil and geology: Geology of the coastline would not be impacted.
											Biodiversity: Coastal habitats would not be eroded however coastal squeeze could result in coastal habitat loss.
											Landscape: No change in landscape.
											Heritage: No heritage assets located close to the coastline.
Scheme (from 6 year	(River Tyne to Flamborough	MA21	Runswick Bay Appraisal and Works	+ +		0	++		-	0	People: Residential properties will be protected.
programme)	Head SMP2)										Material Assets: Commercial properties and access into the sea is present. Assume a positive impact with access into the sea to be maintained.
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Soil and geology: Action will reduce the natural geological processes of the foreshore. Action should not negatively impact on the geology therefore a neutral impact is assumed.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of national high value (SSSI). Action may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Action within area of high value landscape (Conservation Area). May have a negative impact on the designated area.
											Heritage: Scheduled monument, Conservation Area, Listed buildings no located near the action. Presume neutral effect.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
Scheme (from 6 year	(River Tyne to Flamborough	MA23	Whitby Strategy 2 - Management Unit 13 West	++	++	0	0	-	0	0	People: Protection of Cleveland Way and associated promenade.
programme)	Head SMP2)		Cliff PAR - Spa								Material Assets: Protection of Whitby Pavilion, car parking and infrastructure.
											Water: No impact envisaged.
											Soil and geology: No impact on soil and geology.
											Biodiversity: Some loss of coastal habitats through coastal squeeze.
											Landscape: No change in the landscape.
											Heritage: Scheduled monument, Conservation Area, Listed Building not located near the strategy area.
Scheme (from 6 year programme)	(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA27	North bay (Scarborough) Urgent Wall Improvement Phase 2	++	++	0	0	-	0	0	People: 392 residential properties at risk and would be protected from improvement defence works.
											Material Assets: Coastal road, associated promenade and commercial properties.
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Soil and geology: Action will reduce the natural geological processes of the foreshore. Action should not negatively impact on the geology therefore a neutral impact is assumed.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of national high value (Scarborough Castle Cliffs SSSI). Action may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Small change in landscape from stabilization slopes but this is minor since there is already an existing sea defence along the coastline.
											Heritage: No nearby heritage assets which will be impacted by the action.
Scheme (from 6 year programme)	(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA11	Headland Walls and Blocksands, Hartlepool	++	++	++	0		0	+	People: Residential properties are present along the coastline and will be protected by the new sea defences.
											Material Assets: Coastal road (Sea View Terrace), wastewater facilities and a cemetery.
											Water: Wastewater treatment facilities will be protected with improved sea defences.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											Soil and geology: No significant impact
											Biodiversity: Some coastal squeeze from the provision of hard defences.
											Landscape: No significant change in the landscape as defences will be replacing those that currently exist.
											Heritage: Heugh Gen Battery located on the headland will be protected from new sea defences.
Scheme (from 6 year programme)	(Northumberla nd and North Tyneside	MA26	Central Promenade Appraisal, Design And Construction, North Tyn	0	+ +	0	0	-	0	0	People: Residential properties are located further inland of the promenade and therefore no direct impact is anticipated.
	SMP2)		eside								Material Assets: A surf café, aquarium, promenade and car parking facilities are all present along the seafront adjacent to the promenade.
											Water: No significant impact on water is anticipated therefore no direct impact.
											Soil and geology:
											Biodiversity: Improvements to the promenade could result in the loss of coastal habitat.
											Landscape: No significant change in the landscape is anticipated therefore no direct impact.
											Heritage: No heritage assets are present along the coastline so no significant impacts are anticipated.
Scheme (from	(Northumberla	MA10	Craster Coast Protection	+ +	+ +	0	0	-	-	0	People: Protection of residential properties.
6 year programme)	nd and North Tyneside SMP2)		Scheme								Material Assets: Protection of commercial properties.
	5.00 27										Water: No impacts are anticipated therefore no direct impact
											Soil and geology: New coastal protection will not impact geological formation therefore no direct impact.
											Biodiversity: Potential loss of coastal habitat through coastal squeeze.
											Landscape: AONB will be impacted on a minor scale with the provision of coastal protection.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											Heritage: No heritage assets are present therefore no impacts.
Scheme (from 6 year	(Northumberla nd and North	MA04	Marsden Bay Cliff Erosion Study	0	-	0	+	0	0	0	People: Residential properties present further inland and not at risk in the short term.
programme)	Tyneside SMP2)										Material Assets: The A183 Coast Road and coastal footpath are at risk of coastal erosion and may require diversion in the future
											Water: No effects are anticipated therefore no direct impact.
											Soil and geology: Continued erosion will improve the visibility of the geological formation in the cliffs.
											Biodiversity: Loss of cliff top habitats but new cliff falls will allow new habitats to be created and colonized. Neutral impact.
											Landscape: Coastal erosion will continue the natural coastal processes in line with the natural landscape
											Heritage: No heritage assets are present and at risk.
Scheme (from 6 year	(Northumberla nd and North	MA06	Repairs to North Sunderland harbour breakwaters	+	+	-	0	-	0	0	People: Protection of residential properties inland.
programme)	SMP2)										Material Assets: Protection of Tynemouth commercial and industrial areas.
											Water: Potential negative impact on water with repairs to a coastal structure.
											Soil and geology: No impact anticipated therefore no direct effect.
											Biodiversity: Potential negative impact on coastal biodiversity with repairs to a coastal structure.
											Landscape: No change as the structure would be the same as that existing.
											Heritage: No heritage assets are present therefore no impact is anticipated.
Maintenance	Tweed Estuary	MA02	HTL, HTL, HTL Modify defences around	++	++	0	0			++	People: High value receptor present (residential and commercial properties etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	nd and North		Sandstell Point subject to study and development plans.								Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (beach, recreation assets,

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	
	Tyneside SMP2)										tourism assets). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore. Assume a neutral impact.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SAC, SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) includes natural defence. Action may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze
											Landscape: Action within high value designated landscape (AONB, Heritage Coast). Action may impact on the landscape value
											Heritage: Scheduled Monuments, Conservation Area and Listed buildings present. Presume positive likely impact from protection via action
Maintenance	Amble (Northumberla	MA15	HTL, HTL, HTL	++	++	0				0	People: Residential properties present (Assume protection and positive impact from action
	nd and North Tyneside SMP2)		seawalls in Little Shore Wave Basin.								Material Assets: Bay view road with commercial businesses based on tourism (e.g. café, B&Bs etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore. Assume no direct impact.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SAC, SPA, Ramsar, MCZ, SSSI) includes natural defence, dune and saltmarsh. Action may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Action within high value designated landscape (AONB, Heritage Coast). Action may impact on the landscape value
											Heritage: No Schedule Monument or Listed Buildings nearby therefore neutral impact assumed.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
Maintenance	Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point	MA24	NAI, NAI, NAI Maintenance of existing defence assets	++	++	0	++		0	0	People: High value receptor present (residential properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	(Northumberla nd and North Tyneside SMP2)		recommended								Material Assets: Infrastructure receptors including community centre, recreation and amenity facilities (playground, tennis courts). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed
											Soil and geology: Action will allow natural geological processes of the foreshore to occur.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, MCZ, SSSI) and local/low value (LNR) and may result in loss (small spatial scale)
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: No listed building or Schedule Monument within the vicinity of the existing defences therefore a neutral effect is assumed.
Maintenance	Curry's Point to Brown's Point (Northumberla	MA25	HTL, HTL, HTL Maintenance of existing defence assets recommended	++	++	0	0		0	++	People: High value receptor present (promenade, town properties, tourism etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	nd and North Tyneside SMP2)										Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (Coastal road, beach, promenade, seafront etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: No anticipated change. Assumed neutral impact.
											Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural roll back of the beach and geological processes of the foreshore but will not impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI). Assumed neutral impact.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) and may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze.
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	
						RPHOLOGY					
											Heritage: High value listed buildings present, in particular near coastal edge. Presume positive likely effect from protection via action.
Maintenance	Brown's Point to Tynemouth North Pier	MA26	HTL, HTL, HTL Port of Tyne – maintenance of harbour structures	++	++	-	0		0	0	People: High employment centre. Assume protection and positive impact from action. Material Assets: High value infrastructure
	Flamborough Head SMP2)										Tyne. Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: Potential impact on water quality through maintenance of harbour structures.
											Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes occurring but action will not damage or destroy geology. A netural effect is envisaged.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: No listed buildings or Scheduled Monuments located on the harbour structures. Presume neutral impact.
Maintenance	Brown's Point to Tynemouth North Pier	MA26	HTL, HTL, HTL Maintenance of existing defence assets recommended	++	++	0			0	0	 People: High value receptor present (residential and commercial properties (town centre), Percy Gardens. Positive impact anticipated from action.
	Flamborough Head SMP2)										Material Assets: Infrastructure receptors present (Sea Banks Road). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Soil and geology: Action will continue to prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI).

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: No listed buildings or Scheduled Monuments located along Sea Banks. No impact assumed.
Maintenance	Tynemouth North Pier to Fish Quay	MA27	HTL, HTL, HTL Maintenance of existing defence assets	++	++	0			0	++	People: High value receptor present (residential, commercial properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)		recommended								Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (beach, Fish Quay, commercial assets etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed.
											Soil and geology: Action will continue to prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore. Assume negative impact
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: High value Scheduled monuments and listed buildings present, in particular near coastal edge. Presume positive likely effect from protection via action.
Asset Management and	Saltwick Nab to Hundale Point (Robin	MA25	NAI, NAI, NAI Robin Hoods Bay - Preventative maintenance as	++	++	0	+ +		++	0	People: High value receptor present (residential properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action.
Maintenance	Hoods Bay) (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)		recommended by strategy								Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (Harbour & infrastructure, (commercial fishing industry, marina asset), Yorkshire water assets and outfall pipeline out to sea, lifeboat station, foreshore road, cliff railway, designated bathing beach, hotel of architectural merit, park & gardens etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action. Water: No anticipated change. No direct
											impact assumed.

RECEPTOR	RECEPTOR			MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
										Soil and geology: Action will allow natural geological processes to continue which will benefit the visibility of the geological formations of the SSSI.
										Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SAC, SSSI) area and may result in loss (small spatial scale) and contribute to coastal squeeze.
										Landscape: Action within area of high value landscape (National park, Heritage Coast). Action thought likely will impact more positively on landscape value than with no action.
										Heritage: High value Listed buildings present located away from coastline. No impact assumed therefore neutral effect.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Beadnell and MA08 Beadnell Bay (Northumberla nd and North Tyneside	HTL, HTL, HTL Beadnell North Sea Wall Improvements Project Appraisal Report	++	++	0	0	-	0	0	People: High value receptor present (properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action. Footpath and bridleway along frontage would be impacted during construction.
	SMP2)									Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (Road, properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
										Water: Protection from potential pollution on high value Biodiversity and designated Bathing Water Beach. Therefore assume water conditions remain at neutral impact
										Soil and geology: Action should not change the geological processes.
										Biodiversity: Maintenance action will not impact biodiversity but since SAC, SPA, Ramsar, SSSI are present there may be a small loss of habitat through coastal squeeze with provision of new rock armour.
										Landscape: Action within regional area of high value (AONB, Heritage Coast). As action is maintenance and replacement then we assume that impact is neutral.
										Heritage: Scheduled Monuments are not located near to the area of action therefore no significant effect is anticipated.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
Development Planning and Adaptation	Beacon Hill to Creswell (Northumberla	MA17	MR, MR, MR Develop a progressive transitional management	++	0	-	+ +	+	0	0	People: Receptors are limited to isolated farms. Assume protection and positive impact from action
	nd and North Tyneside		approach, with ongoing discussion regarding the								Material Assets: Coastal access road however this could be redirected to allow MR.
	SMP2)		possible need for further management to the area behind Bondi Carrs.								Water: Potential that inland water bodies could become brackish/saline with MR. This could impact biodiversity Potential adverse impact via action
											Soil and geology: Action would allow the roll back of dunes and natural geological process to continue.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of high value (SPA, Ramsar, MCZ, SSSI). Action may result in positive increase in high value designated area (small spatial scale). However, may have an adverse negative impact on from inundation of saltwater to some designated features
											Landscape: Action within high value designated landscape (Heritage Coast). A natural MR action is likely to result in a neutral impact.
											Heritage: No Listed Buildings or Scheduled Monuments would be impacted by PR. Neutral impact anticipated.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Beacon Hill to Creswell (Northumberla	MA17	MR, MR, MR Possible need for further management to the area	++	0	-	++	+	0	0	People: Receptors are limited to isolated farms. Assume protection and positive impact from action
	nd and North Tyneside										Material Assets: Coastal access road however this could be redirected to allow MR.
	SMP2)										Water: Potential that inland water bodies could become brackish/saline with MR. This could impact biodiversity Potential adverse impact via action
											Soil and geology: Action would allow the roll back of dunes and natural geological process to continue.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of high value (SPA, Ramsar, MCZ, SSSI). Action may result in positive increase in high value designated area (small spatial scale). However, may have an adverse negative impact on from inundation of saltwater to some designated features

RECEPTOR			PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT	
											Landscape: Action within high value designated landscape (Heritage Coast). A natural MR action is likely to result in a neutral impact.
											Heritage: No Listed Buildings or Scheduled Monuments would be impacted by PR. Neutral impact anticipated.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Sunderland Harbour to Pincushion	MA08	HTL, HTL, HTL Potential schemes to South Sunderland	++	++	++	0	-	0	0	People: High value receptor present (dock, commercial properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)										Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (port/docks, industrial infrastructure, railway, road etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: Action will protect potential contaminated land from flooding.
											Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore. Assume neutral impact.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of low value with no designations. May result in loss (small spatial scale).
											Landscape: Action will look similar to that which currently existing. Assume a neutral impact.
											Heritage: No high value heritage assets near to the coastline therefore neutral impact assumed.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Sunderland Harbour to Pincushion	MA08	HTL, HTL, HTL Scheme development for Harbour East Bay. Review	++	+ +	++	0	-	0	0	People: High value receptor present (dock, commercial properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	Point (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)		and develop defence requirements to Port regeneration area. High economic risk. Examine								Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (port/docks, industrial infrastructure, railway, road etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
			to provide an integrated approach with regeneration.								Water: Action will protect potential contaminated land from flooding.
			Defences in poor condition.								Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore. Assume neutral impact.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of low value with no designations. May result in loss (small spatial scale).

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
	1	1									
											which currently existing. Assume a neutral impact.
											Heritage: No high value heritage assets near to the coastline therefore neutral impact assumed.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Blackhall Rocks to Heugh Breakwater (River Tyne to	MA11	NAI, NAI, NAI Management strategy for Crimdon Valley. Potential for biodiversity. Resolve local	++	++	0	++	0	0	0	People: One residential properties (Pony World) however, the roll back of the dunes through NAI would not impact this property in the short term.
	Flamborough Head SMP2)		land use together with access and environmental enhancement.								Material Assets: A Caravan park is present to the north of the dunes and Pony World is present inland of the dunes. Roll back of the dunes through NAI would not impact this commercial asset in the short term.
											Water: No impact anticipated. Assumed a neutral impact.
											Soil and geology: Action will allow natural geological processes to continue with potentially greater visibility of the geological SSSI.
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SAC, SPA, Ramsar, SSSI, NNR). The roll back of dunes may result in the loss of existing embryonic dune flora but the increase of more established, vegetated dune habitat. With the roll back of the dune systems new embryonic dunes will be created. Assume a neutral impact.
											Landscape: The area is not within a designated landscape value area, however the roll back of the dunes will continue to provide the natural coastal landscape.
											Heritage: No heritage assets are present along the coastline therefore a neutral impact is anticipated.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Blackhall Rocks to Heugh Breakwater	MA11	HTL, HTL, HTL Development strategy for area of North Sands. Develop	++	++	+	-		-	0	People: High value receptor present (cemetery). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)		an integrated approach to defence of the cemetery frontage. Identify potential erosion risk contribution. Potential development in risk								Material Assets: Future development site present. Assume protection and positive impact from action
RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
---	---	------	---	--------	--------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------	--------------	-----------	----------	---
			area. Opportunity for enhancement of designated								Water: Protection of cemetery will avoid the risk of contaminated land releases.
			area and local biodiversity. Ensure integration with redevelopment. Maintain								Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore.
			heritage and amenity value								Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SAC, SPA, Ramsar, SSSI, NNR) may result in loss (small spatial scale) and coastal squeeze.
											Landscape: Area not within a designated landscape value area, but is with a high value conservation area. May have an adverse impact on townscape value
											Heritage: No heritage assets are present along the coastline therefore a neutral impact is anticipated.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Hartlepool Bay (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA12	HTL, HTL, HTL Middleton Beach. Advise on defence. Ensure integration with redevelopment to	++	++	0			0	0	People: High value receptor present (Commercial and residential properties etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action
			provide sustainable defence								Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (High breakwater, Marina and harbour, fishing port, international cargo/trade port, network railway, coast road, tourism assets, yacht club). Assume protection and positive impact from action
											Water: No impact anticipated. Assumed a neutral impact.
											Soil and geology: Action will continue to prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI).
											Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) may result in loss (small spatial scale)
											Landscape: Area not within a designated landscape value area. Assumed neutral impact
											Heritage: No high value Scheduled Monuments and Listed buildings present immediately along the coastline. Presume neutral impact via action.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
Development Planning and Adaptation	Hartlepool Bay (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA12	HTL, HTL, HTL Marina. Detailed project appraisal report. Develop recommendations of strategy. High economic risk. Review sustainable development. Benefits associated with port. Possible biodiversity improvement. Interaction with Middleton development	+ +	+ +	0			0	0	 People: High value receptor present (Commercial and residential properties etc). Assume protection and positive impact from action Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (High breakwater, Marina and harbour, fishing port, international cargo/trade port, network railway, coast road, tourism assets, yacht club). Assume protection and positive impact from action Water: No impact anticipated. Assumed a neutral impact. Soil and geology: Action will prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI). Biodiversity: Action within area of international/national high value (SPA, Ramsar, SSSI) may result in loss (small spatial scale) Landscape: Area not within a designated landscape value area. Assumed neutral impact Heritage: No high value Scheduled Monuments and Listed buildings present immediately along the coastline. Presume neutral impact via action.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Tees Bay (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA13	NAI, NAI, NAI Management plan for Seaton Dunes. Co-ordinate land use and dune management. High opportunity for biodiversity linked to designated areas. Amenity use of area. Associated flood risk	-	-	0	++	0	0	0	 People: Residential and commercial properties (including a golf course). Material Assets: Infrastructure receptors present (golf course, commercial properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed. Soil and geology: Action will ensure natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact positively on high value designated geological features (SSSI). Biodiversity: The roll back of dunes may result in the loss of existing embryonic dune flora but the increase of more established, vegetated dune habitat. With the roll back of the dune systems new embryonic dunes will be created. Assume a neutral impact.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERIT
Development Planning and Adaptation	Coaltham and Redcar (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA14	HTL, HTL, MR Revised strategy and appraisal. Extend strategy to Redcar east and develop detailed schemes. High economic risk. High risk to properties. Potential development issues. Integration with designated sites. Amenity use of Redcar and beach. Long term management of East Redcar	++	++			0	0	
Development Planning and Adaptation	Mill Howle to Saltburn (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA15	NAI, NAI, NAI Develop strategy for Marske and Saltburn. High economic loss. Risk to properties. Potential for biodiversity enhancement. Amenity use of area. Maintain water sports and access		-		0	0		

\GE	COMMENT
	Landscape: Action not within designated landscape. Assumed neutral impact
	Heritage: Protected wreck site present but no Listed Buildings are present along the coastline.
0	People: High value receptor present (residential and commercial properties). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (promenade, Esplanade, commercial properties, lifeboat station). Assume protection and positive impact from action
	Water: High value Biodiversity areas and Designated Bathing Beaches within action (see below). Potential impact to water quality via action.
	Soil and geology: Action may potentially prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact on high value designated geological features (SSSI).
	Biodiversity: The roll back of dunes may result in the loss of existing embryonic dune flora but the increase of more established, vegetated dune habitat. With the roll back of the dune systems new embryonic dunes will be created. Assume a neutral impact.
	Landscape: Area not within a designated landscape value area. Assumed neutral impact
	Heritage: No listed buildings or Schedule Monuments are present and designated wreck site present. Presume neutral impact.
	People: A high number of residential properties are at risk of coastal erosion.
	Material Assets: High value material assets include the beach, pier, water sports access and facilities). Assume loss of significant material assets but protection of water sports and access.
	Water: High value Saltburn Sands Designated Bathing Beaches should not be impacted by the action. Potential impact to water quality from pollution via action.

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											Soil and geology: Action will allow the natural geological processes of the foreshore to occur (however, there are no designated features present). Assume no neutral impact.
											Biodiversity: The roll back of dunes may result in the loss of existing embryonic dune flora but the increase of more established, vegetated dune habitat. With the roll back of the dune systems new embryonic dunes will be created. Assume a neutral impact.
											Landscape: Area not within a designated landscape value area, but is with a high value townscape area (conservation area, registered Park and Gardens). May have an adverse impact on townscape value
											Heritage: High value Listed buildings present, (including tramway and pier) a registered Park and garden and a conservation area. Action could have impacts upon setting.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Huntcliff and Hummersea Cliff	MA17	MR, NAI, NAI Skinningrove Scheme		-	-	0	-		-	People: High value receptor present and at risk residential properties). Assume negative impact as a result of the action.
	(River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)		Development. Define specific works based on strategy. Support for local community. Economic risk and potential loss of properties								Material Assets: High value infrastructure receptors present (Beach, jetty, commercial fishing, carpark etc). Assume some loss through flooding and coastal erosion.
			Maintaining access and amenity.								Water: Potential for pollution of low value biodiversity area. Potential for pollution from erosion of contaminated coastal land (industrial heritage) via action.
											Soil and geology: Action may prevent natural geological processes of the foreshore. No designated geological features present.
											Biodiversity: Action within area containing regional medium value (SINC) dune BAP habitat (small spatial scale). Opportunity for positive impact, potential for negative impact.
											Landscape: Area within high value designated landscape area (heritage coast, national trust land). May have an adverse impact on heritage value

RECEPTOR				PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
											Heritage: High value heritage coast will be impacted although no heritage assets will be directed impacted by the action.
Development Planning and Adaptation	White Nab to Cayton Bay (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA29	NAI, NAI, NAI Cayton Bay: Realignment strategy, develop managed realignment and access strategy plan. Maintaining use of Cayton Bay. Advice on sustainable development.			0	++	++	+	0	 People: Residential properties at risk of coastal erosion on top of the cliffs. Material Assets: Residential properties at risk of coastal erosion on top of the cliffs. Cleveland Road would need to be realigned to maintain access. Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed. Soil and geology: Action will promote natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact positively on high value designated geological features (SSSI). Biodiversity: High value MCZ and SSSI designation present. Potential positive impact from action on returning area to a more natural state. Landscape: Within an area of designated regional value landscape (National Trust). Heritage: Low value area, no heritage features on the coastline.
Development Planning and Adaptation	Black Rocks to Filey Brigg (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA29	NAI, NAI, NAI Cayton Bay: Management plan, to review implications of managed realignment. Risk to properties. Highway management. Important environmental issues. Access and amenity			0	++	++	+	0	 People: Residential properties at risk of coastal erosion on top of the cliffs. Material Assets: Residential properties at risk of coastal erosion on top of the cliffs. Cleveland Road would need to be realigned to maintain access. Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed. Soil and geology: Action will promote natural geological processes of the foreshore which may impact positively on high value designated geological features (SSSI). Biodiversity: High value MCZ and SSSI designation present. Potential positive impact from action on returning area to a more natural state. Landscape: Within an area of designated regional value landscape (National Trust).

RECEPTOR			PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMO RPHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT	
											Heritage: Low value area, no heritage features on the coastline.
Scheme	South Filey Bay (River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2)	MA31	NAI, NAI, NAI Filey - Scheme appraisal to develop strategy recommendations for outflanking defence. High economic value and risk to properties. Important amenity of Filey Bay			0	+ +			0	 People: Commercial and residential properties are at risk and therefore action may have a negative impact. Material Assets: Cobble Landing and commercial properties also present. Assume a negative impact. Water: No anticipated change. No direct impact assumed Soil and geology: Action will allow natural geological processes of the foreshore which may allow designated geological features to be more visible (SSSI). Biodiversity: Action within area of national high value (MCZ, SSSI). Action may result in loss (small spatial scale). Landscape: Action within area of high value landscape (Conservation Area). May have a negative impact on the designated area. Heritage: Scheduled monument, Conservation Area, Listed buildings not located near the action. Presume neutral effect.

Appendix G Coastal strategy actions strategic assessment

Receptor	PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMOR PHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY (includes earth system processes)	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMME
SA objective	Minimise significant adverse impacts upon people and communities	Minimise significant adverse impacts upon material assets	Manage and minimise the risk of pollution to the water environment.	To use natural geological processes to support and facilitate the integrity of geological designations	To use natural processes to support and facilitate ecosystem functions and the integrity of designations.	Minimise significant adverse impacts upon the landscape and seascape, particularly in relation to protected landscapes.	Manage and minimise significant adverse impacts upon designated cultural heritage assets and their setting.	
Assessment Criteria (Indicators) Would the Coastal Strategy	Result in a deterioration of the quality of life for people and communities ?	Result in the loss, degradation, or function of material assets? Protect material assets?	Lead to an increased risk in pollution to the water environment?	Enable natural coastal geological processes to continue? Result in a detrimental impact on designated geological site features?	Result in damage to, fragmentation or loss of existing designated wildlife sites, habitats and species? Enable natural coastal processes to continue?	Cause significant adverse impact to the setting or fabric of a protected landscape?	Cause the loss of or damage to heritage assets? Have a detrimental impact upon the setting of heritage assets?	
South Tyneside Council Coastal Management Strategy 2007-2012	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	People: I on residu propertie Material valuable coastal e Water: t designati impact o environn Soil and environn minimisi Biodivers environn minimisi Landscap environn minimisi Heritage environr minimisi
Hartlepool Borough Council Seaton Carew Coastal Strategy Study	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	People: 7 propertie

NT

Does not promote increase of flooding risk dential properties. Assume that residential ies will be protected.

I Assets: the strategy aims to protect e assets wherever possible from the effect of erosion and flooding.

the strategy aim to protect environmental tions while preventing or minimising the of flooding. It also aims to improve the mental quality of beaches.

Geology: the strategy aim to protect mental designations while preventing or ing the impact of flooding.

rsity: the strategy aim to protect mental designations while preventing or ing the impact of flooding.

pe: the strategy aim to protect mental designations while preventing or ing the impact of flooding.

e: the strategy aim to protect mental designations while preventing or ing the impact of flooding.

The strategy aims to protect residential ies.

Receptor	PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMOR PHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY (includes earth system processes)	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
								Material Assets: The strategy aims to protect commercial properties.
								Water: No significant impact on water receptors are anticipated. Therefore no direct impact.
								Soil and Geology: No impact on the soil and geological formations are anticipated therefore no direct impact.
								Biodiversity: There is a risk of coastal squeeze with hold the line and an increase of dune habitat through the roll back of the dune system. No direct effect.
								Landscape: The natural landscape will continue with rolling back of the dune system. Any hold the line action will mean the landscape will stay in its existing condition. No direct impact is assumed.
								Heritage: No impacts on coastal heritage is anticipated. Therefore no direct impact.
Scarborough Borough Council Runswick Bay	++	+ +	0	++	0	+ +	++	People: The strategy aims to protect residential properties.
strategy								Material Assets: The strategy aims of protect commercial properties.
								Water: No impacts on water receptors are anticipated. Therefore no direct impact.
								Soil and Geology: The strategy aims to protect the two SSSIs designated for geology
								Biodiversity: No European or Nationally designated sites for biodiversity are present along the coastline. Therefore no direct impact is anticipated.
								Landscape: The strategy aims to protect the conservation area and National Park.
								Heritage: The strategy aims to protect the Heritage Coastline.
Scarborough Borough Council Whitby	+ +	++	0	++	-	++	++	People: The strategy aims to protect residential properties.
Strategy								Material Assets: The strategy aims to protect commercial properties.
								Water: No impacts on water receptors are anticipated. Therefore no direct impact.

Receptor	PEOPLE	MATERIAL ASSETS	WATER AND GEOHYDROMOR PHOLOGY	SOIL AND GEOLOGY (includes earth system processes)	BIODIVERSITY	LANDSCAPE	HERITAGE	COMMENT
								Soil and Geology: No significant impact to Whitby to Saltwick SSSI and erosion of the cliffs will improve visibility of the designated geological features.
								Biodiversity: No international or European designated sites for biodiversity are present however there are nationally designated sites for biodiversity and protection of the coastline may result in coastal squeeze of habitats.
								Landscape: The strategy aim to protect the Conservation Area.
								Heritage: The strategy aims to protect heritage assets.
Scarborough Borough Council Robin Hoods	+ +	+ +	-	0		0	0	People: The strategy aims to protect residential properties.
Bay Strategy								Material Assets: The strategy aims to protect material assets.
								Water: Coastal water may be impacted with replacement of existing defences.
								Soil and Geology: The geological SSSIs will not be impacted by the strategy.
								Biodiversity: The strategy may result in coastal squeeze on a European designated site.
								Landscape: The National Park will not be impacted by the strategy.
								Heritage: The strategy will aim to protect the Heritage coastline.
Scarborough Borough Council Scarborough	++	+ +	0	0		0	0	People: The strategy aims to protect residential properties.
Town Strategy								Material Assets: The strategy aims to protect material assets.
								Water: No anticipated impact on water receptors.
								Soil and Geology: No anticipated impact on geological formations.
								Biodiversity: Potential loss of habitats through coastal squeeze.
								Landscape: The strategy aims to protect Scarborough's Conservation Area.
								Heritage: The strategy will aim to protect heritage assets.

Appendix H

Cumulative assessment for SMP Action Plans and FCERM 6 year Programme

Appendix H Cumulative Assessment for Coastal Actions

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	t of ac	tions (from	Apper	ndix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	W	S & G	В	L	Н	No in combination offset as		
N to NT	MA2	Sandstell Point Berwick BC	+ +	++	0	0			++	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA cumulative effects where the action may impact on receptors that also lie in other MAs e.g. Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC and Northumberland Coast AONB.	2015	It is assumed the works are ongo- management action in MA02 are within MA08 Beadnell North Sea Protection Scheme and MA11 Be effect on Berwickshire and North Coast AONB to avoid cumulative
N to NT	MA04	Marsden Bay Cliff Erosion Study Berwick BC	0	-	0	+	0	0	0	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. No cross MAs cumulative effects are anticipated.	2021	No cumulative effect.
N to NT	MA06	Repairs to North Sunderland harbour breakwaters Berwick BC	+	+	-	0	-	0	0	No in-combination effects as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC.	2021	We recommend that the propos Cliff Erosion Study, MA06 Repair MA08 Beadnell North Sea Wall I and MA10 Craster Coast Protect time to avoid cumulative impact Coast SAC.
N to NT	MA08	Beadnell North Sea Wall Improvements Northumberland County Council	++	++	0	0	-	0	0	Yes, multiple potential positive in-combination actions within the MA with impacts on people and material assets. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Berwickshire and	2015-2021	We recommend that the propose Cliff Erosion Study, MA06 Repair MA06 Repairs to North Sunderla Protection Scheme should not b cumulative impacts on Berwicks on the assumption that MA08 Be undertaken in 2021.

oing therefore we recommend that the proposed e not undertaken at the same time as other works a Wall Improvements, MA10 Craster Coast oulmer which may have a significant cumulative h Northumberland Coast SAC and Northumberland e effects.

sed management actions in MA04 Marsden Bay rs to North Sunderland harbour breakwaters, Improvements (should they be undertaken in 2021) tion Scheme should not be undertaken at the same ts on Berwickshire and North Northumberland

sed management actions in MA04 Marsden Bay rs to North Sunderland harbour breakwaters, and harbour breakwater and MA10 Craster Coast be undertaken at the same time to avoid shire and North Northumberland Coast SAC. This is eadnell North Sea Wall Improvements are

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	ssment	t of ac	tions (from	Appen	dix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			P	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н			
										North Northumberland Coast SAC.		
N to NT	MA10	Craster Coast Protection Scheme Northumberland County Council	++	++	0	0	-	-	0	No in-combination effects as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumberland Coast AONB Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC.	2021	We recommend that the propos Cliff Erosion Study, MA06 Repair MA8 Beadnell North Sea Wall Im same time to avoid cumulative in Northumberland Coast SAC. This Sea Wall Improvements are unde
N to NT	MA11	Boulmer Northumberland County Council	++	++	++	+	-	-	+	No in-combination effects as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumberland Coast AONB and Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC	2009-2013	It is unknown when Boulmer will that the action will be undertake effects with MA02 Sandstell Poir breakwaters, MA08 Beadnell No Protection Scheme depending of proposed management actions s avoid cumulative impacts on Ber This should be reconfirmed once
N to NT	MA15	Little Shore Wave Basin Northumberland County Council	++	++	0				0	No in-combination effects as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar and Coquet to St Mary's MCZ	2011 (but on hold)	Due to the extent of the Northur and the unconfirmed timings of effects. However we can identify on the following receptors from undertaken at the same time: <u>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar</u> MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell ma Cresswell Managed realignment, Causeway improvements and M. MA25 Whitley Bay Southern Pro Brown's Point Maintenance, MA improvements, MA26 Central Pr MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea Quay improvements, <u>Coquest to St Marys MCZ</u> MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell ma Cresswell Managed realignment Causeway improvements and M.

sed management actions in MA04 Marsden Bay rs to North Sunderland harbour breakwater and nprovements should not be undertaken at the mpacts on Berwickshire and North s is on the assumption that MA08 Beadnell North ertaken in 2021.

Il be undertaken therefore with the assumption en in the future there are potential cumulative nt, MA06 Repairs to North Sunderland harbour orth Sea Wall Improvements and MA10 Craster n the timing of delivery. We recommend that the should not be undertaken at the same time to rwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC. e the timing is known.

mbria Coast SPA/Ramsar across the Cell 1 coastline the actions it is difficult to confirm the cumulative y where there may be potential cumulative effects the following management actions should they be

anagement and Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to c, MA20 Newbiggin Point, MA24 St. Mary's Island A24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance, omenade – Improvements, MA25 Curry's Point to A26 Tynemouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – romenade Appraisal, design and construction, Banks Seawall – improvements and MA27 Fish

anagement and Bondi Carrs.MA17 Beacon Hill to , MA20 Newbiggin Point, MA24 St. Mary's Island A24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2ManagementManagement action and responsible authorityAssessment of action F)							from	Appen	dix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			P	M A	w	S & G	В	L	н			
												We recommend that the works a potential for cumulative affects Coquest to St Marys MCZ. This s known.
N to NT	MA17	Beacon hill to Creswell management and Bondi Carrs Alnwick DC	++	0		++	+	0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination actions within the MA with impacts on People, water, soil & hydrology and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Coquet to St Mary's MCZ, Northumberland Shore SSSI and Low Hauxley Shore SSSI	Ongoing	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the <u>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, M realignment, MA20 Newbiggin P improvements and MA24 Seator Whitley Bay Southern Promenad Point Maintenance, MA26 Tyner improvements, MA26 Central Pr MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea Quay improvements, <u>Coquest to St Mary's MCZ</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, M realignment, MA20 Newbiggin P improvements and MA24 Seator Northumberland Shore SSSI and MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell ma We recommend that the works a potential for cumulative affects Coquest to St Mary's MCZ, North SSSI. This should be reconfirmed
	MA17	Beacon Hill to Creswell managed realignment Environment Agency	++	0	-	++	+	0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination actions within the MA with impacts on people, water, soil & hydrology and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Coquet to St Mary's MCZ, Northumberland Shore SSSI and Low Hauxley Shore SSSI	Ongoing	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the <u>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA20 Newbiggin Po improvements and MA24 Seator Whitley Bay Southern Promenad Point Maintenance, MA26 Tyner improvements, MA26 Central Pr MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea Quay improvements, <u>Coquest to St Mary's MCZ</u>

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar and the should be reconfirmed once the timings are

d on the following receptors from the following ey be undertaken at the same time.

MA17 Beacon Hill to Cresswell Managed Point, MA24 St. Mary's Island Causeway on Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance, MA25 de – Improvements, MA25 Curry's Point t Brown's emouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – promenade Appraisal, design and construction, a Banks Seawall – improvements and MA27 Fish

MA17 Beacon Hill to Cresswell Managed Point, MA24 St. Mary's Island Causeway on Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance

- Low Hauxley Shore SSSI
- nanaged realignment

are not all undertaken at the same time due to a upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, thumberland Shore SSSI and Low Hauxley Shore ed once the timings are known.

d on the following receptors from the following ey be undertaken at the same time.

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and Point, MA24 St. Mary's Island Causeway on Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance, MA25 de – Improvements, MA25 Curry's Point t Brown's emouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – Promenade Appraisal, design and construction, a Banks Seawall – improvements and MA27 Fish

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	of ac	tions (from	Appen	ıdix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	w	S & G	В	L	н			
N to NT	MA20	Newbiggin Point Coastal Protection Scheme Northumberland County Council	+	+	++	0		0	+	No in-combination effects as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar and Coquet to St Mary's MCZ.	2012/2013	MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA20 Newbiggin Pe improvements and MA24 Seaton MA17 Beacon hill to Creswell ma We recommend that the works potential for cumulative affects Coquest to St Mary's MCZ, North SSSI. This should be reconfirmed Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to Mary's Island Causeway improve Maintenance, MA25 Whitley Ba Curry's Point to Brown's Point N Back Seawall – improvements, N construction, MA26 Outdoor Po MA27 Fish Quay improvements. <u>Coquest to St Mary's MCZ</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to May's Island Causeway improve Maintenance. We recommend that the works potential for cumulative affects Coquest to St Marys MCZ. This s known.
N to NT	MA24	St. Mary's Island Causeway improvements Berwick BC/North Tyneside	++	++	0	0		0	++	Yes, multiple potential in- combination actions within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, biodiversity and heritage. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA /Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI and Coguet to St Mary's MCZ	2015	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the <u>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to Newbiggin Point, MA24 Seaton S Bay Southern Promenade – Impo Maintenance, MA26 Tynemouth MA26 Central Promenade Appra MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – impro

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and Point, MA24 St. Mary's Island Causeway on Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance

Low Hauxley Shore SSSI

anagement and Bondi Carrs

are not all undertaken at the same time due to s upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, thumberland Shore SSSI and Low Hauxley Shore ed once the timings are known.

d on the following receptors from the following ey be undertaken at the same time.

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and to Cresswell Managed realignment, MA24 St. vements and MA24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point ay Southern Promenade – Improvements, MA25 Maintenance, MA26 Tynemouth Longsands Bear's MA26 Central Promenade Appraisal, design and bol, MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – improvements and

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and to Cresswell Managed realignment, MA24 St. rements and MA24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar and the should be reconfirmed once the timings are

d on the following receptors from the following ey be undertaken at the same time.

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and to Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance, MA25 Whitley provements, MA25 Curry's Point to Brown's Point th Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – improvements, aisal, design and construction, MA26 Outdoor Pool, rovements and MA27 Fish Quay improvements,

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	of ac	tions (from	Appen	dix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	w	S & G	В	L	н			
										and heritage assets e.g. lighthouse and cottage.		Coquest to St Mary's MCZ MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to Newbiggin Point, MA24 Seaton S Northumberland Shore SSSI MA24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's Po We recommend that the works a potential for cumulative affects of Coquest to St Mary's MCZ and N reconfirmed once the timings are
N to NT	MA24	Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance North Tyneside	++	++	0	++		0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination actions within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, soil & geology and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA /Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI, Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI and Coquet to St Mary's MCZ and heritage assets e.g. lighthouse and cottage.	When required.	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the <u>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to Newbiggin Point, MA24 St. Mary Whitley Bay Southern Promenad Point Maintenance, MA26 Tyner improvements, MA26 Central Pri MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea Quay improvements, <u>Coquest to St Mary's MCZ</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to Newbiggin Point, MA24 St. Mary <u>Northumberland Shore SSSI, Tyn</u> MA25 Whitley Bay Southern Pro Brown's Point Maintenance, MA improvements, MA26 Central Pri MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea Quay improvements. We recommend that the works a potential for cumulative affects of Coquest to St Mary's MCZ, North Sluice SSSI. This should be recor
N to NT	MA25	Whitley Bay Southern Promenade – improvements North Tyneside	++	++	0	0		0	++	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, biodiversity and heritage.	2015	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the <u>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar</u>

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and o Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 Sluice to Curry's Point Maintenance.

oint Maintenance,

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Iorthumberland Shore SSSI. This should be re known.

d on the following receptors from the following y be undertaken at the same time.

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and o Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 y's Island Causeway improvements and MA25 de – Improvements, MA25 Curry's Point to Brown's mouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – romenade Appraisal, design and construction, Banks Seawall – improvements and MA27 Fish

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and o Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 y's Island Causeway improvements.

nemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI

omenade – Improvements, MA25 Curry's Point to A26 Tynemouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – romenade Appraisal, design and construction, Banks Seawall – improvements and MA27 Fish

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, humberland Shore SSSI and Tynemouth to Seaton nfirmed once the timings are known.

d on the following receptors from the following y be undertaken at the same time.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	t of ac	tions (from	Apper	ndix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н			
										There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI and Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI, listed buildings.		MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, I Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill t Newbiggin Point, MA24 St. Mary Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point M Maintenance, MA26 Tynemouth MA26 Central Promenade Appra MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – impr <u>Northumberland Shore SSSI and</u> MA24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's P Point Maintenance, MA26 Tyne improvements, MA26 Central Pu MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea Quay improvements. We recommend that the works potential for cumulative affects Northumberland Shore SSSI and reconfirmed once the timings ar
N to NT	MA25	Curry's Point to Brown's Point Maintenance North Tyneside	++	++	0	0		0	++	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, biodiversity and heritage. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI, Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI and listed buildings	Ongoing	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the <u>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, M Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill t Newbiggin Point, MA24 St. Mary Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point M Promenade – Improvements, M improvements, MA26 Central Pr MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea Quay improvements. <u>Northumberland Shore SSSI, Tyr</u> MA24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's P Promenade – Improvements, M improvements, MA26 Central Pr MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea Quay improvements. <u>Northumberland Shore SSSI, Tyr</u> MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea Quay improvements. We recommend that the works potential for cumulative affects Northumberland Shore SSSI and reconfirmed once the timings an
N to NT	MA26	Tynemouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – improvements	+	+	+	+		0	+	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on	Planned to be delivered in the	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and to Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 ry's Island Causeway improvements and MA24 Maintenance, MA25 Curry's Point t Brown's Point h Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – improvements, raisal, design and construction, MA26 Outdoor Pool, rovements and MA27 Fish Quay improvements.

I Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI

Point Maintenance, MA25 Curry's Point to Brown's emouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – Promenade Appraisal, design and construction, a Banks Seawall – improvements and MA27 Fish

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, d Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI. This should be re known.

d on the following receptors from the following ey be undertaken at the same time.

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and to Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 ry's Island Causeway improvements and MA24 Maintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern MA26 Tynemouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – Promenade Appraisal, design and construction, a Banks Seawall – improvements and MA27 Fish

nemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI

Point Maintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern 1A26 Tynemouth Longsands Bear's Back Seawall – Promenade Appraisal, design and construction, a Banks Seawall – improvements and MA27 Fish

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, d Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI. This should be re known.

d on the following receptors from the following ey be undertaken at the same time.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	ssment	t of ac	tions (from <i>i</i>	Appen	dix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	W	S & G	B	L	н			
		North Tyneside								people, material assets, water, soil & hydrology, biodiversity and heritage. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI, Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI.	Medium term	Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, M Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to Newbiggin Point, MA24 St. Mary Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point M Promenade – Improvements, M MA26 Central Promenade Appra MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – impro Northumberland Shore SSSI, Tyr MA24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's P Promenade – Improvements, M MA26 Central Promenade Appra MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – impro Wa26 Sea Banks Seawall – impro We recommend that the works a potential for cumulative affects Northumberland Shore SSSI and reconfirmed once the timings ar
N to NT	MA26	Central Promenade Appraisal, Design and construction, North Tyneside North Tyneside	0	++	0	0	-	0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on material assets and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI and Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI.	2021	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the <u>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to Newbiggin Point, MA24 St. Mary Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point M Promenade – Improvements, M, MA26 Tynemouth Longsands Be Pool, MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – improvements. <u>Northumberland Shore SSSI and</u> MA24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's P Promenade – Improvements, M, MA26 Tynemouth Longsands Be Pool, MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – improvements. Wa26 Tynemouth Longsands Be Pool, MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – improvements. We recommend that the works a potential for cumulative affects Northumberland Shore SSSI and reconfirmed once the timings ar
N to NT	MA26	Outdoor Pool North Tyneside	-	0	0	++		0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within	2019-2022.	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and o Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 y's Island Causeway improvements and MA24 laintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern A25 Curry's Point t Brown's Point Maintenance, aisal, design and construction, MA26 Outdoor Pool, ovements and MA27 Fish Quay improvements.

nemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI

oint Maintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern A25 Curry's Point to Brown's Point Maintenance, aisal, design and construction, MA26 Outdoor Pool, ovements and MA27 Fish Quay improvements.

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI. This should be re known.

d on the following receptors from the following y be undertaken at the same time.

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and o Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 y's Island Causeway improvements and MA24 laintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern A25 Curry's Point t Brown's Point Maintenance, ear's Back Seawall – improvements, MA26 Outdoor – improvements and MA27 Fish Quay

Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI

Point Maintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern A25 Curry's Point to Brown's Point Maintenance, ear's Back Seawall – improvements, MA26 Outdoor – improvements and MA27 Fish Quay

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI. This should be re known.

d on the following receptors from the following by be undertaken at the same time.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Assessment of actions (from Appendix F)							Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н			
N to NT	MA26	Sea Banks Seawall – improvements North Tyneside	++	A ++	0	G		0	0	 the MA with impacts on people, soil & hydrology and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA /Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI and Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI Yes, multiple potential incombination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, soil & hydrology and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI and Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI and Tynemoth to Seaton Sluice SSSI and Diodiversity. 	2019-2022	Northumbria Coast SPA/RamsarMA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, MBondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill toNewbiggin Point, MA24 St. MarySeaton Sluice to Curry's Point MaPromenade – Improvements, MAMA26 Tynemouth Longsands BeaPromenade Appraisal, design andimprovements and MA27 Fish QaNorthumberland Shore SSSI andMA26 Tynemouth Longsands BeaPromenade – Improvements, MAMA26 Seaton Sluice to Curry's PointPromenade – Improvements, MAMA26 Tynemouth Longsands BeaPromenade – Improvements, MAMA26 Tynemouth Longsands BeaPromenade Appraisal, design andimprovements and MA27 Fish QaWe recommend that the works atpotential for cumulative affects ofNorthumberland Shore SSSI andreconfirmed once the timings andCumulative effects are predictedmanagement actions should theNorthumbria Coast SPA/RamsarMA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, MBondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill toNewbiggin Point, MA24 St. MarySeaton Sluice to Curry's Point MaPromenade – Improvements, MAMA26 Tynemouth Longsands BeaPromenade – Improvements, MA </td
												MA24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's P Promenade – Improvements, M MA26 Tynemouth Longsands Be Promenade Appraisal, design an Fish Quay improvements.
												We recommend that the works a potential for cumulative affects Northumberland Shore SSSI and reconfirmed once the timings ar

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and o Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 r's Island Causeway improvements and MA24 aintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern A25 Curry's Point t Brown's Point Maintenance, ar's Back Seawall – improvements, MA26 Central d construction, MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – uay improvements.

Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI

oint Maintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern A25 Curry's Point to Brown's Point Maintenance, ar's Back Seawall – improvements, MA26 Central d construction, MA26 Sea Banks Seawall – uay improvements.

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI. This should be re known.

d on the following receptors from the following y be undertaken at the same time.

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and o Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 I's Island Causeway improvements and MA24 aintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern A25 Curry's Point t Brown's Point Maintenance, ar's Back Seawall – improvements, MA26 Central d construction and MA27 Fish Quay

Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI

oint Maintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern A25 Curry's Point to Brown's Point Maintenance, ar's Back Seawall – improvements, MA26 Central d construction, MA26 Outdoor Pool, and MA27

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI. This should be e known.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	t of ac	tions (from	Apper	ıdix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н			
N to NT	MA26	Brown's Point to Tynemouth North Pier Maintenance North Tyneside	++	++	-	0		0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, water and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI and Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice SSSI.	When required.	Cumulative effects are predicted Northumberland Shore SSSI as a Wall Improvement Phase 2, MA2 Improvement Phase 2, MA27 Ty should the action occur at the sa We recommend that the works potential for cumulative affects Northumberland Shore SSSI. Th known.
N to NT	MA27	Tynemouth North Pier to Fish Quay Maintenance North Tyneside	++	++	0	-		0	++	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, soil & hydrology, biodiversity and heritage. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar and Northumberland Shore SSSI.	When required.	Cumulative effects are predicted to Northumberland Shore SSSI as a North Pier Maintenance MA27 M Phase 2, MA27, North Bay (Scarl should occur at the same time. We recommend that the works a potential for cumulative affects Northumberland Shore SSSI. The known.
N to NT	MA27	Fish Quay – improvements North Tyneside	+	++	0	-		0	+ +	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar, Northumberland Shore SSSI and listed buildings	2020-2022	Cumulative effects are predicted management actions should the <u>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar</u> MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin, N Bondi Carrs, MA17 Beacon Hill to Newbiggin Point, MA24 St. Mary Seaton Sluice to Curry's Point M Promenade – Improvements, M. MA26 Tynemouth Longsands Be Promenade Appraisal, design an Banks Seawall – improvements. <u>Northumberland Shore SSSI</u> MA24 Seaton Sluice to Curry's P Promenade – Improvements, M. MA26 Tynemouth Longsands Be Promenade – Improvements, M. MA26 Tynemouth Longsands Be Promenade Appraisal, design an Banks Seawall – improvements.

d to impact Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar, a result of MA27 North Bay (Scarborough) Urgent 27, North Bay (Scarborough) Urgent Wall ynemouth North Pier to Fish Quay Maintenance ame time.

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar and is should be reconfirmed once the timings are

to impact Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar and a result of MA26 Brown's Point to Tynemouth North Bay (Scarborough) Urgent Wall Improvement borough) Urgent Wall Improvement Phase 2

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar and is should be reconfirmed once the timings are

d on the following receptors from the following y be undertaken at the same time.

MA17 Beacon Hill to Creswell management and to Cresswell Managed realignment, MA20 y's Island Causeway improvements and MA24 laintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern IA25 Curry's Point t Brown's Point Maintenance, ear's Back Seawall – improvements, MA26 Central and construction, MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea

Point Maintenance, MA25 Whitley Bay Southern IA25 Curry's Point to Brown's Point Maintenance, ear's Back Seawall – improvements, MA26 Central nd construction, MA26 Outdoor Pool, MA26 Sea

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	of ac	tions (from /	Appen	ıdix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	w	S & G	В	L	н			
												We recommend that the works a potential for cumulative affects Northumberland Shore SSSI. The known.
RT to FH	MA08	Scheme under review for Harbour East Bay Sunderland City Council	++	++	-	0	0	+	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, water and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Hendon Railway SNCI, Hendon Cliffs SNCI and Halliwell Banks SNCI.	Unknown.	Cumulative effects are predicted Halliwell Banks SNCI from MA08 Harbour East Bay should they oc We recommend that the works a should be reconfirmed once the
RT to FH	MA08	Potential schemes to South Sunderland Sunderland City Council	++	++	++	0	-	0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, water and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Hendon Railway SNCI, Hendon Cliffs SNCI, Halliwell Banks SNCI.	Unknown. Strategy in progress.	Cumulative impacts are predicte Halliwell Banks SNCI from MA08 Harbour East Bay should they oc We recommend that the works a should be reconfirmed once the
RT to FH	MA08	Harbour East Bay Sunderland City Council	++	++	++	0	-	0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, water and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Hendon Railway SNCI, Hendon Cliffs SNCI and Halliwell Banks SNCI.	Unknown. PAR in progress.	Cumulative impact are predicted Halliwell Banks SNCI from MA08 Harbour East Bay should they oc We recommend that the works a should be reconfirmed once the
RT to FH	MA11	Headland Walls and Blocksands, Hartlepool Hartlepool Borough Council	++	++	++	0		0	+	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, water, biodiversity and heritage.	Partially completed.	Cumulative effects are predicted Blackhall Colliery to Crimdon SAC Cleveland SPA, Northumbria Coa SPA/Ramsar/SSSI, Blackhall Rock

are not all undertaken at the same time due to upon the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar and is should be reconfirmed once the timings are

d on Hendon Railway SNCI, Hendon Cliffs SNCI, Potential schemes to South Sunderland and MA08 ccur at the same time.

are not all undertaken at the same time. This timings are known.

ed on Hendon Railway SNCI, Hendon Cliffs SNCI, Potential schemes to South Sunderland and MA08 ccur at the same time.

are not all undertaken at the same time. This timings are known.

d on Hendon Railway SNCI, Hendon Cliffs SNCI, Potential schemes to South Sunderland and MA08 ccur at the same time.

are not all undertaken at the same time. This timings are known.

d on Chourdon Point to Castle Eden Dene SAC, C, Durham Coast SAC /NNR, Teesmouth and ast SPA/ Ramsar, Hartlepool Headland < SSSI, Hart Warren Dunes SSSI, Tees and

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	t of ac	tions (from <i>i</i>	Apper	dix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н			
										There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Chourdon Point to Castle Eden Dene SAC, Blackhall Colliery to Crimdon SAC, Durham Coast SAC /NNR, Teesmouth and Cleveland SPA, Northumbria Coast SPA/ Ramsar, Hartlepool Headland SPA/Ramsar/SSSI, Blackhall Rock SSSI, Hart Warren Dunes SSSI, Tees and Hartlepool Foreshore and Wetlands SSSI and Hartlepool Headland SSSI.		Hartlepool Foreshore and Wetla North Sands development strate We recommend that the works should be reconfirmed once the
RT to FH	MA11	North Sands development strategy. Co-ordinated by Hartlepool BC	+ +	++	+				0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, water, soil & hydrology and landscape. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Chourdon Point to Castle Eden Dene SAC, Blackhall Colliery to Crimdon SAC, Durham Coast SAC /NNR, Teesmouth and Cleveland SPA, Northumbria Coast SPA/ Ramsar, Hartlepool Headland SPA/Ramsar/SSSI, Blackhall Rock SSSI, Hart Warren Dunes SSSI, Tees and Hartlepool Foreshore and Wetlands SSSI, Hartlepool Headland SSSI.	In progress.	Cumulative effects are predicted Blackhall Colliery to Crimdon SA Cleveland SPA, Northumbria Coa SPA/Ramsar/SSSI, Blackhall Rock Hartlepool Foreshore and Wetla Headland Walls and Blocksands, We recommend that the timing development.

ands SSSI and Hartlepool Headland SSSI from MA11 egy.

are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

ed on Chourdon Point to Castle Eden Dene SAC, AC, Durham Coast SAC /NNR, Teesmouth and bast SPA/ Ramsar, Hartlepool Headland ck SSSI, Hart Warren Dunes SSSI, Tees and ands SSSI and Hartlepool Headland SSSI from MA11 s, Hartlepool.

g of works in MA11 is considered during the strategy

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	t of ac	tions (from	Appen	dix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н			
RT to FH	MA11	Crimdon Valley Management Strategy Co-ordinated by Hartlepool BC/ Easington DC/ Durham Heritage Coast	++	++	0	++	0	0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets and soil & hydrology. No cross MAs are anticipated.	Unknown.	No cumulative effect.
RT to FH	MA12	Middleton Beach Co-ordinated by Hartlepool BC	+ +	++	0			0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, soil & hydrology and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA/Ramsar, Hartlepool Docks and Harbour SNCI, Hartlepool Submerged Forest SSSI Carr House Sands (South Pier to Little Scar) County Wildlife Site and Long Scar rock platform Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Site.	PAR in progress. Unknown start date.	Since the timings are unknown t be impacted by cumulative effect Seaton Carew defences determin Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast Hartlepool Submerged Forest SS County Wildlife Site and Long Sc and Geomorphological Site We recommend that the works a should be reconfirmed once the
RT to FH	MA12	Marina Private/ Co-ordinated by Hartlepool BC	++	++	0			0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, soil & hydrology and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA/Ramsar, Hartlepool Docks and Harbour SNCI, Hartlepool Submerged Forest SSSI, Carr	PAR in progress. Unknown start date.	Since the delivery timings are un cumulative effects will impact th Beach and MA13 Management f strategy: Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast Hartlepool Submerged Forest SS County Wildlife Site and Long Sc and Geomorphological Site We recommend that the works a should be reconfirmed once the

there is potential that the following receptors will ects from MA12 Marina and MA13 Management for ined from strategy.

t SPA/Ramsar, Hartlepool Docks and Harbour SNCI, SSI Carr House Sands (South Pier to Little Scar) car rock platform Regionally Important Geological

are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

nknown there is potential that the following ne following receptors from MA12 Middleton for Seaton Carew defences determined from

t SPA/Ramsar, Hartlepool Docks and Harbour SNCI, SSI, Carr House Sands (South Pier to Little Scar) car rock platform Regionally Important Geological

are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	of ac	tions (from	Appen	dix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	w	S & G	В	L	н			
										House Sands (South Pier to Little Scar) County Wildlife Site and Long Scar rock platform Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Site		
RT to FH	MA13	Management for Seaton Carew defences determined from strategy. Hartlepool BC	++	++	++			0	-	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, water, soil & hydrology, biodiversity and heritage. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA/Ramsar, Redcar Rocks SSSI (geological features), Hartlepool Submerged Forest SSSI (geological features), Little Scar Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Site and listed buildings	Unknown.	Since the timings are unknown t impacted by cumulative effects <u>Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast</u> MA12 Middleton Beach, MA12 f <u>Redcar Rocks SSSI (geological fea</u> (geological features), Little Scar <u>Geomorphological Site</u> MA13 Management Plan for Sea We recommend that the works should be reconfirmed once the
RT to FH	MA13	Management plan for Seaton Dunes Co-ordinated by Hartlepool BC (Environment Agency)	-	-	0	++	0	0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets and soil & hydrology. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Redcar Rocks SSSI (geological features), Hartlepool Submerged Forest SSSI (geological features), Little Scar Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Site and North Gare Bathing Beach Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Site	Unknown.	The following receptors would b Management for Seaton Carew Redcar Rocks SSSI (geological fea (geological features), Little Scar Geomorphological Site. We recommend that the works a should be reconfirmed once the

there is potential that following receptors will be from the following management actions:

: SPA/Ramsar, Hartlepool Submerged Forest SSSI, Marina.

eatures), Hartlepool Submerged Forest SSSI Regionally Important Geological and

aton Dunes are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

be impacted by cumulative effects from MA13 defences determined from strategy:

eatures), Hartlepool Submerged Forest SSSI [•] Regionally Important Geological and

are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	Assessment of actions (from Appendix Scient)						Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Р	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н			
RT to FH	MA14	Redcar eastern extension and revised strategy and appraisal. Environment Agency/ Redcar and Cleveland BC	++	++			0	0	0	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on South Gare and Coatham Sands SSSI, Redcar Rocks SSSI, Redcar Sands Designated bathing beach and Marske Sands Designated bathing beach.	Ongoing. Unknown delivery date.	It is predicted that South Gare a Sands Designated bathing beach would be impacted by cumulativ We recommend that the timing strategy and appraisal developm
RT to FH	MA15	Marske and Saltburn strategy Redcar and Cleveland BC		-		0	0			No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on The Inclined Tramway (upper and lower buildings) Listed building, The Saltburn Pier and entrance Listed building, Listed Buildings, Old Saltburn Conservation Area, Registered Park and Gardens and Saltburn Sands Designated Bathing Water.	Unknown delivery date.	There may be cumulative effect buildings) Listed building, The Sa Buildings, Old Saltburn Conserva Saltburn Sands Designated Bath strategy. We recommend that the cumula the SEA for the Markse and Salt in any scope of works for the str of cultural heritage assets poter considered in consultation with
RT to FH	MA17	Skinningrove Scheme development Redcar and Cleveland BC		-	-	0	-		-	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. No cross MA cumulative impacts are anticipated.	Progressing. Unknown delivery date.	No cumulative effect.
RT to FH	MA18	Relocate Cowbar Lane (not coast protection) Redcar and Cleveland BC	++	++	-	0		0	0	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative	Started 2016. Unknown delivery date.	Cumulative effects are predicted fossils), Runswick Bay MCZ and t (breeding seabird colonies) as a (could overlap with Cowbar Land We recommend that the works should be reconfirmed once the

and Coatham Sands SSSI, Redcar Rocks SSSI, Redcar h and Marske Sands Designated bathing beach ive effects from MA14 Redcar eastern extension. g of works in MA14 is considered during the revised ment..

ts on The Inclined Tramway (upper and lower altburn Pier and entrance Listed building, Listed ation Area, Registered Park and Gardens and ning Water as a result of MA15 Markse and Saltburn

ative impacts upon cultural heritage are included in tourn strategy. This should be specifically identified rategy. Early assessment of impacts upon settings ntially affected and any direct impacts should be the County Archaeologist.

d on Boulby Quarry SSSI (geological features and the proposed SSSI at Hunt and Boulby cliffs result of MA21 Runswick Bay Appraisal and Works ne).

are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	t of ac	tions (from	Appen	dix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion	
			Р	M A	w	S & G	В	L	н				
										effects on Boulby Quarry SSSI (geological features and fossils), Runswick Bay MCZ and the proposed SSSI at Hunt and Boulby cliffs (breeding seabird colonies)			
RT to FH	MA21	Runswick Bay Appraisal and Works Scarborough Borough Council	+ +		0	++		-	0	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Boulby Quarry SSSI (geological features and fossils), Runswick Bay MCZ and the proposed SSSI at Hunt and Boulby cliffs (breeding seabird colonies)	2017	Cumulative effects are predicted fossils), Runswick Bay MCZ and t (breeding seabird colonies) as a protection) (could overlap with I We recommend that the works should be reconfirmed once the	
RT to FH	MA23	Whitby Harbour Pier improvements Scarborough Borough Council	+ +	++	0	0	-	-		Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, biodiversity, landscape and heritage. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on River Esk Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC) and Whitby to Saltwick geological SSSI.	Progressing. Unknown delivery date.	There may be potential cumulat Nature Conservation (SINC) and MA23 Whitby Harbour Pier imp Management Unit 13 West Cliff We recommend that the works a should be reconfirmed once the	
RT to FH	MA23	Whitby Strategy 2 – Management Unit 13 West Cliff PAR- Spa Scarborough Borough Council	++	++	0	0	-	0	0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets and biodiversity. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on River Esk Site of	2022	There may be potential cumulat Nature Conservation (SINC) and MA23 Whitby Harbour Pier impr Management Unit 13 West Cliff We recommend that the works should be reconfirmed once the	

ed on Boulby Quarry SSSI (geological features and the proposed SSSI at Hunt and Boulby cliffs result of MA18 Relocate Cowbar Lane (not coast MA21 Runswick Bay Appraisal and Works). are not all undertaken at the same time. This timings are known. tive effects on the River Esk Site of Importance for Whitby to Saltwick geological SSSI as a result of provements and MA23 Whitby Strategy 2 – FPAR- Spa should they both occur in 2022. are not all undertaken at the same time. This timings are known. tive effects on the River Esk Site of Importance for Whitby to Saltwick geological SSSI as a result of rovements and MA23 Whitby Strategy 2 -FPAR- Spa should they both occur in 2022. are not all undertaken at the same time. This timings are known.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	t of ac	tions (from	Appen	dix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			P	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н			
										Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC) and Whitby to Saltwick geological SSSI		
RT to FH	MA25	Robins Hood Bay Maintenance Scarborough Borough Council	++	++	0	++		++	0	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. No cross MA cumulative effects are anticipated.	PAR currently being finalised.	No cumulative effect.
RT to FH	MA26	Scalby Ness PAR & Works Scarborough Borough Council	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	No in-combination effect as there is only one receptor impacted within the MA. No cross MAs cumulative effects are anticipated.	2018	No cumulative effect.
RT to FH	MA27	North Bay (Scarborough) Urgent Wall Improvement Phase 2 Scarborough Borough Council	++	++	0	0	-	0	0	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. No cross MA cumulative effects are anticipated. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar and Northumberland Shore SSSI.	2020	No cumulative effect.
RT to FH	MA28	Scarborough South Bay: Rose Gardens Scarborough Borough Council	++	++	-				++	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, water, soil & hydrology, biodiversity, landscape and heritage. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Castle Ground rMCZ, North Bay to South Toll House Cliff SSSI, South Sands Designated Bathing Beach, Scarborough Borough Council Conservation Area,	2015-2021	Cumulative effects are predicted South Toll House Cliff SSSI, Sout Borough Council Conservation A MA28 Scarborough South Bay: F Scarborough South Bay: South E Cliff Gardens should they be und We recommend that the works should be reconfirmed once the

ed to impact Castle Ground rMCZ, North Bay to th Sands Designated Bathing Beach, Scarborough Area, Registered Parks and Gardens as a result of Foreshore Road and St Nicholas Cliff, MA28 Bay Pool and MA28 Scarborough South Bay: South indertaken at the same time. Is are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	sment	of act	tions (from <i>i</i>	Appen	ıdix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion	
			Ρ	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н				
										Registered Parks and Gardens, Scheduled Monument and listed buildings.			
RT to FH	MA28	Scarborough South Bay: Foreshore Road and St Nicholas Cliff Scarborough Borough Council	++	++	0	0			0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, materials assets, biodiversity and landscape. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Castle Ground rMCZ, North Bay to South Toll House Cliff SSSI, Cayton, Cornelian and South Bays SSSI, Scarborough Borough Council Conservation Area and Registered Parks and Gardens	2015-2021	Cumulative effects are predicted South Toll House Cliff SSSI, Scark Registered Parks and Gardens as Gardens, MA28 Scarborough Sou Bay: South Cliff Gardens should Cumulative effects are predicted as a result of MA28 Scarborough South Bay: South Bay Pool MA28 MA29 Cayton Bay: Management at the same time. We recommend that the works a should be reconfirmed once the	
RT to FH	MA28	Scarborough South Bay: South Bay Pool Scarborough Borough Council	++	++	0				+	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, soil & hydrology, biodiversity, landscape and heritage. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Castle Ground rMCZ, North Bay to South Toll House Cliff SSSI, Cayton, Cornelian and South Bays SSSI, South Sands Designated Bathing Beach, Scarborough Borough Council Conservation Area, Registered Parks and Gardens, Scheduled Monument and listed buildings	2015-2021	Cumulative effects are predicted South Toll House Cliff SSSI, Scarb Registered Parks and Gardens, S result of MA28 Scarborough Sout MA28 Scarborough South Bay: R Bay Pool and MA28 Scarborough occur at the same time. Cumulative effects are predicted as a result of MA28 Scarborough South Bay: Foreshore Road and S South Cliff Gardens and MA29 C realignment should they occur a Cumulative effects are predicted as result of MA28 Scarborough S We recommend that the works a should be reconfirmed once the	
RT to FH	MA28	Scarborough South Bay: South Cliff Gardens	++	++	++				+	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within	2015-2021	Cumulative effects are predicted South Toll House Cliff SSSI, Scarb	

d to impact Castle Ground rMCZ, North Bay to borough Borough Council Conservation Area and as a result of MA28 Scarborough South Bay: Rose buth Bay: South Bay Pool, MA28 Scarborough South they occur at the same time.

d to impact Cayton, Cornelian and South Bays SSSI h South Bay: Rose Gardens, MA28 Scarborough 8 Scarborough South Bay: South Cliff Gardens and at Plan for managed realignment should they occur

are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

d to impact Castle Ground rMCZ, North Bay to borough Borough Council Conservation Area, Scheduled Monument and listed buildings as a uth Bay: Foreshore Road and St Nicholas Cliff, Rose Gardens, MA28 Scarborough South Bay: South sh South Bay: South Cliff Gardens should the actions

d to impact Cayton, Cornelian and South Bays SSSI h South Bay: Rose Gardens, MA28 Scarborough St Nicholas Cliff, MA28 Scarborough South Bay: Cayton Bay: Management Plan for managed at the same time.

d to impact South Sands Designated Bathing Beach South Bay: Rose Gardens.

are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

d to impact Castle Ground rMCZ, North Bay to borough Borough Council Conservation Area,

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Asses F)	smen	t of ac	tions	(from	Appe	ndix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion	
			Р	M A	W	S & G	В	L	Н				
		Scarborough Borough Council								the MA with impacts on people, material assets, water, soil & hydrology, biodiversity and heritage. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Castle Ground rMCZ, North Bay to South Toll House Cliff SSSI, Cayton, Cornelian and South Bays SSSI, Scarborough Borough Council Conservation Area, Registered Parks and Gardens, Scheduled Monument and listed buildings.		Registered Parks and Gardens, S Scarborough South Bay: Foresho South Bay: Rose Gardens and M should they occur at the same ti Cumulative effects are predicted as a result of MA28 Scarborough South Bay: Foreshore Road and South Bay Pool and MA29 Cayto realignment should they occur a We recommend that the works should be reconfirmed once the	
RT to FH	MA29	Cayton Bay: Management Plan for managed realignment. Scarborough Borough Council			0	++	++	+	0	No in-combination effect as there is only one management action proposed in this MA. There may be potential cross MA positive cumulative effects on Cayton, Cornelian and South Bays SSSI and Gristhorpe Bay and Red Cliff SSSI	Ongoing.	Cumulative effects are predicted as a result of MA28 Scarborough South Bay: Foreshore Road and South Bay Pool and MA28 Scarb occur at the same time. We recommend that the works should be reconfirmed once the	
RT to FH	MA31	Filey – Cliff Stabilisation Scarborough Borough Council	+	0	++	++			-	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, water, soil & hydrology, biodiversity, landscape and heritage. Potential positive cumulative effects on beach and water quality conditions. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Castle Ground rMCZ, Filey Brigg SSSI, SINC.	2017	Cumulative effects are predicted SINC and SBC Conservation Area Filey. The in combination impacts are reconfirmed during any EIA as p	

Scheduled Monument as a result of MA28 ore Road and St Nicholas Cliff, MA28 Scarborough 1A28 Scarborough South Bay: South Bay Pool time.

ed to impact Cayton, Cornelian and South Bays SSSI sh South Bay: Rose Gardens, MA28 Scarborough I St Nicholas Cliff MA28 Scarborough South Bay: on Bay: Management Plan for managed at the same time

are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

d to impact Cayton, Cornelian and South Bays SSSI h South Bay: Rose Gardens, MA28 Scarborough St Nicholas Cliff MA28 Scarborough South Bay: porough South Bay: South Cliff Gardens should they

are not all undertaken at the same time. This e timings are known.

d to impact Castle Ground rMCZ, Filey Brigg SSSI, a as a result of MA31 Filey –Outflanking defence at

e likely to not be significant; this should be part of the proposed works.

N to NT – Northumberland and North Tyneside SMP2 RT to FH – River Tyne to Flamborough Head SMP2

SMP2	Management Area (MA)	Management action and responsible authority	Assessment of actions (from Appendix F) P M W S & B L H A G						ndix	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumulative effect conclusion
			Ρ	M A	W	S & G	В	L	н			
RT to FH	MA31	Filey - Outflanking defence at Filey Scarborough Borough Council			0	++			0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, soil & hydrology, biodiversity and landscape. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Castle Ground rMCZ, Filey Brigg SSSI, SINC and SBC Conservation Area	2017	Cumulative impacts are predicte SINC and SBC Conservation Area Filey. The in combination impacts are I reconfirmed during any EIA as pa
RT to FH	MA31	Filey – Defence scheme appraisal Scarborough Borough Council			0	++			0	Yes, multiple potential in- combination effects within the MA with impacts on people, material assets, soil & hydrology, biodiversity and landscape. There may be potential cross MA negative cumulative effects on Castle Ground rMCZ, Filey Brigg SSSI, SINC and SBC Conservation Area	2015	Cumulative impacts are predicte SINC and SBC Conservation Area Filey The in combination impacts are I reconfirmed during any EIA as pa

ed to impact Castle Ground rMCZ, Filey Brigg SSSI, a as a result of MA31 Filey –Outflanking defence at

likely to not be significant; this should be art of the proposed works.

ed to impact Castle Ground rMCZ, Filey Brigg SSSI, a as a result of MA31 Filey –Outflanking defence at

likely to not be significant; this should be art of the proposed works.

Appendix I

Cumulative assessment for coastal strategies

Appendix I Cumulative Assessment for Coastal Strategies

	Asse G)	essmer	nt of a	ctions	(see /	Appen	ıdix								
Strategies	Р	M A	w	S & G	В	L	н	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumu					
South Tyneside Council Coastal Management Strategy 2007-2012	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	No inter strategy impacts. Potential cumulative impacts between strategy and the following MAs on Northumbria Coast SPA: MA15 Little Shore Wave Basin (2011) MA20 Newbiggin Point (2012/2013)	It is assumed that the screened in strategies were all implemented between 2007 and 2012	No cu					
Hartlepool Borough Council Seaton Carew Coastal Strategy Study	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	No inter strategy impacts. Potential strategy impacts on Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA and Ramsar from the following management actions: MA11 Headland Walls and Blocksands (partially complete) MA11 North Sands development strategy (in progress) MA12 Middleton Beach (unknown start date PAR in progress) MA12 Marine (PAR in progress) MA13 management for Seaton Carew defences (unknown start date)	Management actions are either partially completed, in progress or of unknown start date.	No cu					
Scarborough Borough Council Runswick Bay strategy	+ +	++	0	++	0	++	++	No inter strategy impacts. Potential cumulative impacts on bathing water quality measures between the strategy and the MA21 Runswick Bay Appraisal and Works (2017) from the Rock Armour Fillet Scheme.	2017	No cu					

lative effect conclusion umulative effects. umulative effects umulative effects.

	Asse G)	ssmer	nt of a	ctions	(see /	Appen	ndix				
Strategies	Р	M A	w	S & G	В	L	н	Screened in based on spatial extent and why	Temporal extent	Cumul	
Scarborough Borough Council Whitby Strategy	++	++	0	++	-	++	++	No inter strategy impacts. Potential intra strategy impacts from management actions at MA23 Whitby Harbour Improvements and Whitby Strategy 2 West Cliff PAR on Runswick Bay Village and the Marine Conservation Zone.	Progressing and 2022.	No cur It is re strateg Harbo Strateg consid impac	
Scarborough Borough Council Robin Hoods Bay Strategy	++	++	-	0		0	0	No inter strategy impacts. No cumulative impacts between the strategy and MA 25 Robin Hood's Bay as minor works and monitoring.	None	None	
Scarborough Borough Council Scarborough Town Strategy	++	++	0	0		0	0	No inter strategy impacts. No cumulative impacts between the strategy and the proposed management actions within the MA27 and 28.	None	None	

lative effect conclusion

umulative effects.

ecommended that the timing of egy actions at MA23 Whitby our Improvements and Whitby egy 2 West Cliff PAR are idered to avoid cumulative cts on people.